Exhibit J

	Page 1	Page 3
1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI CENTRAL DIVISION	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI CENTRAL DIVISION
3	SHONDEL CHURCH, et al.,)	3 CHONDEL CHILIDCH et al.)
4)	SHONDEL CHURCH, et al.,) 4)
5	Plaintiffs,)) Case No.	Plaintiffs,) 5 Case No.
6	vs.) 17-04057-CV-C-NKL	5) Case No. vs.) 17-04057-CV-C-NKL
7	STATE OF MISSOURI, et al.,)	6) STATE OF MISSOURI, et al.,)
	Defendants.)	7)
8 9		Defendants.)
10 11	VOLUME I	9 VIDEO DEPOSITION OF JEFFREY MARTIN,
12	VOLOMET	10 produced, sworn and examined on December 5, 2017, at the offices of the American Civil Liberties Union of
13	VIDEO DEPOSITION OF JEFFREY MARTIN	12 Missouri Foundation, 406 West 34th Street, Suite 420,
14	TAKEN ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS	13 Kansas City, Missouri 64111, before Emily S. Hughes, a 14 Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public within and
15		15 for the State of Missouri, in a certain cause now
16	December 5, 2017	 pending in the United States District Court, Western District of Missouri, between SHONDEL CHURCH, et al.,
17 18		18 Plaintiffs, vs. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al., Defendants;
19		19 on behalf of the Plaintiffs.
20 21		21
22 23		22 23
24		24
25		25
	Page 2	Page 4
1	INDEX	1 APPEARANCES
2	WITNESS: PAGE	2 3 APPEARING FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:
3	JEFFREY MARTIN	
		4 Mr. Jason D. Williamson ACLU FOUNDATION
4 5	EXAMINATION BY MR. WILLIAMSON 6	ACLU FOUNDATION 5 125 Broad Street 18th Floor
5		ACLU FOUNDATION 5 125 Broad Street 18th Floor 6 New York, New York 10004 212.607.3300
5	EXAMINATION BY MR. WILLIAMSON 6	ACLU FOUNDATION 5 125 Broad Street 18th Floor 6 New York, New York 10004 212.607.3300 7 jwilliamson@aclu.org 8
5 6 7 8	EXAMINATION BY MR. WILLIAMSON 6 EXAMINATION BY MR. RAMSEY 96 EXHIBITS NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE	ACLU FOUNDATION 5 125 Broad Street 18th Floor 6 New York, New York 10004 212.607.3300 7 jwilliamson@aclu.org 8 APPEARING FOR THE STATE OF MISSOURI 9 AND GOVERNOR GREITENS:
5 6 7 8 9	EXAMINATION BY MR. WILLIAMSON 6 EXAMINATION BY MR. RAMSEY 96 EXHIBITS	ACLU FOUNDATION 5 125 Broad Street 18th Floor 6 New York, New York 10004 212.607.3300 7 jwilliamson@aclu.org 8 APPEARING FOR THE STATE OF MISSOURI AND GOVERNOR GREITENS: 10 Mr. Steven Alan Ramsey STATE OF MISSOURI ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE
5 6 7 8	EXAMINATION BY MR. WILLIAMSON 6 EXAMINATION BY MR. RAMSEY 96 EXHIBITS NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE	ACLU FOUNDATION 5 125 Broad Street 18th Floor 6 New York, New York 10004 212.607.3300 7 jwilliamson@aclu.org 8 APPEARING FOR THE STATE OF MISSOURI 9 AND GOVERNOR GREITENS: 10 Mr. Steven Alan Ramsey STATE OF MISSOURI ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE 11 207 West High Street P.O. Box 899
5 6 7 8 9	EXAMINATION BY MR. WILLIAMSON 6 EXAMINATION BY MR. RAMSEY 96 E X H I B I T S NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 13 Interim Administrative Order 73	ACLU FOUNDATION 5 125 Broad Street 18th Floor 6 New York, New York 10004 212.607.3300 7 jwilliamson@aclu.org 8 APPEARING FOR THE STATE OF MISSOURI 9 AND GOVERNOR GREITENS: 10 Mr. Steven Alan Ramsey STATE OF MISSOURI ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE 11 207 West High Street P.O. Box 899 12 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573.751.3321
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	EXAMINATION BY MR. WILLIAMSON 6 EXAMINATION BY MR. RAMSEY 96 E X H I B I T S NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 13 Interim Administrative Order 73	ACLU FOUNDATION 125 Broad Street 18th Floor New York, New York 10004 212.607.3300 jwilliamson@aclu.org APPEARING FOR THE STATE OF MISSOURI AND GOVERNOR GREITENS: Mr. Steven Alan Ramsey STATE OF MISSOURI ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE 207 West High Street P.O. Box 899 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573.751.3321 Steven.Ramsey@ago.mo.gov APPEARING FOR THE MSPD DEFENDANTS:
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	EXAMINATION BY MR. WILLIAMSON 6 EXAMINATION BY MR. RAMSEY 96 E X H I B I T S NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 13 Interim Administrative Order 73	ACLU FOUNDATION 5 125 Broad Street 18th Floor 6 New York, New York 10004 212.607.3300 7 jwilliamson@aclu.org 8 APPEARING FOR THE STATE OF MISSOURI AND GOVERNOR GREITENS: 10 Mr. Steven Alan Ramsey STATE OF MISSOURI ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE 11 207 West High Street P.O. Box 899 12 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573.751.3321 13 Steven.Ramsey@ago.mo.gov APPEARING FOR THE MSPD DEFENDANTS: Ms. Jacqueline Shipma MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	EXAMINATION BY MR. WILLIAMSON 6 EXAMINATION BY MR. RAMSEY 96 E X H I B I T S NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 13 Interim Administrative Order 73	ACLU FOUNDATION 125 Broad Street 18th Floor New York, New York 10004 212.607.3300 7 jwilliamson@aclu.org APPEARING FOR THE STATE OF MISSOURI AND GOVERNOR GREITENS: Mr. Steven Alan Ramsey STATE OF MISSOURI ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE 11 207 West High Street P.O. Box 899 12 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573.751.3321 13 Steven.Ramsey@ago.mo.gov APPEARING FOR THE MSPD DEFENDANTS: Ms. Jacqueline Shipma MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE 1000 West Nifong Building 7, Suite 100
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	EXAMINATION BY MR. WILLIAMSON 6 EXAMINATION BY MR. RAMSEY 96 E X H I B I T S NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 13 Interim Administrative Order 73	ACLU FOUNDATION 125 Broad Street 18th Floor New York, New York 10004 212.607.3300 jwilliamson@aclu.org APPEARING FOR THE STATE OF MISSOURI AND GOVERNOR GREITENS: Mr. Steven Alan Ramsey STATE OF MISSOURI ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE 207 West High Street P.O. Box 899 12 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573.751.3321 Steven.Ramsey@ago.mo.gov APPEARING FOR THE MSPD DEFENDANTS: Ms. Jacqueline Shipma MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE 1000 West Nifong Building 7, Suite 100 Columbia, Missouri 65203 573.526.5212
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	EXAMINATION BY MR. WILLIAMSON 6 EXAMINATION BY MR. RAMSEY 96 E X H I B I T S NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 13 Interim Administrative Order 73	ACLU FOUNDATION 125 Broad Street 18th Floor New York, New York 10004 212.607.3300 7 jwilliamson@aclu.org APPEARING FOR THE STATE OF MISSOURI AND GOVERNOR GREITENS: 10 Mr. Steven Alan Ramsey STATE OF MISSOURI ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE 11 207 West High Street P.O. Box 899 12 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573.751.3321 13 Steven.Ramsey@ago.mo.gov 14 APPEARING FOR THE MSPD DEFENDANTS: Ms. Jacqueline Shipma MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE 1000 West Nifong Building 7, Suite 100 17 Columbia, Missouri 65203 573.526.5212 18 jacqueline.shipma@mspd.mo.gov
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	EXAMINATION BY MR. WILLIAMSON 6 EXAMINATION BY MR. RAMSEY 96 E X H I B I T S NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 13 Interim Administrative Order 73	ACLU FOUNDATION 125 Broad Street 18th Floor New York, New York 10004 212.607.3300 jwilliamson@aclu.org APPEARING FOR THE STATE OF MISSOURI AND GOVERNOR GREITENS: Mr. Steven Alan Ramsey STATE OF MISSOURI ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE 207 West High Street P.O. Box 899 12 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573.751.3321 3 Steven.Ramsey@ago.mo.gov APPEARING FOR THE MSPD DEFENDANTS: Ms. Jacqueline Shipma MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE 1000 West Nifong Building 7, Suite 100 Columbia, Missouri 65203 573.526.5212 18 jacqueline.shipma@mspd.mo.gov Videographer: 20 Ryan Gray
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	EXAMINATION BY MR. WILLIAMSON 6 EXAMINATION BY MR. RAMSEY 96 E X H I B I T S NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 13 Interim Administrative Order 73	ACLU FOUNDATION 125 Broad Street 18th Floor New York, New York 10004 212.607.3300 jwilliamson@aclu.org APPEARING FOR THE STATE OF MISSOURI AND GOVERNOR GREITENS: Mr. Steven Alan Ramsey STATE OF MISSOURI ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE 207 West High Street P.O. Box 899 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573.751.3321 Steven.Ramsey@ago.mo.gov APPEARING FOR THE MSPD DEFENDANTS: Ms. Jacqueline Shipma MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE 1000 West Nifong Building 7, Suite 100 Columbia, Missouri 65203 573.526.5212 jacqueline.shipma@mspd.mo.gov Videographer: Ryan Gray Court Reporter: Emily S. Hughes, RPR, CRR, MO CCR #1353
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	EXAMINATION BY MR. WILLIAMSON 6 EXAMINATION BY MR. RAMSEY 96 E X H I B I T S NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 13 Interim Administrative Order 73	ACLU FOUNDATION 125 Broad Street 18th Floor New York, New York 10004 212.607.3300 7 jwilliamson@aclu.org APPEARING FOR THE STATE OF MISSOURI AND GOVERNOR GREITENS: Mr. Steven Alan Ramsey STATE OF MISSOURI ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE 207 West High Street P.O. Box 899 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573.751.3321 Steven.Ramsey@ago.mo.gov APPEARING FOR THE MSPD DEFENDANTS: Ms. Jacqueline Shipma MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE 1000 West Nifong Building 7, Suite 100 Columbia, Missouri 65203 573.526.5212 jacqueline.shipma@mspd.mo.gov Videographer: 20 Ryan Gray Court Reporter:
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	EXAMINATION BY MR. WILLIAMSON 6 EXAMINATION BY MR. RAMSEY 96 E X H I B I T S NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 13 Interim Administrative Order 73	ACLU FOUNDATION 125 Broad Street 18th Floor New York, New York 10004 212.607.3300 jwilliamson@aclu.org APPEARING FOR THE STATE OF MISSOURI AND GOVERNOR GREITENS: Mr. Steven Alan Ramsey STATE OF MISSOURI ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE 1 207 West High Street P.O. Box 899 12 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573.751.3321 Steven.Ramsey@ago.mo.gov APPEARING FOR THE MSPD DEFENDANTS: Ms. Jacqueline Shipma MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE 1000 West Nifong Building 7, Suite 100 17 Columbia, Missouri 65203 573.526.5212 jacqueline.shipma@mspd.mo.gov Videographer: Ryan Gray 20 Ryan Gray 21 Court Reporter: Emily S. Hughes, RPR, CRR, MO CCR #1353
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	EXAMINATION BY MR. WILLIAMSON 6 EXAMINATION BY MR. RAMSEY 96 E X H I B I T S NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 13 Interim Administrative Order 73	ACLU FOUNDATION 125 Broad Street 18th Floor New York, New York 10004 212.607.3300 jwilliamson@aclu.org APPEARING FOR THE STATE OF MISSOURI AND GOVERNOR GREITENS: Mr. Steven Alan Ramsey STATE OF MISSOURI ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE 207 West High Street P.O. Box 899 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573.751.3321 Steven.Ramsey@ago.mo.gov APPEARING FOR THE MSPD DEFENDANTS: Ms. Jacqueline Shipma MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE 1000 West Nifong Building 7, Suite 100 Columbia, Missouri 65203 573.526.5212 jacqueline.shipma@mspd.mo.gov Videographer: Ryan Gray Court Reporter: Emily S. Hughes, RPR, CRR, MO CCR #1353 Alaris Litigation Services 1608 Locust Street

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

	Page 5		Page 7
1	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between	1	of your abilities. Please remember that your
2	counsel for the Plaintiffs and counsel for the	2	testimony here is under oath, so it's just as if you
3	Defendants that this deposition may be taken in	3	were testifying in court. You understand that?
4	shorthand by Emily S. Hughes, RPR, CRR, MO CCR #1353,	4	A. Yes, sir.
5	and Missouri Notary Public, and afterwards transcribed	5	Q. And your attorney may object to one or more
6	into typewriting; and the signature of the witness is	6	of my questions, but in limited circumstances, you are
7	expressly reserved.	7	still required to answer the question; okay?
8	* * * *	8	A. Sure.
9	(Deposition commenced at 2:49 p.m.)	9	Q. If you don't hear my question or you don't
10	VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record.	10	understand my question, just please let me know
11	Today's date is December 5, 2017, and the time is	11	immediately so that I can repeat or rephrase the
12	2:49 p.m. This is the video recorded deposition of	12	question.
13	Jeffrey Martin in the matter of Shondel Church,	13	And a few things that I wanted to make sure
14	et al., versus State of Missouri, et al., Case	14	we keep in mind for the benefit of our our court
15	Number 17-04057-CV-C-NKL in the United States District	15	reporter: One is to make sure that you're speaking
16	Court for the Western District of Missouri, Central	16	clearly and loudly enough for her to record. I have a
17	Division. This deposition is being held at the	17	feeling that won't be a problem.
18	American Civil Liberties Union of Missouri Foundation.	18	A. Not a problem.
19	The reporter's name is Emily Hughes. My	19	Q. Also, make sure that you answer all
20	name is Ryan Gray. I'm the legal videographer. We	20	questions verbally as opposed to using any kind of
21	were with Alaris Litigation Services.	21	body movements; okay?
22	Would the attorneys present please	22	A. Sure.
23	introduce themselves?	23	Q. And I'd like for us to to try to let one
24	MR. WILLIAMSON: Jason Williamson from the	24	another finish before we we move on, so please, let
25	ACLU for the plaintiffs.	25	me finish my question before you answer, even if you
	Page 6		Page 8
1	•		
1	MR. RAMSEY: Steven Alan Ramsey for the	1	can anticipate the question that's coming. And I'm
2	State of Missouri and Governor Greitens.	2	going to to do my best to to let you finish your
3	MS. SHIPMA: Jacqueline Shipma for the	3	answer before I ask another question; okay?
4 5	Missouri State Public Defender defendants. VIDEOGRAPHER: Would the court reporter	4 5	A. Okay.
6	please swear in the witness?	6	Q. Did you prepare for the deposition today? A. I spoke with Ms. Shipma I think twice.
7	JEFFREY MARTIN.	7	First when she notified me that I was going to be
8	of lawful age, produced, sworn and examined on behalf	8	included on the list, and then actually, I think
9	of the Plaintiffs, deposes and says:	9	yesterday, she touched base with me to see if I had
10	EXAMINATION	10	any questions.
11	BY MR. WILLIAMSON:	11	Q. And did you talk with talk with anyone
12	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Martin.	12	else in preparation for the deposition?
13	A. Good afternoon.	13	A. In preparation, no. I mean, I let, like,
14	Q. My name is Jason Williamson. I am one of	14	my staff and, you know, my wife and a few other people
15	the attorneys for the plaintiffs in this case. Have	15	know that I was going to be coming down here but
	you ever been deposed before?	16	Q. Okay.
16		1 -	•
16 17	·	17	
17	A. Maybe once or twice.	17 18	A not otherwise. Q. Did you review any documents in preparation
17 18	A. Maybe once or twice.Q. Do you remember when that was?	18	Q. Did you review any documents in preparation
17 18 19	A. Maybe once or twice.Q. Do you remember when that was?A. Oh, no. It would have been during the	18 19	
17 18	 A. Maybe once or twice. Q. Do you remember when that was? A. Oh, no. It would have been during the course of working for the public defender's office. 	18	Q. Did you review any documents in preparation for today?
17 18 19 20	A. Maybe once or twice.Q. Do you remember when that was?A. Oh, no. It would have been during the	18 19 20	Q. Did you review any documents in preparation for today? A. I don't know that I reviewed them. I
17 18 19 20 21	 A. Maybe once or twice. Q. Do you remember when that was? A. Oh, no. It would have been during the course of working for the public defender's office. Q. So in the context of a criminal case? 	18 19 20 21	Q. Did you review any documents in preparation for today? A. I don't know that I reviewed them. I didn't have I had a few documents that I had
17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Maybe once or twice. Q. Do you remember when that was? A. Oh, no. It would have been during the course of working for the public defender's office. Q. So in the context of a criminal case? A. Yes. 	18 19 20 21 22	Q. Did you review any documents in preparation for today? A. I don't know that I reviewed them. I didn't have I had a few documents that I had forwarded to Ms. Shipma, which I think were e-mails to

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

	Page 9		Page 11
1	in essence, resent them again, but but other than	1	attorney?
2	that, there wasn't a bunch of documents that I	2	A. For the most part, yes.
3	reviewed or anything like that.	3	Q. Did you do other kinds of legal work?
4	Q. And did you do anything else that you can	4	A. I did some. Most of it was, like,
5	think of to prepare for today?	5	municipal court, traffic work, criminal work, did some
6	A. No.	6	bankruptcy work, but it was mostly limited to that.
7	Q. In all of your spare time?	7	Q. So can you tell me which counties are
8	A. Correct. No.	8	included in Area 17?
9	Q. Who is your current employer?	9	A. Area 17 has primary coverage in two
10	A. Missouri State Public Defender.	10	circuits. Those two circuits are the 17th Circuit,
11	Q. And what is your title?	11	which is Cass County and Johnson County, Missouri, and
12	A. I am the Area 17 district defender.	12	then the 27th Circuit, which is Henry County,
13	Q. And how long have you served as district	13	Bates County, and Saint Clair County. Our office also
14	defender?	14	covers conflict cases for counties that are for the
15	A. District defender I have been the	15	offices basically that are to the north and the south
16	district defender there since '99, I believe,	16	of us, so for the Area 16, the Kansas City office; for
17	something about like that.	17	the Area 7 office, which is Liberty; and then for the
18	Q. And have you served the with MSPD in any	18	Area 28 office, which is Nevada. We, over the years,
19	other capacity?	19	have done conflict cases from those offices, so when
20	A. I served at I mean, I was an assistant	20	they have multiple codefendants or conflict witnesses
21	public defender prior to becoming the district	21	or situations like that, then we would end up getting
22	defender. I've been with MSPD since 1996. I mean,	22	those conflict cases from those offices.
23	January will will be 22 years.	23	Q. Now, is your office presently still
24	Q. And what other positions did you hold	24	handling conflict cases?
25	within the office prior to becoming district defender?	25	A. We still have conflict cases assigned in
	Page 10		Page 12
1	A. I was an assistant public defender until I	1	our office. We are currently not receiving conflict
2	became district defender.	2	cases from the Kansas City office or the Liberty
3	Q. And did you start as an APD 1?	3	office. As far as new conflicts arriving, we have had
4	A. Yes. I well, yes. I'm trying to	4	a few come from the Nevada office, but for the most
5	remember. There used to be when I first started,	5	part, those were getting contracted out as well. They
6	there was a designation, APD 1, and APD 1P, and I	6	were contracting out the conflict cases here over the
7	don't remember which one was first.	7	last number of months.
8	Q. Okay.	8	Q. And is it your understanding that the
9	A. But in essence, I started at what would be	9	public defender's office received additional funding
10	the beginning position.	10	this this fiscal year to cover conflict cases?
11	Q. And from there, you you moved up the	11	A. Yes.
12	ranks to APD 2, 3, and 4?	12	 Q. But your testimony is that there are
13	A. I became I was an APD 3 at the time I	13	still notwithstanding that additional funding,
14	became a district defender, so I never actually became	14	there are still conflict cases that are being handled
15	an APD 4. I became district defender before that	15	by your office?
16	occurred.	16	A. We were we are generally not receiving
17	Q. Were you an APD also in Area 17?	17	new conflict cases, but I still have conflict I
18	A. Yes. That's the office I started in, and	18	have two attorneys right now that are still part of
19	I've always been there.	19	their caseload are conflict cases that they're doing
20	Q. Okay. Got it. And did you have any did	20	the one attorney, that is primarily what he does,
21	you do any other legal work prior to coming to the	21	our cases from Jackson County and Clay County and
22	MSPD?	22	Platte County.
23	 A. I worked privately for about three years, I 	23	Q. But those are all cases that were opened
0.4			-
24 25	would say. About three years before coming to MSPD. Q. And was that as a criminal defense	24	prior to A. Previous.

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

	Page 13		Page 15
1	Q. Do you expect that trend to continue?	1	have questions for me
2	A. I would be hopeful, but no. Based on my	2	Q. Uh-huh.
3	experience with budgets and the State for the last	3	A about various things, I ask them, "Do
4	22 years, my experience would be that while we had	4	you have any concerns about joining the public
5	that this year, and we're my office is certainly	5	defender's office? Is there anything that would, you
6	grateful to have had that, I would fully expect that	6	know, concern you, or what worries would you have?"
7	when the next fiscal year rolls around, in all	7	Q. Uh-huh.
8	likelihood, that will not be the case, and that I will	8	A. Every single person that I've interviewed
9	start getting conflict cases from Jackson County and	9	almost to a T, at least one of the questions that
10	Clay County and Platte County and Nevada and that sort	10	they've asked has been about the caseload and what
11	of thing again.	11	kind of caseload they would have, and you know, what
12	Q. Okay. How many attorneys do you have on	12	that what that looked like. And my impression of
13	staff right now in your office?	13	that was not from a just an interest standpoint of,
14	A. Okay. My overall my overall staff right	14	you know, well, what does my caseload look like?
15	now is 12.	15	Like, what is my average day look?
16	Q. 12 attorneys?	16	Q. Right.
17	A. No. That's my overall staff is 12.	17	A. There were a number of them, many of them
18	That includes the office support assistant, which is	18	that that question was a specific question that was
19	the person up front that answers phones and opens	19	geared towards, like, how heavy is my caseload going
20	files and that sort of thing. I have two legal	20	to be the day I start?
21	assistants, one investigator, and then there's an	21	Q. And what when were those four offers
22	eight-attorney staff total. Now, I have been	22	made and what and in what time frame?
23	designated another position, attorney position, which	23	A. I have been the position has been open,
24	I have been trying to fill for the last six months, so	24	I believe, since July, so it has been during that
25	I have a position that is open right now.	25	context at that time frame. The person that obviously
	Page 14		Page 16
1	Q. Okay. And that the eight attorneys	1	didn't pass the bar I think found out in whenever
2	includes yourself?	2	bar results come out. I want to say I forget
3	A. Oh, yes. That includes me.	3	whether it's September or but it that person
4	Q. Okay. And you said you have there's	4	found out about bar results. And I should correct one
5	there's funding for an additional attorney?	5	thing. There was one person that we were in that I
6	A. Yes. I would end up with a staff of	6	was going to offer the position to that accepted an
7	13 total.	7	offer with another office, with actually an MSPD
8	Q. Okay. How soon would you expect to be able	8	office.
9	to fill that position?	9	Q. Okay.
10	A. I have offered the position, I believe, at	10	A. But then the other people declined the
11	least three times now that I can think of. The first	11	offers. Those offers then took place after after
12	person did not pass the bar strike that.	12	making after the one person not passing the bar
13	I think four times, because then the next	13	Q. Uh-huh.
14	three people have all declined the position, declined	14	A then I simply, you know, started
15	the offer to work somewhere else, accept offers	15	checking references on what who would have been the
16	somewhere else.	16	next person on my list
17	Q. We'll talk in a bit about the the	17	Q. Right.
18	Hinkebein decision. But were is it your	18	A moved down from there. If we didn't
19	understanding that the the decisions to turn down	19	have applicants that I felt would meet the criteria
20	those offers had anything to do with those recent events?	20	at which I have done, I basically reopened my process and started rescreening applicants and am
21			
22			- · · ·
22	A. As a matter of fact, I can tell you that	22	still doing that now.
23	A. As a matter of fact, I can tell you that during my interview process, one of the last questions	22 23	still doing that now. Q. What kind of turnover rate do you have in
	A. As a matter of fact, I can tell you that	22	still doing that now.

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

Page 17

1 A. Yeah. Because it has -- it has varied. 2 I'd say on average, I probably lose an attorney 3 somewhere around -- I'd lose one probably once every, 4 if I had to kind of average it out, like, nine -- nine 5 months to a year, somewhere around in there, you know. 6 And -- and that kind of accounts for there's sometimes 7 where I'll have people, and maybe they'll -- I'll be 8 fully staffed for a year and a half and, you know, 9 that sort of thing or, you know, up to two years; and 10 then all of a sudden, I'm down two attorneys. In an 11 office like mine, when you start losing more than one 12 attorney, that becomes a real problem.

Q. Now, to the extent that you can recall this, of the other seven attorneys in your office, what is the range of experience that they have as attorneys, as criminal defense attorneys?

A. Right now -- right now, I have a fairly experienced staff with the exception of one attorney, which is a -- a new hire. I've been able to hire a person, but I had a person leave, so still have the position that I was trying to originally fill is still open. That person is a -- is a brand new licensed attorney. He was just sworn in. Other than him, most of the attorneys in my office are fairly experienced attorneys. Some of them have been at -- with my

Page 19

point A to point B, for them, you know, it might not be that big of a deal to do. There are other kinds of logistics that they're familiar with that they know, you know, I'm going -- if I'm going to do this, I'm going to probably be deposing, you know, a certain number of people. Here's the kind of case I have. I might need an expert for that, so I need to kind of be planning, you know, what I'm tong to do. Recognizing mental health issues in clients, some of those kinds

of things. Experienced attorneys have a better grasp

of -- of some of -- some of those things and being

other than figuring out, you know, how to get from

- able to recognize it or know those things early on as
 opposed to later on.
 Q. Do you -- how long does it generally take
 for you to fill a position? And I know you've talked
 - A. Uh-huh.

about trying to fill this --

- Q. -- sort of new position, but when someone leaves, generally, how long is it between the time that person leaves and the time you're able to replace them?
- A. Oh, at best, usually the turnaround is about 90 days or so, at best. And it depends on how it gets fills. Because, for example, like, one of

Page 18

office -- let me think here. One of them has been in -- bee with my office since she started and has been with me probably nine years or so, something like that. The other attorneys that I have have transferred -- many of them have transferred in from another office, so they weren't, like, a direct hire for me as a new attorney.

Q. Okay.

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

A. They transferred in from somewhere else, but many of them have -- have a pretty good -- I'm blessed to have a number of attorneys that have experience right now. I've had the converse of that.

Q. Uh-huh. What would you say are the primary differences between having experienced attorneys on staff versus young lawyers?

A. Well, having an experienced attorney on staff, the benefit of having an experienced attorney is that if somebody's gone, if someone's sick, if they have to cover a docket, if they have to do something that's out of the ordinary, it — it's a lot easier for them to do it, to walk into an unfamiliar jurisdiction. Since our office covers so many counties, there would be places that people might have to go that they haven't ever been there before as opposed to working in one courthouse generally. So

Page 20

those experienced attorneys, if they're transferring from somewhere, if that attorney is transferring from an office that they, themselves, might -- obviously, they're going to be losing someone, if it's a smaller office, they might have to really go through a hiring process before that attorney can leave, because otherwise, it might leave them in a real hole. So I may operate -- because I have more attorneys than they do, I might operate with that hole for a while at my end until they can try to get their situation taken care of. Other times, it's had to do with just the time of year and -- and various other kinds of things. For example, in this round of stuff, I've had nobody apply transfer-wise throughout the entire course of this. All of this has been people that would be new-hire scenarios.

Q. How -- how do you think that this -- that the -- the turnover rate and the difficulty in replacing attorneys who leave impacts your office's ability to provide the kind of representation that you think is necessary for your clients?

A. Well, it creates a number of problems, not the least of which is, people basically are just filling in. They're covering something in some way. And so from a client standpoint, they were talking

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 21

- 1 with person A; they're now talking with person B. For 2 some clients, that -- you know, they may be okay with
- 3 that, and it doesn't bother them. For other clients,
- 4 it's a -- it -- you have to spend time developing
- 5 trust with a client in order to be able to talk to
- 6 them about certain aspects of cases, what the outcomes
- 7 might be, those kinds of things. So from that 8

standpoint, it creates logistic problems that way. For us, the other logistic problem that it creates is, we've got a number of counties we go to, and I only have so many people that can do stuff. And court, based on what days you have court and how many people you have, people can't be in two places at once. They also can't -- it inhibits their ability to go the jail and visit clients. It inhibits their ability to do other things that would help them be able to investigate or move a case forward because they're filling those days with other court dates. You know, even if it's just to reset something or to

18

19 20 try to get somebody out of jail or whatever it might

21 be, you've taken a day that would have been -- or days

22 that would have been constructive workdays and turned 23 those into another court day. And so you know, while

people can go to court and can cover court, that might

be all they're doing.

9

10

11 12

13

14

1.5

16

17

24

2.5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 23

Page 24

Q. How does your caseload compare to the caseload of the other attorneys?

A. I've tried at times to do various things with -- with my caseload, in -- in either limiting it, rearranging it. I've tried things to adjust it in various ways, but as a practical matter, my caseload is very comparable to other people in the office. There's no -- there's no good way not to be able -there's no good way not to be able to do that. It's just an impossibility.

Q. And I assume you mean there's no good way to do that with the current number of attorneys --

A. Correct, right.

Q. -- you have on staff?

A. Right. Everything -- yeah. Everything is in the context of what I -- with what I have right now, there's no way for me to -- me to be able to functionally do that. I -- it would dump enough cases on other attorneys that they wouldn't -- it -- they have too many as it is.

Q. Do you have a sense of how many cases you have right now?

A. How many I, personally, have?

Q. Individually, yeah.

A. If I opened up my laptop and logged on, I

Page 22

Q. Can you talk to me a little bit about your day-to-day responsibilities as district defender?

A. Well, I have -- generally, I have two functions. I am the -- as a supervisor of the office, I have all of the managerial duties, so I'm supervising attorneys, supervising other staff, dealing with families and other individuals outside the office that might be calling in or contacting the office, people with general questions that can't be answered by support staff that need to be answered by somebody else. Generally, I would be the person that a number of those things get directed to. Dealing with Courts and jails and other kinds of responsibilities that -- that you have, from a management standpoint.

Q. Uh-huh.

A. I then also, because of our office and staffing, I have my own caseload, and so I have a caseload responsibility like everybody else in my office. And while I've had to reassign that in various ways at various times, that also requires me to go to jails, to be able to go to court, to be able to, you know, deal with my own clients. To be able to work on their cases as well along with the other aspects of the office.

could tell you exactly, but the exact number, I wouldn't be able to tell you. I would venture to say at any given time right now, I've floated anywhere

between 120 and 150 cases. Q. You, personally?

A. Me personally.

Q. And is -- is that range the same for the other seven attorneys?

A. It is, with the exception of the -- there's two exceptions in that, and -- and I'll explain some with mine as well.

My caseload, I have tried to limit to what would be -- what would be lower class felony cases, and they're primarily in the county that our office is in, is in Cass County, so that way, I'm able to better control being able to go to the jail, being able to go to court, and being able to come back to the office, rather than, in essence, being in court or going to the jail, basically removing me from the office for a day or multiple days.

The youngest -- and I say "youngest." The less -- the least experienced attorney, the newest one that I have, youngest from the standpoint of when he started.

Q. Right.

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

Page 25

A. He's actually the youngest too. He -- his caseload, it primarily consists of -- there's a large number of cases that are misdemeanor, probation violation, he does have lower level C, D, and E felonies, but -- and he doesn't have any upper class felony cases as of yet.

Q. So when you say that you try to limit your caseload to lower level felonies, in Cass County, those are C, D felonies?

A. Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Q. And E felonies?

A. C, D, and E. Yeah. There's a new classification this year now, E felonies, which I still am trying to get ingrained in bread -- in my head. For so long, it was C and D felonies.

Q. Right.

A. And I did -- and you had answered -- and to go back to the question before --

Q. Uh-huh.

A. — the other attorney from a numbers standpoint that has a lower number is the attorney that does the conflict cases up here in Jackson County and in Clay County, Platte. Part of the reason is because the logistics of the travel with all of those cases, and many of those cases are also very serious

Page 27

- 1 that -- that kept numbers down more, the logistics of
- the jail and the logistics of my travel constantly had
- 3 me out of the office where I was not able to
- $4\,$ $\,$ effectively deal with other things I needed to deal
- 5 with like family members who might call in or things
- 6 like that. The logistics of that had me out of the
- 7 office -- might have me out of the office for a couple
- 8 of days as a practical matter, and you weren't able to 9 deal with other things that you needed to be able to
- deal with. Which it also includes just super --
- supervision of the office and being there for the
- supervision of the office and being there for the staff and answering questions and implementing policy
- and things like that, so that's why I -- I had to
- $14\,$ $\,$ change that to where it put me in the office, and that
- $15\,$ was the only way that -- at that time to be able to do
- 16 it

20

23

2.4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And there's no one else in your office who
 is able to do the kind of supervision that -- that
 you're responsible for -- for doing?
 - A. Right.
- Q. That's not something you can hand to someone else?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Do you all -- do you second chair any cases, trials?

Page 26

homicides, multiple count robbery cases, things like that that require him to have different logistics as far as travel and jails and that sort of thing, so his numbers have been solely ris -- restricted in relation to that just because, as a practical matter, he can't do -- he wouldn't be able to do other stuff.

Q. Okay. Is it your testimony that all of your cases -- your personal cases are lower level felonies, or do you also have some misdemeanors?

A. I have -- well, and I also have probation violations in that -- in that context as well. I'm trying to think if I actually have misdemeanor cases. In Cass County, it's a separate misdemeanor docket, so if I have a misdemeanor case on there in my caseload, it would be a random misdemeanor case simply because that person has other cases going on, and I just have that case assigned to me. But on the whole, it's not a misdemeanor caseload that I have assigned myself.

Q. And any particular rationale for that?

A. The rationale for that at the time was I -- I didn't have another attorney to be able to assign to do that, and it -- prior to that, I had myself assigned the smallest county caseload, which was also the county furthest away from the office, and -- which was Saint Clair County. And while in numbers --

Page 28

A. Yes.

Q. How often do you do that?

A. I try to as much as I can, especially with the last -- one of the attorneys -- well, actually, the last two attorneys that left my office were both attorneys that I had hired in the last three years, and one of them had almost no experience, and the other one had a slight bit of private experience, but basically had very little experience as well. I tried to put myself in the position of certainly for people who have first trials or for certain types of cases making myself have available to be able to second chair those individuals, because part of my responsibility as a supervisor and to recommend them in relation to a promotion process is to be able to have observed them demonstrating trial skills. We're a trial office. People, you know, are expected to be able to demonstrate those -- those skills to some degree, and the only way for me to effectively evaluate those is for me to at least be able to observe that, so second chairing was a great way to be able to do -- to do that, because you're involved with all aspects.

Q. How often do you interact with your attorneys on a one-on-one basis for supervision

7 (Pages 25 to 28)

Page 29

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

purposes?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Well, I'm not sure exactly how to answer that. I mean, I interact with them on a daily basis, the attorneys that -- for example, that are there in the office, or if I'm over in -- in court, and they're over in court, I interact with them. I am I think --I actively try to talk to my attorneys and, you know, find out what's going on with them, what are -- you know, what are their issues, what kind of case problems do they have going on. You know, that being said, there are routinely times where, you know, you might not see somebody for, you know, a week, just because of the way schedules --

Q. Right.

A. -- are. You know, you just don't see them.

Q. Now, are the attorneys in your office subject to performance evaluations?

A. Yes.

Q. How often are those done?

A. The reality of when those are done initially are when they're required. And when I say, "required," when someone starts initially with the public defender's office, there's a time frame that they're going through where you're doing an initial evaluation of their work product and how they're

Page 31

- 1 areas. Off the top of my head, I don't remember what
- 2 they are, but there's probably, oh, I don't know,
- 3 eight or nine or ten of them, something like that,
- 4 that describe given -- given areas. Like I said,
- 5 trial skills, for example, is one -- one of those
- 6 areas, but others will include things as far as, you
- 7 know, client -- dealing more with things like client
- 8 contact and client rapport building, providing

9 discovery to clients, those kinds of things. Part --10 some of it may involve just your review of their

11 interactions with staff and other members of MSPD and 12 the Courts in general and how to -- you know, how they 13

follow policy, those kinds of things.

We do have a -- MSPD has a guidelines for representation, and the trial division has, you know, guidelines that describe what those things would be that would constitute those areas that you're evaluating, so it would give expectations of certain things that you might do. For example, in pretrial status with your client's case, meeting with your client, discussing any pretrial motion, perhaps pursuing bond issues those -- those kinds of things. All of those things are listed there. You might not do every single thing on a given case or with a given client, but it gives you examples of what those things

Page 30

doing, and they're with the court and with staff and following policies and things like that. Through the first couple levels of -- of being a -- an assistant public defender, there's some time frames on that, especially with the first promotion process.

After that, the time frames become more -or at least they had been more suggested, but not -not like a bright line. In other words, it wasn't a specific time frame. And I can tell you over the -over the years, when there wasn't a specific time frame of something, it's very easy to go along and do stuff, and wake up one day, and you're three years down the road and, you know, trying to figure out if somebody needs to be evaluated for something. That's -- that's really easy.

Q. And -- and are -- I assume you're the one who's conducting these evaluations?

A. Yes, yeah. I'm the only person that does that --

Q. Right.

A. -- in my office.

Q. And on what criteria are your lawyers evaluated?

A. We have an actual -- there's an evaluation form that goes -- that has a breakdown of different would be.

In my evaluation process, I have to be able to evaluate as best I can how the attorneys perform under those given areas and how they -- you know, how are they -- how they are doing. Some people are better people people -- or they're better with people. You know, some people are much more -- you know, might be more research and litigation oriented type folks, but might have more difficulty interacting with, you know, clients, especially certain clients. They might have, you know, just difficulty sometimes, you know, being able to develop rapport, so it's evaluating those kinds of things.

Q. And when you're doing these evaluations, to what extent do your consider your attorneys' workloads, respective workloads in trying to figure out, you know, how to assess their performance?

A. Well, you have to try to take it into

account. I mean, the reality is, if I'm looking at someone's caseload, and the expectation on someone's caseload is that they're supposed to have contact with clients -- you know, try to have contact with clients every 30 days or 31 days, and, you know, specifically, if we have people that are in jail, being able to go and see those people, you know, obviously, if they see

8 (Pages 29 to 32)

Fax: 314.644.1334

Page 32

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 33

- 1 them at day 42 or day 45, or, you know, day 51, well,
- 2 that's outside of that guideline. But if I also know
- 3 that that attorney basically has, you know, a caseload
- 4 of 150 cases or 217 cases -- which I have two
- 5 attorneys that have over 200 cases right now -- as a
- 6 practical matter, I'm not going to penalize that
- 7 attorney. I don't think it's fair for me to penalize
- 8 that attorney for some of the things that are beyond
- 9 their control. They -- they can't -- they can't 1.0
- control how many cases show up in Bates County. The prosecutor controls how many cases show up in 11
- 12 Bates County.

13

14

15

16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

25

- Q. How many lawyers do you think you need in your office to -- in order to represent your clients adequate -- in order to represent every client adequately, how many lawyers do you -- do you need?
- 17 A. Well, I could easily -- right now, I have 18 eight. In theory, I'll have nine when I get somebody 19 hired. I could easily add -- I could easily add four
- 20 or five attorneys to that, and they'd be -- they'd be 21 plenty busy. I mean, I -- I have an attorney right
- 22 now who is in Henry County who has -- who has had the
- 23 highest caseload in the office, and there's been no 24 way for me to change that. Period. Because it's a
- 2.5
 - lone county. She currently has a homicide case right

Page 35

- A. And we handle every -- we handle everything from, you know, misdemeanor -- you know, misdemeanors, probation violations, up through homicides, which include murder 1s, unless it gets filed as -- unless
- 5 they file aggravators to make it a death case.
 - Q. You also said you have one investigator on staff; correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Is that enough for the eight attorneys that you have on -- on staff?
 - A. I would say no. I would say that my attorneys wouldn't have a clue as to whether it's enough.
 - Q. Why do you say that?
 - A. Because I think that they -- I think because of some of the caseload, they have a hard time figuring how to actually be able to assign stuff to do for an investigator to really go and do what investigators do.
 - Q. How many cases would you say your investigator is actively working on right now?
 - A. Idon't know. Imean, I -- Imean, I -- I truly don't know. The -- the reason I say that is, cases -- the way our process works is we have -there's a functionality within our case management

Page 34

now, along with those over 200 cases, that involves the shooting death of an officer in Clinton.

Q. Uh-huh.

A. Needless to say, could be -- it might end up being a capital case. We don't know that because the prosecutor won't say anything about anything about what he's going to do with it; although, he added another count to the case the other day. So she still has representation in that case. In other words, it hasn't gone to our capital unit or something like that. Now, we try to get help from them and get assistance in some way, but for all practical purposes, she has that case. We have a capital unit that deals solely with those cases.

Q. Uh-huh.

A. That attorney is currently having to deal with that case along with the other 200 and whatever cases that she has.

- Q. And why is that as far as you know? I mean, why wasn't the case sent to the capital unit?
 - A. Because it's not filed as a capital case.
- 2.3 A. It's a -- it's -- it's just -- right now,
- 2.4 it's just a murder 1.
 - Q. Okay.

Page 36

- 1 system called action items. Action items are a way
- 2 for an attorney to assign a specific type of thing.
- 3 It could be very, very specific, or it could be a more
- 4 generalized type of thing, to someone else in the
- 5 office; generally, that -- an investigator or a legal
- 6 assistant, perhaps. And that could be everything from
- 7 getting somebody's letter of incarceration from a
- 8 couple of different jails because we're trying to
- establish what jail time they might have, you know, 9 10
- been in jail in working out a deal in a case. But it
- 11 could also be as general as being able to give an
- 12 action item to the investigator that says, I want you
- 13 to review the discovery in this case. Go to talk to
- 14 the client at the jail. He's got a list of witnesses
- 15 already he wants you to be able to talk to. Go figure
- 16 out, you know, who those people are, and then let me
- 17 know what that is. That's a pretty big item. And you
- 18 know, like every office, you know, some attorneys are
- 19 able to make better use of -- some attorneys are 20 better delegators than others.
 - Q. Are you involved at all in the process of determining which cases get that investigator and which --
- 24 A. No.

21

22

23

25

Q. - don't?

9 (Pages 33 to 36)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

Page 37

1 A. No. I don't -- I encourage my attorneys 2 to -- whatever you think you need, you ask for it, and 3 then we'll figure out if we can't do it. And/or if my investigator has, you know, multiple different 5 requests coming at the same time, then she will come 6 into my office and say, hey, I -- you know, I've got, 7 you know, these four requests. How do you want me to, you know, organize -- how do you want me to organize this? Because like everything else, sometimes -- you know, sometimes you're able to get to the things. This is part of -- part of the built-in problem. Part 12 of built-in problem is you're not able to effectively go and meet and deal with clients such that you are able to get ahead of investigations. So what happens is, you end up a month out, three weeks out, and then suddenly, you're trying to get -- from a trial, and suddenly, you're trying to get various kinds of investigation done, and maybe you need to get this person served, or we need to go find, you know, whoever it might be that we're trying to find. And then suddenly, you have three attorneys making the 22 same kind of requests all in that time period. That's what creates, you know, that kind of problem. And frankly, there's times where I have my legal

4

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

2.5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 39

trying to occupy -- you know, carve out some niche of time with given -- you know, with given support staff. And that's assuming that those people aren't, you know, on some sort of leave, that, you know, people -that people go on or that people leave or that people are sick or that people that have babies or the people that do other kinds of things that, you know, they're gone for a period of time.

Q. Right. How do you -- assuming it's you -go about assigning cases to individual attorneys?

A. Cases in my office are primarily assigned two ways. They're assigned first by county. I have three attorneys that they're the only attorney in that county. And then I have the one attorney that all he did was conflicts for a long period of time. He's doing some cases in -- in Cass County as we whittle that down. But the other two large counties I have, Johnson County and Cass County, those two counties each have at least -- Johnson County has two attorneys in it. Cass County has three attorneys operating in it, which includes me. And those, we divide the cases more up by, in essence, case number. And when I say, "case number," it's a way to sort of divide out the cases such that it -- it, for the most part, fairly, you know, evens out. Every now and then, you'll have

Page 38

people and other kinds of stuff, because, you know, frankly, my investigator needs go do other stuff. And my investigator also oftentimes will help people in trial with taking notes and, you know, being able to assist trial-wise, so there's time incorporated with that as well.

assistants able to help with serving subpoenas on

Q. What do you -- what do you -- in your opinion, what is the ideal ratio of attorneys to investigators, or what would it be in your office?

A. It would be nice to have an investigator -frankly, have an investigator working with every two to three attorneys, so if you break that out, that would be -- I mean, in my office, about, at least three investigators, so having a couple more investigators.

One of the issues that we -- that we've had, and I -- and I say, "we've had." For years, I've had -- and I love having a -- the attorneys. I'll take every attorney I can get. But everywhere that we deal with, the court system, the prosecutor's office, their support staff is an inverse flip. So when I go to court or when I deal with someone's office, they have three or four support staff for every attorney that's in that -- in that office. Ours is almost just the opposite. And so you have multiple attorneys

Page 40

1 an attorney that'll -- you know, one attorney will 2 have more cases than another attorney, and, you know, 3 vice versa, but the way they assign the cases and 4 stuff there, it's a way to be able to kind of divide 5 that out

> Right now, in Johnson County, the attorney that's the younger attorney has the lower level felony cases over there and misdemeanor cases. The senior attorney I have over there has all the upper level A, B felony cases in Johnson County. The -- that same younger attorney has the misdemeanor only case -- he has a misdemeanor docket in Cass County that he does.

Q. Okay.

A. And then myself and another attorney have divided up the felony -- the felony caseload with the exception of that attorney doing A, B felony cases, which I'm not doing in Cass County, because that -it -- from a standpoint of trying to make some tradeoff in relation to numbers versus seriousness of cases and that sort of thing, that's the way I've been able to -- to do that

Q. Okay. Now, in addition to all of these other responsibilities, do you also do any kind of -do you have to do any kind of administrative work?

A. Oh, yeah. I mean -- I mean, part of

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

2.4

2.0

2.4

Page 41

administrative work is, like I said, review process, because all of those things are form oriented, electric -- you know, elect -- whether they're electronic, they used to be just more handwritten forms, but -- but there's still a form process, administrative process to that.

2.4

But I also have the other administration of the office reviewing basically the invoicing that comes in. And when I say "reviewing" it, my office support assistant gathers all that stuff, she opens all the things, she documents all those. But I then have to review those because I'm the one that signs off on all those. I also review everybody's time sheets and everybody's expense reports and have to approve those. And time sheets are time sensitive. You have to approve them within a given time frame because it's through whatever the State processing is. It's called Sam something. I don't know. But -- but in any event, it's their computer system has to -- has to swing through and process it in a given time, so I have to have everybody's time sheets to me for me to be able to approve those within a given time frame. And that's twice -- twice a month that I have to do

Q. Do you think your office is in need of more

kinds of things.

Do I need more of those people? Yeah. I could — I could use a whole handful of those — of those folks, because those people make — when I don't have those people, then I have attorneys doing all of that. And I consider that an — while it's important for clients and it's valuable for clients, I consider it an incredible waste of attorney time when they're trying to get, you know, things done for treatment programs to get somebody into a treatment program.

Q. How much time would you say a typical attorney in your office has to spend on administrative tasks, ballpark?

A. Wow. The best answer I can give you is it depends on the county that they're working in and how much legal assistant help they have, and here's why I'll give you that answer.

Q. Okay.

A. Because in our case management system, you, for example, can enter court dates, like, upcoming court dates and things like that; okay?

Q. Right.

A. If you're operating in a scenario where you have a legal assistant sitting there with you, like, sitting there in court, they can enter those court

Page 42

administrative staff?

A. Yeah. Support staff, absolutely. I mean -- well, let me back up, when you say "administrative staff," meaning, like, support staff.

Q. Right. Meaning, I think you mentioned you have two legal assistants, and then one --

A. The office support is --

Q. -- office support assistant; right?

A. Correct. The office support assistant -- and I keep trying to remember that name. That designation came in this last year. It used to be what was designated as a clerk typist or a clerk. And generally, I always described it, it was the person up front that basically ran the front end of the office.

Q. Right.

A. That answered the phones, when people came in, and did other kinds of administrative stuff, getting the mail, opening stuff up, processing billing.

The legal assistants work more specifically with the attorneys and go to jails, do intake on clients, and then, like, some of the other things that I was talking about as far as, you know, it's sometimes interviewing family members, sometimes helping set up treatment programs for people, those

Page 44

Page 43

dates right then, and that's done; okay? If you're operating in an out county, and you don't have a legal assistant to do that, then you're bringing your caseload back, and sometimes you're doing that, and so that dramatically increases that time frame.

It also increases the time that you spend doing some of those other things I was talking about as far as trying to get people into treatment programs, trying to do other kinds of stuff. If I have an administrative -- if I have a legal assistant sitting in court, and a client appears in court, and we can tell by talking with the judge, the judge would let that client out of jail if we can get that client into an inpatient legal program, I can turn to the legal assistant who's there, and say, "Let's talk with him before he gets out of the room. Let's get some things signed. Let's get some stuff done, and we see about trying to get him into a program." And they can -- and maybe they can talk with family or whoever else might be there that can help facilitate that.

If someone is in an out county, and they don't have that legal assistant there, they're having to get ready to go up on the very next case that's going to get called, because they're the only attorney that's there handling cases, primarily. And so once

11 (Pages 41 to 44)

Page 45 Page 47 1 they get done with client A, client B's case is 1 our stuff, and I could tell you, you know, that one 2 getting ready to get called. Their follow-up with 2 year we had 2,100 cases, and another year, we'll have 3 that is going to have to all take place after the 3 2700 case. But 2400 is prob -- 2300 is a probably a 4 4 pretty good average -fact, which means they have to either do it 5 themselves, or they're going to have to coordinate 5 Q. Got it. 6 with a legal assistant in the office to basically say, 6 A. -- over the last couple decades. 7 7 Q. Okay. You mentioned contact with clients? "Hey, I've got this guy. I need to have this done. 8 8 Can you help me, you know, get this?" 9 9 Q. How often are your -- you and your So, you know, from a time frame standpoint, 10 10 I don't know that I can really give you a good answer, attorneys supposed to meet with clients, as far as you 11 but that gives you a description of the -- of the 11 understand? 12 12 differences. A. Supposed to meet with the client within 1.3 Q. Understood. You mentioned that you right 13 seven days of initially opening the case. Once -now have somewhere between 150 and 200 -- or 120 and 14 once they've -- you know, when someone has been 14 15 approved for representation, when we open that case 15 150 cases. How many cases do you think you handle 16 up, they're supposed to get met within seven days of 16 personally over the course of a year? 17 that. On an ongoing basis, supposed to be every 30 --17 A. Oh, I easily end up assigned -- I would 18 basically, every 30 days. 18 easily end up assigned probably over 300 cases over --19 Obviously, there's some -- you know, I 19 over a year time frame. 20 would consider some exceptions to that. We have 20 Q. How --21 clients that are in mental health facilities. We have 2.1 A. Again, I could -- again, I could -- I -- I 22 clients that are out on bond that generally, all 22 could look at my database, and I could tell you the 23 you're doing is kind of waiting to see, for example, 23 exact number, because I've -- I've got a screenshot 24 in a probation case, is the client basically going to 2.4 that will -- that will tell me over the last 365 days, 2.5 keep doing what they're supposed to be doing so the 25 exactly how many cases I've assigned to me or anyone Page 46 Page 48 1 else. But that gives you -- my office, itself, opens 1 case may get set out, you know, a couple, three 2 2 anywhere from 2100 to 2600 cases a year. That's, you months? And the idea is they're just supposed to keep 3 know, going within that time frame, sometimes down 3 things kind of on line, and then they might get 4 around, you know, 2100, you know, upper, you know, 4 continued on probation. So that one, you might not be 5 2,075 or, you know, somewhere like that --5 as -- you know, looking at it and going, I -- you 6 6 know, I'm worried about someone having, you know, that Q. Uh-huh. 7 A. -- up to 2600 cases in any given year. We 7 specific contact every -- you know, every 30 days. 8 8 obviously conflict other cases out to other offices, Everybody that's in jail is supposed to get 9 so that wouldn't -- you know, while we open that case, 9 seen at least, in person, at the jail, every 30 days. 10 10 Which is a different problem. that's not a case that we end up assigning in our 11 office, so that would come off of that number some. 11 Q. Is that happening consistently? 12 12 But generally, we've had conflict cases assigned to A. No. And I can give you reasons for that. 13 us, so that -- that's just --13 That -- that have -- I currently have, at one point --14 Q. Uh-huh. 14 and this was one of the problems I had when you had A. That's moving -- it's moving chairs 15 15 asked earlier about caseload. I had assigned myself 16 around the -- around the table, you know, in the grand 16 the Saint Clair County caseload because it was the 17 scheme of things. So that number, that's probably, 17 smallest caseload in the office. And I'm like, it's a 18 you know, a -- 2400 would be a safe number on any 18 small county, has the fewest number of court days, 19 given year between eight attorneys. 19 smallest caseload. 2.0 Q. And -- and that's -- that number is typical 20 That county, as a result of -- that county 21 for over the last, what would you say --21 also houses federal inmates in their jail. Whenever 22 22 A. 20 years. they increase their federal inmate population, because 23 23 Q. 20 years. Okay. it makes them more money than our clients do, then they ship our clients out to other jails, so my

12 (Pages 45 to 48)

Fax: 314.644.1334

Saint Clair County caseload with clients in jail are

A. I mean, like I said, I've -- you know, I

could -- I can go back, and I can look at -- look at

24

25

2.4

25

2.5

2.4

Page 49

- 1 not in the Saint Clair County jail. They're currently
- 2 in -- at least at one point, I had clients in four
- 3 different jails. So when I'm talking about logistics
- 4 of being able to go see people, it's not that just
- 5 that you have to go to the Saint Clair County jail in
- 6 Osceola to go see all of your clients, and you could
- $7\,$ $\,$ map that out on one day, and say I'm going to go see
- 8 all these guys in jail. We'll just schedule the day,
- and I can go see them, and they'll just bring guys in,
 and I can talk to all of them. Guys in Saint Clair
- County, Henry County, Bates County, Vernon County, and
- 12 Cedar County. So when you start talking about going
- and seeing people, that then creates, well, now,you've got multiple places to go and multiple
- logistics problems with that in seeing folks.

- They also had the added benefit of, even though they're housed in that county, if the Saint Clair County jail decides to go come get that
- person for some reason; say they're in Henry County,
 and Henry County, Clinton, is closer to my office. So
 actually, if have someone in Clinton, it might be a
- shorter trip for me to go see them. But the problem
- is the people in Henry County don't have control of
- that inmate. That's a Saint Clair County inmate. So if Saint Clair County decides to come get that guy,

Page 50

they don't have to notify Henry County. They just drive up there, and they show up at the sally port and they say, "Hey, we need to pick up so and so, and we're going to transport him back, you know, for whatever reason." Okay?

Q. Uh-huh.

A. So if you've planned to go see that individual -- and I know this, and I say this because I've had this happen. You plan to go see that individual, you drive to the Henry County jail, you go there and say, "I'm here to see Jones," and they go, "Well, he's not here." And I'm like, "Well, where is he?" "Well, the people in Saint Clair County came and picked him up, so they transported him back to somewhere else." You have that kind of problem.

I currently have -- and I know this, and I can speak for another office just because I know this: We currently have Greene County inmates in the Johnson County jail. Now, I know you don't know necessarily where Greene County is. Greene County is --

Q. Springfield?

A. – Springfield, yes. So we have people from Springfield up in Centerview, which is where the Johnson County jail is, which is basically in the

Page 51

- middle of nowhere besides some railroad tracks. We currently have a number of Greene County inmates on a regular basis up in the Johnson County jail. I can't envision how the people from Springfield go see any of those people.
 - Q. And do you know -- do you know is that because the jails in Springfield are full?
 - A. Sure.

Q. Are they -- do you know whether they're housing federal inmates there as well?

A. I have no idea whether they house federal inmates there. I know that, in our current jails, Saint Clair County houses federal inmates, Bates County houses federal inmates. So we have that influx problem routinely with -- with them. Cass County does not house federal inmates, so we don't have that issue with the Cass County jail as far as that's concerned.

Q. How long is the -- if you know, how long is the drive from Johnson County to Springfield?

A. Let me think here. Springfield is a half hour from Warrensburg. Half hour, half hour -- at least an hour and a half to two hours to there, because it's -- I know that both my boys went to school down in Springfield, so I'm just trying to

Page 52

- adjust where the map is. It's going to at least -- it would at least be an hour and a half at the very least, and it's probably more closer to two.
 - Q. Okay. So just a a couple of procedural questions before we kind of jump into the what's happening currently. But after defendants are arrested, the first time they go before the Court is for their initial hearing; correct?
 - A. Uh-huh.

Q. Are lawyers from your office present for those initial hearings?

A. Generally, no. It depends -- frankly, it just depends on when you get arrested and what county you're in. And -- because if you get arrested close enough to a court date that we would normally be there, then they might just bring them over when we're there in any event, and have their initial appearance that -- you know, that day. Other times, in a number of these counties, they will do an initial appearance, and we're not there. They just do the initial appearance, arraign them on whatever charges they have or probation violation that they have, and then set their case for some court date where it would be the Court's normal docket for whatever -- whatever Court they would be appearing in front of.

13 (Pages 49 to 52)

2.4

2.0

2.4

Page 53

Q. Okay. And so in instances where lawyers from your office are at the initial appearance, it's not because of any proactive steps you've taken. It's just you — the lawyer happens to be there —

A. The lawyer is there are for the docket.

Q. Okay.

2.4

2.0

2.4

person out of jail.

A. Now, we've – we have had – and my legal assistants, over the years, we have – we have attempted to do things proactively to be able to – you know, they – they've gone through the jail list. They know who's been recently picked up, especially people that we have represented before that might have, you know, various kinds of things. They will attempt to go see those people prior to the court date in order to be able to at least start the application process. And when I say, "prior to the court date," prior to the court date that gets assigned. So it still may not be, you know, in – in relation to that other initial appearance date, but in relation to an actual court date where we would be there.

I'll -- and I'll give you a for instance.

In Cass County, we have court on -- this Thursdays is the associate docket -- the felony associate docket where people would initially be appearing on new felony charges that they've been arrested on. Some of

initial hearing?

A. Yes.

Q. Do you know -- and in Area 17, are the judges using bail schedules or --

A. And in fact, let me back up from that a second. In fact, here's what happens when they set their — they — the Court may review bond. And I should — should correct that. The Court may review bond at that initial appearance; although, they generally don't. The bond actually originally gets set when they file the probable cause statement and file the complaint, and they'll make a bond request on that — on that complaint. And there are various Counties that will — just based on the nature of it, if it's a C felony charge — in Bates County, if it's a C felony drug charge, they're going to have a \$10,000 bond. That's — that's what — that's just what they set their — set their bonds at.

- Q. But does the judge have the authority to alter that bond amount at -- at the initial appearance?
 - A. If they're interested in doing it.
- Q. And there's no lawyer there to advocate for them to do it; correct?

A. Correct. At that -- yes.

Page 54

- those people may have appeared, you know, yesterday, or they might have, you know, appeared today in front of Judge Rumley had an initial arraignment on what their charge is. Now, the question is what court date do they get set to, to be brought up for a regular court appearance where they would expect that our staff might be there on -- or would be there normally on that docket; okay? So if we know that person's court date -- if we can look at the jail list and see that person's court date is going to be this Thursday, my legal assistant, Julie, will try to go over -- will try to go over to the jail if possible to be able to, you know, get an application, be able to talk to that person to see what we can do about trying to get that
- Now, the flip side of that is there's a lot of that that can't take place and doesn't take place, and so -- and it certainly doesn't in, you know, rural counties -- in the more rural counties, because the legal assistants aren't out there, you know, doing that. Then what happens is that person waits until the upcoming court date in order for us to be there in order for us to do the application process at that point in court.
 - Q. And is -- is bond usually set at that

Page 56

Page 55

- Q. When -- when the -- when -- when your lawyers happen to be there, are they there representing the client at that hearing, or are they just there to be assigned the case if the person qualifies?
- A. It -- if our -- our practice has been, and it's the reason I tried to get our legal assistants being able to be proactive and going over to those jails, because the more that we could get ourselves with an application in hand, and more specifically, with bond information in hand for a client, then when the day that they appeared in court, we were in a better position to be able to say, you know, this person has a job. If he'd -- if we could get his bond reduced, here's where he's going to be living, all the usual bond kind of arguments that you would be able to make.

In a number of these places where you don't necessarily have that, it depends upon what I would describe as the Court's patience in relation to whether they're going to listen to that or deal with that on that given day. And frankly, what you're in the position of doing is basically then making what I would describe as the ad hoc bond argument, which is, well, my client is in jail. He doesn't have anything

14 (Pages 53 to 56)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

Page 57

- else pending anywhere right now. This is a drug charge. Please, let him out. And that – and you
- 3 could just fill in the name of you know, client
- 4 whoever at the top of that, and basically start making
- 5 that bond argument for most everybody that's in jail.
- 6 And you just know that the guy who's charged with the
- 7 multiple count sex case probably isn't going to get
- $8\,$ anything heard that day. But that's -- that's kind of
- 9 the nature -- you're not in a situation where you're 10 going to be able to actively say, I'm going to -- I'm
- going to make sure this guy's employer sends a -- you
- know, gives a letter or something that just says,
- "Hey, yeah. If you let the guy out, he's working for me"

15 Q. Right.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Or that some family members specifically show up to say — like Grandma or Mom to say, "Yes, he's going to be living at my house." And the judge in some of these rural areas, they know those people, they know who they are, so you might be able to get that person out. That doesn't happen at those initial appearances where we're not at at all. And the ones where we are at, our problem is, if we're not able to do that, then yeah, you're not in the position to be

able to do that. So at best, you're then trying to

Page 59

A. Yeah. I mean, it's a -- I mean, it's a function primarily of a number of those kinds of things, but that -- but that is a -- that's a -- a huge factor in it, and it's a factor of when the next court dates might be.

Some places are more amenable to listening to -- to oral bond motions. And frankly, I've encouraged -- I've always encouraged every attorney in my office, and I've always done it. It's like, I -- I don't care -- frankly, I don't care what the prosecutor objects to, and I don't care what the judge says they're going to listen to or not listen to. I'm going to make that request for my client regardless, because at least, if nothing else, you're going to deny it -- you're going to have to at least deny it.

Q. Right.

A. And I'm going to make that -- I'm going to make that request. And part of that goes back to what I was talking about in relation to clients to begin with. At least they know that you tried to ask for something. Even if you're not in a good position to do it, you've at least tried to do it.

Q. Are there -- are there ever situations where your office is appointed later than at the initial appearance?

Page 58

request the shortest turnaround time that you can for your client in order to then be in the position of being able to try to argue the bond situation.

Q. All right. Do lawyers ever request hearings specifically to deal with bond reduction motions?

A. Yes. The ones that are -- but they're -there are few, and when I say, "few," the ones that are the -- the full-on bond hearing, written motions, calling witnesses type deal, those are few and generally revolve around situations where you perhaps have, you know, serious cases. They're going to -under the -- under the Missouri Constitution, the ability to be able to have victims present at those hearings, and oftentimes -- and I know in one county in particular, the State complains every single time we make a request in relation to a bond about being able to notify somebody being there, and this might even be in a -- you know, in a drug case. Like, there isn't anybody to -- to notify in that case, but -- but that -- that's the kind of thing that doesn't generally go on. We're not in a position to be able to -- to do that.

Q. And that's a function primarily of the -- the timing of the appointment?

Page 60

A. Oh, yeah.

Q. Or is that --

And two questions: One, how often does

that happen?

scenarios.

A. It depends, and — and I say "it depends."

It's just — it occurs for different reasons, and

it — not so much to do with the Court usually as it
does with the client. Clients sometimes create
scenarios where our involvement ends up being somehow
at a later — just ends up being at a later point.

Someone ends up out of — and — and this is, you
know, for people out of custody. For example, people
out of custody, they've posted bond, they don't hire
an attorney, they don't hire an attorney, they show up
to court three or four times, the judge yells at them
and then eventually says, "You go over and talk to

those people over there. You know, I'm tired of the

fooling around with this." So we end up with those

I also end with scenarios where private attorneys have communication issues with their clients where then they request to withdraw from a case. They end up bailing out of a case, and then the judge goes, "Well, who are you going to hire?" And then it's, "Well, I don't have any. All the money I had, I

15 (Pages 57 to 60)

2.5

Page 61

spent -- you know, I gave to that deadbeat that, you know, I just fired." And so now, they're filling out an application for our services, so we end up with those situations. That's down the road, you know, from where they originally had something going on.

2.4

2.4

Q. Okay. You mentioned that there are — often, there's no lawyer present for the initial appearance. Are there other pretrial proceedings where your lawyers are not able to be present for a client's hearing?

A. No. I mean, our Courts are — are — all the Courts that we deal with are — are good about — whether or not the client's there, we're there. We have situations where the client may not be there. They're either off at DOC, they may be at some — you know, some other facility or, you know, some — and frankly, we've had scenarios where they've had issues transporting people from a given jail, and so then — you know, then we're, you know, trying to do things without the client there. But — but I think from a standpoint of other proceedings without attorneys there, all of our judges, I think, have a pretty good concept of the fact that that shouldn't — that shouldn't happen.

Q. Are there situations where the attorneys --

Page 63

Page 64

- $1 \qquad \text{be happening where that attorney would normally be at} \\$
 - that docket for that person, and there's something
- 3 that we could do to be able to try to facilitate
- 4 getting somebody out, we'll try to do that. Because
- 5 frankly, I don't want people sitting in jail just
- 6 because -- just because they've got to sit in jail
- $7 \qquad \text{because the attorney is trying to represent somebody} \\$
- 8 else. That being said, that goes back to what I was
- 9 talking about earlier in that's great for the 10 attorneys and -- and helping out with other peop
- attorneys and -- and helping out with other people.
 That's one more day they don't have to do something
 - Q. Okay. I want to skip to -- you're familiar with the recent Hinkebein decision; correct?
 - A Yes

because they're doing that.

Q. What do you know about the -- the decision -- the implications of that decision?

A. To me, the implications of the decision are that, ethically, my attorneys and myself have been told that they have no -- their caseload is not an excuse for not doing things, and their option, which I remember listening to the arguments in relation to it, a suggestion that the attorney just walk in and quit, which I thought I was kind of -- well, I have a lot of opinions on that, but I'll -- I won't venture into

Page 62

where you have to substitute one attorney for the other to make sure someone is present?

A. Oh, sure.

Q. How -- how often does that happen?

A. It's -- well, it's going to happen Monday. It would have happened yesterday, but frankly, I was at home sick. That's the reason it's going to happen next Monday is because one of the attorneys in my office, the one who does conflict work, has to be involved in, I think, Clay County with a judge up there who refuses to do something minus a whole bunch of things, and so we have a case where a client has posted a huge bond that we filed a motion to with -- to withdraw as counsel in the case. That's going to have to actually be heard this next Monday, and I'm going to be there in relation to that, because he's going to be in Clay County.

Q. All right.

A. But that happens on a routine kind of basis. That happens with -- you know, if attorneys are sick and out. It also happens when people are in trial, because oftentimes, I mean, we will try to substitute or try to have people stand in. In particular, if they've got people in jail, if they're in trial, and then there's some other thing that would

those.

But let's just say that — that basically, what it said — there are two things that come from that. My attorneys have an obligation themselves to recognize that they can only ethically handle what they believe they can ethically handle; and that's not, like, a magic number or something like that. That's based on their experience, based on their logistics, based on, you know, a number of different things. They look at their caseload and say, "I think this is all that I can do."

It also tells me that I as an — as a supervisor — because I have a separate ethical obligation under the rules, under the ethical rules. I as supervisor have an obligation to make sure that that attorney — that — that all of my attorneys in my office are not violating ethical rules as a supervising manager, and so it's my duty to also not assign them cases and to tell them, "No, you're not going — you're not going to take cases."

Q. Prior to the Hinkebein decision, were attorneys in your office permitted to refuse cases if they thought they couldn't ethically handle any more?

A. I don't think anybody knew what that actually meant. I think the reality -- the reality of

16 (Pages 61 to 64)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

Page 65

that -- as I said, in January, I'll have been doing 1 2 this 22 years. I don't know what the -- the day I 3 started -- you ask about reviewing stuff. I remember this number only because I remember it from when I 4 5 started. I had 166 cases the day I started with the 6 public defender's office. But I -- I haven't known 7 another reality. I don't know that I would be able 8 to -- I don't know whether I would be able to 9 effectively assess that because I'm just one of those 10 people, I'm going to -- you hand me whatever, and I'm

going to go in and I'm going -- I'm going to go do it.

Q. Well, you would --

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. That's just -- that -- that's my -- my personality. I've never had -- I've had attorneys come to me over the years stressed out, very stressed out about, you know, either their caseload, the trials they have coming up, that's usually in conjunction with caseload. Whether they're going to be able to go -- whether, basically, they're going to be punished for going on vacations. And when I mean "punished," not by me.

Q. Right.

A. Not by management, but by the caseload, because none of it goes away; it just gets continued. So when you come back, you've got the caseload you

Page 67

- with them losing their license, and they've now been actively put on notice, you could lose your license for this. You could get reprimanded, you could get suspended, you could -- what -- whatever. A number of things could happen to you, but the bottom line is you could basically be -- at the very least, become marginally employable, and you might lose your license altogether. And every day you come to the office, you've still got that caseload.
- And guess what? When you go to court Wednesday, there's going to be 12 people that are going to fill out applications that are going to want you to represent them, and the Court is going to ask whether those people qualify as indigent, and when you tell them, "yes," then the judge is going to expect that you represent them. And even when I tell the Court, "I don't have an attorney to assign to represent them," the judge says, "Well, I'm appointing the public defender's office to represent them. That's up to you all."

Q. Did you talk with your staff as a whole about the decision or about the implications of the decision?

A. Yes, yeah. I had a staff meeting -- I had a staff meeting -- I arranged a staff meeting the

Page 66

haven't done plus new caseload.

And so I've never had anybody walk in my office and say -- and cite to me, you know, well, I -you know, I have this ethical rule that I'm not supposed to do this, and I'm not supposed to do that, and I don't think that I can do that. I don't think they would have known what it was. And frankly, I don't know that I would know what it was.

Q. How did your attorneys respond to the decision?

A. Varying degrees of panic. I think that they -- some of them felt like they've been told something that -- again, to tell people that they should just walk in and quit, these are with people with families, with student loans, with other kinds of obligations that they've put themselves in that everybody does in the course of normal life. And to basically tell somebody, well, your obligation is to basically give up your job, you know, good luck with that. They were -- they -- and then you follow that up with, I think, your stress of the reflection a few days afterwards, which is, now, they suddenly realize that every potential letter that they get, every phone call that they get is potentially the next person that is going to file a Bar complaint that could end up

Page 68

Friday -- we found out about the decision while I was actually at a training program, and I got my staff 3 together that Friday when I got back, and I think there was only one attorney that was not going to be able to be there because of a prior court obligation, and that attorney was actually the one that was going to end up leaving my office in any event.

> But I got them all together, I printed out what those rules were, and I forwarded them the information in relation to the actual -- the -- the recording of that hearing, and I said, "Here's what's come down. You need to listen to -- everybody in this office should listen to this. Everybody. Not just attorneys; everybody in this office should listen to this. And here's what those rules are. And I want you to know what those are, and I want to you to take that in." And I told them that I was going to be immediately contacting the Courts and that, you know, whatever -- whatever happened from that point forward, this is -- this is the world that we're in.

Q. Did you get questions, concerns from your staff in response to this?

A. Oh, yeah. I mean, their -- I mean their -you know, some of the questions are basic logistic like, well, what do -- what do I -- you know, what I

17 (Pages 65 to 68)

Page 69 Page 71 1 do I do? 1 that. Basically, they were telling -- they were --2 Q. Right. 2 they were -- the judges were telling clients -- what 3 A. I mean, what do I -- what do I tell the 3 we would do is we would approve someone 4 judge when I go to court? What -- what -- what do I 4 representation-wise. Say we approve them 5 5 tell a client when, you know, they fill out an representation-wise as indigent. The judge would say, 6 application, and we're getting applications on a daily 6 if you've posted a bond, like one -- like the \$400 7 7 basis from the jail? Or what do I tell, you know, cash bond or whatever, that bond is going to be 8 people's, you know, family when they call in and say, 8 assignable to the attorney that I'm going to appoint "Hey, you know, so and so got picked up. He's in 9 9 to represent you. I'm going to appoint Mr. Jones here 10 10 jail. You know, we need you to be able to get him to represent you, and if that attorney is in court, out. We need you to do whatever." You know, but what 11 11 the judge, you know, will waive them over and -- and 12 12 do we -- what do we tell those people? So you had assign them the case. If the attorney is not in 13 logistics questions, and you also had, like I said, 13 court, they'll say, "Here's the attorney that is going 14 the -- what I would describe as the stress/panic 14 to get assigned to you. The fee for that attorney is 15 15 questions, which are the ones of, well, wait a minute. going to be this based on that amount." If they 16 You know, I don't -- I don't mean to thinking about 16 haven't posted a bond, the judge will tell them, 17 me, but what about me? I mean, you know. 17 "You're going to have to come up with the \$375 or 18 18 Q. Right. The training you mentioned that you whatever to pay attorney so and so as -- as the fee; 19 were at, this was the management training --19 okay? The -- that attorney is going to be appointed 20 A. Yes. 20 to your case, and they're going to represent you, and 21 21 Q. -- that was -- that was happening at that that's going to be the fee for them to represent you." 22 time? 22 Q. Is that a fee that would have otherwise 23 23 A Yen been --2.4 Q. Does your office -- so post Hinkebein, did 24 A. To the public defender. 2.5 your office establish a waiting list for defendants? 25 Q. - provided to the public defender's Page 70 Page 72 1 A. Yes. 1 office? 2 2 Q. And can you talk to me about how that A. Yes. And I say -- and I say "a fee 3 3 waiting list works? provided." We don't get any of those -- we're not --4 A. I have two different things going on in the 4 not getting any of those fees up front or any --5 two circuits that I have. The 17th Circuit, which is 5 anything like that. I mean, we enter cases, we 6 Cass County and Johnson County, that circuit, a number 6 make -- we file a fee notice with the Court saying 7 of yeas ago, when we were going through -- the public 7 that, you know, we ultimately are assessing what would 8 8 defender system was going through caseload litigation, be that fee to the individual, and sometimes, those 9 that circuit actually -- and the presiding young judge 9 get collected through income tax refunds with the 10 10 is there right now, Judge Collins, who's -- who's the state. Sometimes people send them -- you know, I 11 PJ, they developed an administrative order in relation 11 mean, people will contact us and say, "Hey, you know, 12 to, specifically Cass County. Now, he's taken that 12 can I go ahead and pay that now?" Or, "Can my family 13 13 over now to Johnson County as well, which is a pay that," or you know, whatever. We don't premise 14 different animal. 14 our representation on -- whether we enter a case based 15 But basically, that administrative order, 15 on whether they've paid that. They just know that 16 for lack of a -- a better thing is this: They will 16 that's the fee, unless the fee gets waived for some

18 (Pages 69 to 72)

Fax: 314.644.1334

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

reason.

I have a number of cases that when we

assign these cases out -- and when I say "we" assign

represent them under that administrative order, then

what we do is I -- we -- if we have that open case on

a -- on a waiting list in our office, then what we do

is we close that case out, and we do not -- I waive

the fee in that case, so we collect no -- we -- we

them, when the Court assigns a private attorney to

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

take -- they developed a list of private attorneys.

they did is they used our fee guidelines, our fee

structure as the fee structure for those attorneys.

bond, our fee structure is, I think on a -- you know,

The judge went and talked to the private Bar and met

with them and said, you know, we're going to need to

start assigning some cases to you people. And what

So if you had people posting, you know, a \$5 00 cash

on a number of felony cases was \$375 or something like

Page 73

don't assign any fee to that because that was going go to that private attorney --

Q. Uh-huh.

2.4

A. — okay? Now, the Court is not doing that in all of those cases, but they are assigning some of our cases — what would be our cases to private attorneys under that administrative order. They're doing it much more in Cass County than they are in Johnson County. That's partly because the associate judges in Cass County are much more proactive in basically doing this, and — and in essence, following that kind of process.

That's all initiated by client fills out application, and we approve them as indigent, and if they're approved as indigent, then we're advising the Court, this would be an indigent person that we would otherwise represent, and the Court then is doing that under that administrative order.

Q. Now --

A. That's in the 17th Circuit.
 (Martin Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.)

Q. And I'm going to hand you what I think is now Exhibit 13. I apologize I don't have extra copies of this. I'll send it across so you can take a look

Page 75

point. One of them is actually the prosecutor down there in Cass County now. And that dealt almost exclusively with -- for the most part, misdemeanor cases, probation violations. It didn't have as much other, you know, felony cases involved. This time, there's a larger list of attorneys that -- that they're working with that have agreed to, you know, be able to take some of these -- some of these cases.

attorneys, basically, we were dealing with at that

you're dealing with.

Q. Okay. And what's happening in the other

And so it's not just to two -- two attorneys that

judicial circuit?

A. Well, in the other circuit, I actually filed our notice for conference requests under Chapter 600. The associate judge in Bates County, which is Judge Hopkins, when she initially found out -- before I had ever filed a notice, but when she found out basically that I had indicated to the attorney heading down there that we're not -- we're going to have to do something about not taking cases, she literally just started assigning attorneys in court. She didn't even -- She didn't have an administrative order. She didn't care about fees or anything. She just started naming attorneys that were

Page 74

at it.

Is that the administrative order that you're referring to?

A. That looks like exactly it.

Q. Okay.

A. That is correct. And yep, that's signed by Judge Collins.

Q. Now you said that there is a — a different setup in the other circuit; correct?

MR. WILLIAMSON: Let me --

Q. (By Mr. Williamson) Now, before we get to that other circuit, other than, I guess, talking with the Court about private attorneys who might be able to — to fill in, did you have any other involvement in developing this order?

A. Well, I did originally. And like I said, this is — this is basically a renewal of — with some modifications to it, of the original administrative order that Judge Collins did back a few years back, whenever that was, in being able to — it's a little bit different version of it.

Q. Uh-huh.

A. We did -- we had a different referral system -- a little bit different referral system that we did back under the original order. There were two

Page 76

in court down there on her docket and started assigning cases to them.

In Henry County and in Saint Clair County, that did not happen. The judge in Henry County, Judge Strothmann, basically gave some more time for clients; in other words, kind of what I would describe as tried to give them more time to -- a little bit more time to, you know, maybe hire somebody. Said, "Well, you need to work at, you know, trying to hire someone in some fashion."

But then it wasn't long after that in filing our request that Judge Journey in -- basically saying that based upon the -- my initial notice to them that we weren't going to take cases, Judge Journey said that -- and sent me a notice basically saying that they didn't recognize that, and he was going to order us into cases. And so he created basically a blanket form that is an order form that they simply sign in court, fill in the client's name, case number, and scanned it into their system that says, the, you know, public defender's office under Chapter 600 is being appointed to this case.

I appeared in court. I've entered a few of those cases under objection. I have now advised Judge Strothmann last Friday when I was in court, and

19 (Pages 73 to 76)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 77

I advised Judge Journey, who is the circuit judge and is the presiding judge, that I'm not assigning an attorney to any case at this point. And so while he may be assigning cases to the public defender's office in general, I'm not assigning any attorney to any case, and that includes me.

Q. And are those the cases that are then ending up on a wait -- wait list?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Yes. I currently have — when I had looked at it, I think there's about 100 and — and I say, "on a wait list," there's also Cass County — I mean, I still have a wait list of people in — from any of these counties. The — we currently have about 100 and — I think when I saw it last, it was around 105 or 110, something like that, people on a wait list.

Q. How many -- do you recall when that wait list was started?

18 A. We started really being able to -- we've 19 had a couple things in our -- in our case management 20 system to try to be able to track it, and -- but I 21 will say that we started doing that literally 22 October -- it would have been right around whenever I 23 had -- and you may have a copy of the e-mail that I 2.4 got from -- from -- from Judge Journey indicating his 25 feelings about my notice to them.

Page 79

filed a number of things in my request. He's going to deny a number of those things, which we'll see where that ends up.

Q. And of – of those roughly 105 defendants who are on the waiting list, do you know approximately how many of them are in custody?

A. No. I don't.

Q. Some of them are in custody?

A. Oh, yeah. Some of them are definitely in custody. Oh, absolutely.

Q. Okay. And to be clear, the -- the Chapter 600 motion is just an order to schedule a conference; right?

A. Correct.

Q. I mean, there's no -- no conference has happened at this point?

A. No conference. I – again, I'll look at it in two different – two different settings. I had a meeting. Judge Collins scheduled for all practical purposes what I would describe as a conference with myself and the two prosecutors from Johnson County and Cass County. This wasn't under me filing a motion or anything like that. This was us having this meeting. Other than talking about some of the things administrative order-wise, I basically sat through

Page 78

And I'll explain my process. After I got back, and I met with my attorneys, over the weekend, I contacted both of my presiding judges. I called both of them on the phone, and I told them exactly what we were going to do; that I was go I think to stop assigning cases to attorneys, that I felt it was a Rule 4 violation, and that I had obligations under my own supervisory ethical rules not to appoint these cases. Judge Collins asked whether -- and both of them asked whether I was going to be filing something under the Chapter 600. With Judge Collins, because they were trying -- he was trying to reestablish this administrative order, I told him I wouldn't file anything that -- if they were going try to work at doing stuff, I wasn't going to file something there. Judge Journey had no such plan with that, and so I eventually went ahead and filed a Chapter 600 motion, and that's where we stand right now. Q. Has Judge Journey ruled on that --

A. No.

Q. -- Chapter 600 --

A. He's -- he is -- we have a conference currently verbally scheduled for the 15th, Friday the 15th. He has not sent -- he has not sent an actual order, written order out in relation to it, because I

Page 80

what I would describe as about three hours of meeting where I had prosecutors lecture me about work habits and whether SIS offers -- whether I expected that offers would get any better than an SIS offer and what -- you know, what did I want. So I've moved on from that. Although, I remember it.

Q. Okay.

A. Judge Journey verbally scheduled this conference initially for the 1st, and then because he hadn't issued his order, when I was in this court with him on Monday — I want to say November 20 — the end of November, whatever that Monday was after Thanksgiving.

Q. Uh-huh.

A. 20-something, whatever it was. It was the Monday after Thanksgiving.

Q. 27th?

A. I was in court in front of Judge Journey and was still objecting about cases, and he had -- went ahead to reschedule the hearing for the -- for the 15th. Had also asked whether I had seen the order that Judge Torrence had issued out of Jackson County. I told him that I had seen that order, that I was personally offended by the language in some of that order referring to our caseload situation, I think, as

20 (Pages 77 to 80)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 81

a saga of some sort, which I found offensive, and Judge Journey indicated to me that while he agreed with some of the practical aspects of the ruling, as far as what he was going to allow or not, he didn't necessarily agree with the tone of the order. I have yet to see an order. We have a meeting scheduled for the 15th. We'll see what -- what happens at that -and yes, that is only a conference.

Q. Uh-huh. And are the judges, as far as you know, aware of the fact that you have this -- this waiting list in place?

A. Yes.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. When you shared your plans with the two judges prior to actually moving forward with your -with your -- with your plan, what -- what was their response?

A. Well, like I said, I think Judge Collins has always indicated his intent to kind of reactivate or reinvigorate this -- a modified version of this order that he had. And I think that his -- my impression with it was that he was -- he had indicated he was going to talk to the Bar in both counties and try to get, you know, what cooperation he could out of

Judge Journey had indicated to me before

Page 83

Page 84

VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record, 4:46 p.m.

- Q. (By Mr. Williamson) Mr. Martin, in your opinion, did the attorneys in your office have the time and resources to communicate with their clients in the manner that each case requires?
- A. No.
- Q. Does that include yourself?

 - Q. In your opinion, do the attorneys in your office have the time and recess -- resources to investigate each case in the manner that it requires?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Okay. And is it -- does that include you?
 - A Yes
 - Q. What's the basis for your opinion?

A. Because I think that what we've become good at is shortcuts, and rather than doing the things that you should do, you do the things that expedite things as best as you can expedite them. It's a triage process, and you look at cases, and you go, this is your average drug case, but it's got a few pages of discovery. It's got a lab report. I can go talk to my guy. I can do this or that. Done. And that's the amount of time I'm going to spend on it. And if my guy's sitting in jail, he just wants to get out. And

Page 82

he, you know, knew what our situation was. He knows the attorneys I have working there, and he knows exactly what their caseloads are and -- because I've reiterated it with him a number of times over a long period of time. The -- his -- he expressed what I would describe as, I think, more frustration with not so much us, but with the local Bar in general just because there are -- there's not going to be as much cooperation -- first of all, there's not as many defense attorneys; and second, there's just not going to be as much cooperation in -- in general in relation to what we would have out there given the numbers of what we have out there.

And there's an added complicated factor in Henry County that revolves entirely around the prosecutor there, which I could go at length, but I won't.

Q. Okay.

COURT REPORTER: If you get to a stopping point, I could just use five.

MR. WILLIAMSON: Why don't we take five right now?

COURT REPORTER: Sure.

VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 4:38 p.m. (A brief recess was taken.)

if the prosecutor's making a probation offer, he's like, why can't we do that yesterday? I should already be out this afternoon. And nobody -- as a practical matter, nobody is going to turn and tell a client, well -- and especially if they're stuck in jail, "What you need to do is sit in the jail so I can investigate further your case." That -- as a reality,

people aren't -- people aren't going to do that. If your client is out of jail, you can have a little bit different discussion with them.

But from my experience with our clients, one of the things that also happens is you're dealing with people that are indigent. They have driver's license problems, they have transportation issues, they have family issues, they have over kinds of things. And the more court dates you set, the more likely it is they're not going appear at some point, and then that runs the risk of them ending up right back in the jail, and then the case that you were trying to look at, they're ready to now -- they just want to be done with it so they can get out of the jail. And that -- you know, that -- that happens in cases.

And you -- when I say "triage," you then start looking at cases that you go, this case is a sex

21 (Pages 81 to 84)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

1

2

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 85

- 1 case. I know -- I already know in my head I'm going 2 have to depose a number of people. I'm going to be 3 getting school records. I'm going to be getting DFS 4 records. I'm going to be, you know, talking to the 5 people at Children's Mercy, and they don't like to 6 cooperate, so I'm going to have to try to fight 7 getting deposition times with those folks. I know 8 the -- the level of litigation that's going to be involved in that case, and so you just start devoting 9 10 resources. You go, I got so much time. I can do so 11 much stuff. I'm going to devote this to this, and I'm
 - Q. So -- and you talked earlier about the -- the level of experience among your attorneys. And you testified that there are things that those attorneys are able to manage that younger attorneys may not be able to; correct?
 - A. Sure, yes.

not going to devote that to that.

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

2.5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

- Q. And yet, even with that experience, you don't believe that your attorneys are able to cover all of their bases?
- A. Yeah. I don't think their -- I -- I don't think that they can do that in the way that they should do that. I think they have to make do with what they have and what you can do. You have -- you

Page 87

are not able to retain an expert or don't retain an expert for whatever reason?

A. I -- well, there's two different -- there's two different things there. I have -- I don't think, other than asking them to clarify something that I know somebody further along the management line would want to have clarified to approve the request for the money, something within the actual request, itself, I don't think I've denied anybody's request for anything. I mean, I've basically approved those as long as they've got it structurally the way they should have to be able to get it approved. I think the real question there is, knowing what it is that you need get.

And here's the example of what I was talking earlier: When you're triaging, you're basically saying, I know I'm going to have to put the work into this case first because it's going to require the most logistics, and so I'm going to work on that, and I'll put this off until then because I don't have to. The case that you put off until then now is three weeks before trial, you're deposing witnesses, you find out something that you go, holy crap, I'd really — I'd like love to have somebody be able to tell me if you can actually do that, you know.

Page 86

have what you have. You -- you do what you do with what you have.

Q. So the -- their experience makes them better at triaging --

- A. Yes.
- Q. -- than younger attorneys?
- A. Oh, yeah.
- Q. But triaging is not the standard?
- A. No. Triaging is not a standard. Triaging is, I recognize -- I better recognize shortcuts.

Q. How often do your attorneys employ experts?

A. All of them do. I would say the vast majority of the experts that we hire -- and I say "vast majority." I don't know that I could put a percentage on it, but I just know from approving the requests for money, the vast majority of the experts revolve around mental health issues, being -- being able to have people evaluated for mental health purposes, competency purposes, sometimes NGRI purposes, but there's a lot that revolves that. Some involving other kinds of issues, but very little that I would describe as the kind of experts that people read about in the news and get all excited about.

Q. Are there cases for which you think experts or an expert would be appropriate where your lawyers

Page 88

- Maybe I need a guy that could look at X and tell me that. Well, now, they're two weeks out from trial, and they're trying to figure out, can I actually get
- and they're trying to figure out, can I actually get
 an expert to be able to do that? And so it -- that's
- 5 how you run into the problems of, well, maybe I -- you
- 6 know, can't get an expert, because then, my -- my --
- 7 my big sneaking hunch -- because I haven't seen a
- 8 whole bunch of these kinds of things, my big sneaking
- 9 hunch is they look at it, they think about it, then
- $10\,$ $\,$ they look at the case, then they talk to the guy, then
- 11 they talk to the prosecutor about maybe a different
- offer or some other kind of thing, and the reality is
- they never hire anybody to look at any of it. They
- they hever time drybody to look at any or it. The
- basically say, well, I don't know that I'd really be
- able to do that anyway. I don't know what that would amount to, and so then they -- you've backed yourself
 - amount to, and so then they -- you've backed yourself into the corner of having to proceed.

Q. Okay.

A. Or you get into a really ugly fight with the Court about, well, I now recognize that I need to have an expert in this case, which the judge may grant that continuance. But now, in essence, what you've told the judge is, I didn't do anything as a practical matter to prepare this case until short enough period of time that now I know I need an expert in this case,

22 (Pages 85 to 88)

2

9

15

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 89

and now, I'm asking you for time to get the expert; so now, you've lost credibility with your judge in relation to what you do in relation to preparing your case. That -- it's a -- it's a vicious cycle that feeds on itself.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1

2

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. So in light of that testimony, in your opinion, do you believe that the attorneys in your office have the time and resources to consult with experts in the manner that each case requires?

A. I don't think they have the time to be able to do that. I think MSPD tries to encourage and provide the money available to do that as much as they -- as much as they can. And again, I've never had some request that I forwarded turned down, other than the logistics of this -- we need this clearer, or we need something clarified in this request. I think it's just the time that people don't -- they don't have, so they don't do it, or they don't recognize it, so it never gets done.

Q. How often do your attorneys take depositions?

A. It depends on the attorney. There are some attorneys that are pretty active about doing it. There are other attorneys that, you know, take some depositions. But not near as many as what I would

Page 91

Page 92

- 1 One of the reasons is, as a practical matter in some
 - of these jurisdictions, you're only going to be able
- 3 to -- there's only so many people. You got one
- 4 prosecutor, you got one judge, you got one attorney.
- 5 You're only going to try so many cases, even if they
- 6 schedule the cases. But as a practical matter, like I
- 7 said, with the logistics of -- of the way some of
- 8 these cases work and things you don't find out or
 - things that you -- you're only able to push and pursue
- 10 because you're able to push and pursue them or maybe
- 11 research a legal matter or have a suppression hearing
- that you go, hey, guess what we found out. We finally 12
- 13 found out this, and we were able to have a hearing.
- 14 You force the issue such that the case that should
 - have either, one, never gotten filed or, two, should
- 16 have been reduced to a misdemeanor or some other thing
- 17 happen to it a year ago doesn't happen until a week
- 18 before trial, when you've been able to basically force
- 19 everybody's hand to be in a situation where they all
- 20 have to legitimately look at it, and they go, oh, wow,
- 2.1
- this case sucks, and then they -- you know, and then
- 22 the case goes away. So some of it are, cases aren't
- 23 going to go to trial because they actually are forced
 - to a position where they recognize that the case might
- 25 not really be a good case.

Page 90

- routinely want. I -- I look at this, and I think
- this was me, if this was my kid, and my kid was
- 4 looking at seven years in prison or ten years or
 - fifteen or however much it is, or being registered on

anybody should look at this and say, if this was -- if

- 6 a sex offender list for the rest of their life, I
- 7 would want somebody to do this. I'd want them to look
- 8 at everything and be able to figure out what it is 9 that they're doing and know what they're doing before
 - any substantive thing happens that jeopardizes their case, their ability to get something done, and I don't
 - think that they have the time to be able to do that. Q. Okay. Do you know by chance how many -roughly, how many of your cases go to trial in a year?

A. Oh, it's less than -- it would be less than five percent, maybe less than one percent. I mean, we have 20 -- we open up 2400 cases, ten percent would be, what, 240? So one percent would be 24. I bet we don't have 24 trials.

- Q. Okav.
- A. So less than one.
- Q. And -- and is that -- what -- what do you think the reason is for that or reasons?
- A. Well. I think there's two -- I think there's -- I think there's a couple reasons for that.

Q. Right.

A. And they either offer something that makes it go away, or they dismiss it, or something else happens that changes the logistic.

I think as a practical matter too, there's a lot of resources from the standpoint of the time of an attorney in relation to getting a case ready for trial and doing all of those things that you were talking about in relation to investigating, talking to witnesses, doing requests, deposing people, reviewing discovery, all those kinds of things that you go, to realistically do all of that and do all those -- all of those things is an enormous time investment, and the reality is, you know, I think that it'll happen with certain cases, but there's a whole bunch of other cases that it isn't going to happen with.

MR. WILLIAMSON: Okay. Can we go off the record for two minutes?

> VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 5:01 p.m. (A brief recess was taken.)

VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record, 5:03 p.m.

Q. (By Mr. Williamson) What steps, if any, do your attorneys take to evaluate the immigration consequences that a client might be facing?

A. Basically, there -- it's simply a

23 (Pages 89 to 92)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Page 93

- $1 \qquad \hbox{ questioning process of determining whether someone is} \\$
- a citizen, whether they're a US citizen, or if they
- 3 have some other immigration implication. I would say
- 4 that, in our office, we're actually -- no matter how
- 5 you'd want to look at it, we don't have that many
- 6 clients in our office that fall into that category,
- 7 simply because we don't have either the work
- 8 situations or population areas where you tend to have 9 an immigrant population where you would have a lot of 10 that occurring. We do have it but not -- but not very
- often, and usually, when we do, it's -- it's pretty
 self-evident when you start talking with people and -and you find out pretty quickly that, you know, they
 don't have -- just through our application process.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Do you think your lawyers have the knowledge and expertise to -- to decipher what the consequences might be based on what the client is telling them?

A. Oh, no. Every time we have it happen, we usually are trying to consult with someone. I mean, they -- usually, they'll find their way into my office and ask me, and then I will tell them that I don't know, and that -- that we need to talk with somebody who does know, and they'll, you know, try to consult with, you know, Counsel. I know that they know

Page 95

juvenile cases coming through?

A. No. Actually, what happens is -- in both of the circuits that we work in, the Courts there actually have -- they assign private attorneys to represent juveniles in cases, and they almost -- the caseload that -- that arises out there, they are not certifying individuals as adults in cases out there. They just -- they don't --

Q. I see.

A. They don't do that. So we, from that standpoint, have not actively gone in and tried to get into the middle of those. I've had the Court, over the years, contact me at times where we had cases where the Court felt like that might very well happen or that that request might happen.

Q. Uh-huh.

A. Given the nature of the charge, if it was a sex charge or some kind of thing -- I know we had a homicide in Cass County a number of years ago involving a juvenile being involved in the case, and the judge basically contacted me immediately and said, you know, you guys are going to end up in this case; I want you in right from the beginning rather than, you know, later on. But -- but generally, we don't handle them.

Page 94

someone that we actually -- someone in our office that was friends with someone who does that kind of work, and so they actually are able to contact that person and get a lot of good information without having to hire people to -- to do that.

Q. Okay. Does your office handle juvenile cases?

A. Not as a matter of course, no. Now, we do end up with them at times on a conflict basis, usually because — it used to be that Jackson County and Clay County, for example, had juvenile situations, and when they had conflict situations, then we would end up with sometimes a juvenile out of that.

Q. Okay.

A. Which was always a frightening situation.

Q. So who handles the juvenile cases that come through Area 17?

A. Right now, we don't have any, but generally, it would probably end up being me, simply because I've done it in the past. I don't know that anybody else in our office actually really knows anything about it, and what I know is probably scary, so --

Q. All right. And is that just -- is the volume just a matter of there not being a lot of

Page 96

- Q. Okay. Okay. In your opinion, generally speaking, can the attorneys in your office adequately represent all -- all of the clients on their dockets?
- A. No.
 - Q. And does that include yourself?
- A. Ye
- Q. And that also includes very experienced
- attorneys; correct?
- 10 MR. WILLIAMSON: That's all I have.
 - EXAMINATION

A. Correct.

- 12 BY MR. RAMSEY:
- 13 Q. Good afternoon.
 - A. Hi.
 - Q. My name is Steven Alan Ramsey, and again, I represent the State of Missouri and Governor Greitens. I have a handful -- a little more than a handful of questions for you if we can get through them.

To begin, do you have a sense of what percentage of criminal cases in the counties that you are over, that you represent -- so you said you brought in about 2400. Do you know total about how many there are?

A. Sure. I -- I don't know what the -- what the overall criminal numbers are, but I can give you

24 (Pages 93 to 96)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Page 97

- $1\,$ $\,$ an idea kind of how our office shapes up. So if
- 2 you're looking at a place like Saint Clair County,
- 3 Saint Clair County, we probably handle 90 percent of
- 4 the criminal caseload out there easily. I mean,
- 5 there's one or two attorneys that do some defense
- 6 work, but that's -- that -- it -- it's not many.

7

2.5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

- Bates County and Henry County, there's more defense
- 8 bar there, but relatively speaking, we still easily --
- 9 I would say we probably still easily do 70 to
- 10 80 percent of the docket there. When you get to --
- 11 and that's probably fairly true with Johnson County as
- 12 well. Cass County is a little bit different because
- $13\,$ you -- because we're -- that's near the metro area.
- 14 You have a lot more defense bar involved. I don't
- know that I'd -- I don't know that I'd be comfortable
- giving you a good percentage on that, but there's a
- lot more defense bar there, and so the -- the overall breakdown of that caseload could be you know a lot
- breakdown of that caseload could be, you know, a lot closer to, you know, say 50 percent of the caseload or
- 20 something like that, but I -- I wouldn't feel as
- comfortable about that number as a percentage, but
- 22 that gives you an idea of how that changes as you come
- towards -- more towards the metro.
 Q. And when you track cases that are in your
 - system, is it done by criminal defendant, or is it

Page 99

well. If they're inactive for over a year, then at that point, we close the case as inactive over a year, because, you know, that person — something else may have happened with that person.

You can also look it up just by case number as well.

- Q. Switching gears a bit, in terms of the
 determination of indigent -- indigency, pardon me --
 - A. It's okay.

Q. -- how does that occur within your district?

A. In the areas where I have legal assistants able to operate, then the legal assistants try to do that for the most part. So for example, client -- either they will -- either meeting people at the jail --

And let me back up and -- and answer this way: We get applications by people filling them out in court, filling them out at the jail, faxing or e-mailing them to us, or sometimes we will simply get a letter request from an inmate that is in DOC somewhere that says, "Can you guys help me dispose of my case?" And then we'll send an application to them, and then they'll send it back. So those are the different processes.

Page 98

done by overall charges?

A. When you say, "tracking," meaning how?

Q. Well, so when you look to determine how many cases you have right now, is it viewable in -- by criminal defendant, or is it, you know, there are 36 felony As in this particular type, and so and so forth?

A. It's -- you can -- our -- our case management database actually is really good at being able to separate it out various different ways. I can separate it out by county. I can separate it out by type of case charged, meaning breaking it down by, you know, A, B felony, A, B felony drug, you know, A, B felony sex case, C, D, E felony, C, D, E sex case, I -- I can break them out it that way.

They are entered in our system each — you can pull cases up by client, and then under that client we'll have every open case that currently — that client currently has, and it will actually also show you the closed cases as well. But I mean, those aren't active cases. It will also pull up if cases are inactive on those cases, so when we have people go into a warrant status, they fail to appear for court, we put those cases in what's considered an inactive status, and they will show that way in our system as

Page 100

The places where I have legal assistants that can go actively meet with someone, I try to have them do that because it helps get the case open in our system sooner, which allows us to deal with those bonding issues sooner.

In a couple of -- excuse me. In a couple of the counties where attorneys are operating basically without that legal assistant help, the attorneys, themselves are taking the applications, generally in court, and you know, screening -- in essence, screening clients themselves in court.

Q. And when you say, "screening," so they fill out the application, the legal assistant or the attorney will look at that and say, "Oh, yeah, this person, you know, is under the federal poverty guidelines; therefore, they are eligible." Is that essentially the screening process?

A. There -- the screening process has to do with their indigency status, which in -- which can include different things. There are different things that are evidence of. If you have people, for example, that are receiving State benefits, those individuals will qualify. If -- because they've already been determined by the State to be indigent. They're receiving benefits of some -- of some sort,

25 (Pages 97 to 100)

2.5

2.2

Page 101

you know, either housing benefits or food stamps, those kinds of things, people that are on disability.

1.5

2.5

If we have -- and then we use the federal poverty guide -- guidelines as a benchmark for people who aren't generally receiving those other benefits. If they say they're employed or not employed. If they are employed, then using that and figuring out, you know, how many people are in the household, you know, as far as dependents that they would have that -- that would count towards that, what other types of things that might impact that.

Our ultimate indigency determination has to

do with the ability of that person to be able to acquire representation for themselves, and we don't use that as a basis of, well, they're going to go out and, you know, try to hire the, you know, best legal team in the state or something like that to represent them on a case. What I mean is, is that person might be right near the borderline of, you know, federal, you know, poverty guideline, and maybe they didn't have to post a bond or anything to get out of jail; but because of the nature of the type of case that they have, they're not going to be able to hire somebody anywhere within a 100=mile radius for less than \$20,000. That person doesn't have \$20,000 to be

Page 103

walking into the courthouse and filling out an application saying, I have nothing, I make nothing, and that's the way this is. That's -- that's not what occurs.

Now, we will oftentimes -- and we do have situations where we have looked at things where people will say something about a house or some other kind of asset that they might have, and we'll look into that. We'll ask the judge to, you know, hold off, set a case over that we're reviewing, you know, the indigency situation. We have situations where people post cash bonds that we will, you know, tell the Court, "This person has posted a cash bond. They would be able to use that to go hire somebody. We're going to decline representing them." I don't know how many people we decline, but we decline representation to, you know, a number of people.

Q. That was my next question, if you had a sense of, like, a rejection rate of how many people are applying and how many people are being rejected.

A. A rate, I don't know. I mean, again, it's probably something that I could find out, but off the top of my head, I don't know what it would be. I know that we decline people for a number of different reasons, which include everything from they're

Page 102

able to do that. We routinely have that happen, for example, in sex cases and things like that, where it's like, those people are going to get quoted numbers, they're not going to be able to hire somebody. It's not going happen. And so we end up -- you know, we end up in those cases.

Q. Does your office essentially take the word of the applicant on the applications? Do you essentially take their word for it in terms of, yes, I'm -- I'm receiving public assistance, et cetera, or is there -- pardon me, I'm sorry.

A. No. That's okay. In essence, if you're asking me whether we investigate people's indigency requests, as a practical matter, I don't have the resources to represent people the way I think we need to represent them. From an indigency standpoint, I do not have the resources or the ability to do active investigations of people as to their indigency status.

But I'll answer also answer this — this way: I do not — in my experience, I do not routinely have people coming into court asking for us to represent them and filling out applications for us to represent them that appear to me that they would otherwise be able to go out and hire counsel. They're not pulling up in their Lexus outside, and then

Page 104

currently being represented by a private attorney; although, they still might qualify, even if they're represented by a private attorney because the -- the case they have might be a new matter that's totally different than the kind of matter that they have or much more serious than -- the private attorney may have been representing them on a misdemeanor case, and now, they're charged with multiple felony charges or something like that, they're not going to be able to hire that attorney to represent them in that case. I mean, we -- we have situations like that occur.

We -- I'm trying to think if there's anything else I

could add to it one way or another.

Q. Well, that — that's an interesting point, that, if I'm understanding you correctly, a particular criminal defendant may become eligible if there are two or three other cases or maybe one other case that they have where they're spending resources on that, and they may not be able to afford representation in the current case, the case in chief that they're applying for the public for; is that — am I correct in understanding that situation?

A. Well -- well, let me -- I -- let me clarify what that is. And, first of all, it's not the norm that we have happen. I mean, generally, if people are

26 (Pages 101 to 104)

Page 105

represented by someone, oftentimes, they're trying to talk to that same attorney to represent them in relation to something else they have.

2.4

The far more common occurrence, frankly, is just the reverse. What happens is not that we're representing them on one case, and the private attorney is representing them on, you know, one or two other cases. What happens is they get charged in a different case, the private attorney then bails on that case, thereby dumping all of the cases in what would be our direction. And at that point, given the nature of the case that they may have, they may be in custody at that point, so now, they're in jail, they don't have a job, they don't -- they've lost the job maybe that they had, and so they're -- at that point, they are indigent, because they've lost their job, and they're in jail, so that -- I mean, it becomes that kind of circumstance. We don't generally have circumstances where people are -- are doing the reverse.

Q. Am I correct in understanding your testimony currently is that there's no independent control or -- or check on whether someone is indigent or not; but your testimony is suggesting that you don't have people who are applying who have

Page 107

that person.

I have — and I've always told the people in my office, and I think everybody in my office has always operated with the — if they're concerned or suspicious of something, it's like, you know, there — this guy has applied, but, you know, there's something about, you know, the circumstance, you know, people in my office will come to me, and it's like, yeah. Go ahead and, you know, do what you need to do to look at it, you know, try to check it out, see if there's, you know, something else going on that we need to know about. It's just my experience that we don't have — we don't have a flood of — of people coming to us in order — let's put it this way: I don't have a bunch of people filling out applications in order to be put on a waiting list.

Q. And speaking about that waiting list – and this will jump around a bit. Is there a legal justification for that, or is that a mechanism that was, I guess, discerned or thought up by particular district defenders?

A. Well, when you say, "a legal justification," I -- my justification is I have ethical rules that I have to comply with as an attorney, and that I'm supposed to require my

Page 106

significantly more resources than — that would make them ineligible? Am I understanding that correctly? I know that was a convoluted way of articulating that, and I can break it up if necessary.

A. Well, I think I understand the question that you're trying to ask. I don't believe that our office is approving individuals on a routine basis that wouldn't otherwise qualify as indigent, and I think that we do the things that we can do to try to screen people. They fill out the applications. As part of our intake process, we have a separate sheet that we use that asks about bonding information, where they're going to be living, phone numbers, type -- you know, job, their prior record, other kinds of things, mental health status, stuff like that. Those are things that, when you start asking those things, they will give you more of an indication of the indigence of that individual, because you will find out that they don't have a place to stay or that the place that they're staying at is their cousin's trailer, they don't have a driver's license, they're currently on probation, they currently have other kinds of things going on that you will find that that tells you the other information that you would -- that you would need to have in relation to that -- in relation to

Page 108

attorneys to comply with. And so as a practical matter, it's just -- it's kind of the reality of what happens. People apply to us. They are indigent. I'm going to tell the Court they're indigent. I'm also going to tell the Court, as I have, "I'm not assigning an attorney to represent this individual because I don't have anyone that I think is available to be able do it because their caseloads are already too large as it is."

As a matter of function, it creates what amounts to a waiting list. And we have explained that to clients, and we've explained it to families who are, you know, frustrated and don't know what that means. And that's usually the first thing they ask, is, "Well, what does that mean?" Well, it means you're going to have to wait until I have someone that I think is available to be able to represent your kid or your husband or your boyfriend or your daughter in a manner that they deserve to be represented.

Q. Am I correct in recalling that you said you -- coming -- this upcoming January will be 20 years in the Missouri public defender system?

A. 22.

Q. 22. I apologize.

A. That's okay.

27 (Pages 105 to 108)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

6

Page 109

Q. In those almost 22 years, has there ever been another time where these wait lists have been utilized, or is that a pure function of the Hinkebein decision and the aftereffects of that?

A. I'm trying to remember from when we had -there was caseload litigation that went on in the Waters decision, and -- but -- I'm trying to remember whether there was specifically -- we had a functionality to be able to do that, but that was specifically -- it had to do with certification of offices as the overall office. I -- I'm not -- I -- I don't have any kind of certification in relation to my overall office or saying, you know, my office, itself, is like, on some certification list or something like that. I've basically told the judges that I have this number of attorneys in my office, they have these number of cases that have been assigned to them over the last number of months and currently in the course of their representation that I consider them not available, and I'm not going to assign cases to them.

Q. I'll circle back to that in just a bit, or maybe I won't depending on if this ends shortly.

Moving towards depositions and discovery --

A. Uh-huh.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

Q. -- your testimony, was it that you had

Page 111

- A. I've never been denied one that I have asked for.
- Q. And you have never denied one to someone you were supervising, or in your -- or at your point in the process, you haven't denied anyone that?
- A. Right. That they've come and asked for me for one or, in essence, filled out the electronic process, that's correct. I'm not again, the only reason denial-wise usually has been something in relation to, from the mechanics of it, what they needed to clarify better in the in the request, not the general nature of a request, itself.
- Q. And is there any limitation on how much -how much a particular expert can cost? Is there any particular policy in your district that you establish or one that you know of?

A. I don't have a -- I don't have a particular policy. The request in relation to funding is particular to the type of expert you're hiring, what you're hiring them for. They have to indicate -- one of the things that attorneys have to do is indicate a per-hour cost; and generally, the indication is usually something that -- that quantifies how you're going to get to that cost.

I've been a -- I've been doing these for a

Page 110

never personally been denied a request for a deposition in terms of funds or the availability?

- A. As far as funding?
- Q. Uh-huh.

A. Yeah. I don't -- I don't think that -- I mean, I don't recall times where requests from the funding mechanism have been denied, other than just to try to clarify something, but not as an overall denial of, no, you're not going to get the ability to be able to do it.

Q. And you also testified that you have never -- pardon me. You have never denied a request from those who are -- who you supervise for a deposition?

A. Right. Other -- other than the --

Q. Aside from clarification?

A. Right. Other than the clarification aspect, that's correct.

MR. RAMSEY: Do we need to end? MR. WILLIAMSON: Five minutes. MR. RAMSEY: Five minutes. Okay.

Q. (By Mr. Ramsey) And the same for experts in terms of, you have never been denied funds or denied the ability to use an expert that you thought was necessary in a case?

Page 112

- long time, so for example, if I had somebody make a
 - request that said, I need \$50,000 in order to hire an
- 3 expert to determine whether somebody's competent, that

happen. That's -- it -- it would be an extremely out

- $4\,$ $\,$ isn't going to happen, because I know that I don't
- 5 need to approve that kind of money to get that to
- 7 of the ordinary, ridiculous kind of request that I
- 8 know would not be within the realm of what we would
- 9 normally need for someone to be able to -- to get that
- done, the number of hours it would require, the kind
- 11 of time frame. Even if we -- and -- and for
- 12 example -- and it's usually amount a lot of mental
- 13 health experts that we have. But for example, if
- 14 someone has a long mental health history, and that --
- and that expert is going have to go through lots of
- mental health records, they're going to have to review
- lots of records in order to be able to ultimately
- 18 reach the kind of assessment that they need to be able
- to reach and have the opinion that they're going to need to be able to have in -- in a case, you might
- request more money for that individual, but that would
- be any individual, based on being able to do that kind
- of request. But for example, that kind of request
- is -- is -- is still not going to be something that I
 - wouldn't recognize as something that -- that you go,

28 (Pages 109 to 112)

Fax: 314.644.1334

25

	Page 113		Page 115
1	that's within the well realm of what this person or	1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	anybody would be doing in relation to that kind of	2	
3	that kind of evaluation.	3	I, Emily S. Hughes, a Certified Court
4	Q. Do you maintain a list of experts that can	4	Reporter within and for the State of Missouri, do
5	be utilized for your assistant public defenders, or	5	hereby certify that the witness whose testimony
6	are they expected to kind of do their own research and	6	appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by
7	bring that to you?	7	me; that the testimony of said witness was taken by me
8	A. There are well, there are certainly	8	to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to
9	people that we have there are people that have been	9	typewriting under my direction; that I am neither
10	used before for various kinds of expertise, and so	10	counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the
11	oftentimes, individuals, if they're it they're	11	parties to the action in which this deposition was
12	wanting to have, for example, a basic competency	12	taken, and further that I am not a relative or
13	evaluation done on someone, you might look at a given	13	employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the
14	area of the state and say, well, here's, you know,	14	parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise
15	people that have been used in relation to that	15	interested in the outcome of the action.
16	circumstance. But, you know, there might be a	16	
17	different circumstance that would be a a different	17	
18	kind of expert that maybe you haven't had to deal with	18	Emily S. Hughes, RPR, CRR, CCR #1353
19	before or haven't had to look at before. Sometimes	19	
20	you're contacting other offices. Sometimes you're	20	
21	contacting an office, for example, a capital unit or	21	
22	something like that, which tends to have made use of	22	
23	a a number of different experts in different	23	
24	differing circumstances. They might know who some of	24	
25	those people are or be able to refer you to someone	25	
	Page 114		Page 116
1	Page 114 for a particular type of of request.	1	Alaris Litigation Services
1 2	_	2	Alaris Litigation Services 1608 Locust Street
	for a particular type of of request.	2 3	Alaris Litigation Services 1608 Locust Street Kansas City, Missouri 64108
2	for a particular type of of request. My concern, which I expressed earlier, is	2	Alaris Litigation Services 1608 Locust Street
2	for a particular type of of request. My concern, which I expressed earlier, is that oftentimes, I I think people don't know	2 3 4 5 6	Alaris Litigation Services 1608 Locust Street Kansas City, Missouri 64108 December 19, 2017 Ms. Jacqueline Shipma
2 3 4	for a particular type of of request. My concern, which I expressed earlier, is that oftentimes, I I think people don't know they wouldn't even know what they would need to look	2 3 4 5	Alaris Litigation Services 1608 Locust Street Kansas City, Missouri 64108 December 19, 2017
2 3 4 5	for a particular type of of request. My concern, which I expressed earlier, is that oftentimes, I I think people don't know they wouldn't even know what they would need to look for because they're they don't have the time to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Alaris Litigation Services 1608 Locust Street Kansas City, Missouri 64108 December 19, 2017 Ms. Jacqueline Shipma MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE 1000 West Nifong Building 7, Suite 100
2 3 4 5 6	for a particular type of of request. My concern, which I expressed earlier, is that oftentimes, I I think people don't know they wouldn't even know what they would need to look for because they're they don't have the time to be able to assess even assess that.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Alaris Litigation Services 1608 Locust Street Kansas City, Missouri 64108 December 19, 2017 Ms. Jacqueline Shipma MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE 1000 West Nifong
2 3 4 5 6 7	for a particular type of of request. My concern, which I expressed earlier, is that oftentimes, I I think people don't know they wouldn't even know what they would need to look for because they're they don't have the time to be able to assess even assess that. MR. WILLIAMSON: Can we go off the record?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Alaris Litigation Services 1608 Locust Street Kansas City, Missouri 64108 December 19, 2017 Ms. Jacqueline Shipma MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE 1000 West Nifong Building 7, Suite 100 Columbia, Missouri 65203
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	for a particular type of of request. My concern, which I expressed earlier, is that oftentimes, I I think people don't know they wouldn't even know what they would need to look for because they're they don't have the time to be able to assess even assess that. MR. WILLIAMSON: Can we go off the record? VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record 5:34 p.m.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Alaris Litigation Services 1608 Locust Street Kansas City, Missouri 64108 December 19, 2017 Ms. Jacqueline Shipma MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE 1000 West Nifong Building 7, Suite 100 Columbia, Missouri 65203 In Re: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	for a particular type of of request. My concern, which I expressed earlier, is that oftentimes, I I think people don't know they wouldn't even know what they would need to look for because they're they don't have the time to be able to assess even assess that. MR. WILLIAMSON: Can we go off the record? VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record 5:34 p.m. (Off the record.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Alaris Litigation Services 1608 Locust Street Kansas City, Missouri 64108 December 19, 2017 Ms. Jacqueline Shipma MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE 1000 West Nifong Building 7, Suite 100 Columbia, Missouri 65203 In Re: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Dear Ms. Shipma:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	for a particular type of of request. My concern, which I expressed earlier, is that oftentimes, I I think people don't know they wouldn't even know what they would need to look for because they're they don't have the time to be able to assess even assess that. MR. WILLIAMSON: Can we go off the record? VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record 5:34 p.m. (Off the record.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Alaris Litigation Services 1608 Locust Street Kansas City, Missouri 64108 December 19, 2017 Ms. Jacqueline Shipma MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE 1000 West Nifong Building 7, Suite 100 Columbia, Missouri 65203 In Re: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Dear Ms. Shipma: Please find enclosed your copy of the deposition of JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I, taken on December 5, 2017,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	for a particular type of of request. My concern, which I expressed earlier, is that oftentimes, I I think people don't know they wouldn't even know what they would need to look for because they're they don't have the time to be able to assess even assess that. MR. WILLIAMSON: Can we go off the record? VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record 5:34 p.m. (Off the record.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Alaris Litigation Services 1608 Locust Street Kansas City, Missouri 64108 December 19, 2017 Ms. Jacqueline Shipma MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE 1000 West Nifong Building 7, Suite 100 Columbia, Missouri 65203 In Re: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Dear Ms. Shipma: Please find enclosed your copy of the deposition of JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I, taken on December 5, 2017, in the above-referenced case. Also enclosed is the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	for a particular type of of request. My concern, which I expressed earlier, is that oftentimes, I I think people don't know they wouldn't even know what they would need to look for because they're they don't have the time to be able to assess even assess that. MR. WILLIAMSON: Can we go off the record? VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record 5:34 p.m. (Off the record.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Alaris Litigation Services 1608 Locust Street Kansas City, Missouri 64108 December 19, 2017 Ms. Jacqueline Shipma MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE 1000 West Nifong Building 7, Suite 100 Columbia, Missouri 65203 In Re: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Dear Ms. Shipma: Please find enclosed your copy of the deposition of JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I, taken on December 5, 2017, in the above-referenced case. Also enclosed is the original signature page and errata sheet. Please have the witness read your copy of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	for a particular type of of request. My concern, which I expressed earlier, is that oftentimes, I I think people don't know they wouldn't even know what they would need to look for because they're they don't have the time to be able to assess even assess that. MR. WILLIAMSON: Can we go off the record? VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record 5:34 p.m. (Off the record.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Alaris Litigation Services 1608 Locust Street Kansas City, Missouri 64108 December 19, 2017 Ms. Jacqueline Shipma MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE 1000 West Nifong Building 7, Suite 100 Columbia, Missouri 65203 In Re: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Dear Ms. Shipma: Please find enclosed your copy of the deposition of JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I, taken on December 5, 2017, in the above-referenced case. Also enclosed is the original signature page and errata sheet.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	for a particular type of of request. My concern, which I expressed earlier, is that oftentimes, I I think people don't know they wouldn't even know what they would need to look for because they're they don't have the time to be able to assess even assess that. MR. WILLIAMSON: Can we go off the record? VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record 5:34 p.m. (Off the record.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Alaris Litigation Services 1608 Locust Street Kansas City, Missouri 64108 December 19, 2017 Ms. Jacqueline Shipma MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE 1000 West Nifong Building 7, Suite 100 Columbia, Missouri 65203 In Re: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Dear Ms. Shipma: Please find enclosed your copy of the deposition of JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I, taken on December 5, 2017, in the above-referenced case. Also enclosed is the original signature page and errata sheet. Please have the witness read your copy of the transcript, indicate any changes and/or corrections
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	for a particular type of of request. My concern, which I expressed earlier, is that oftentimes, I I think people don't know they wouldn't even know what they would need to look for because they're they don't have the time to be able to assess even assess that. MR. WILLIAMSON: Can we go off the record? VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record 5:34 p.m. (Off the record.)	2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Alaris Litigation Services 1608 Locust Street Kansas City, Missouri 64108 December 19, 2017 Ms. Jacqueline Shipma MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE 1000 West Nifong Building 7, Suite 100 Columbia, Missouri 65203 In Re: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Dear Ms. Shipma: Please find enclosed your copy of the deposition of JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I, taken on December 5, 2017, in the above-referenced case. Also enclosed is the original signature page and errata sheet. Please have the witness read your copy of the transcript, indicate any changes and/or corrections desired on the errata sheet, and sign the signature
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	for a particular type of of request. My concern, which I expressed earlier, is that oftentimes, I I think people don't know they wouldn't even know what they would need to look for because they're they don't have the time to be able to assess even assess that. MR. WILLIAMSON: Can we go off the record? VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record 5:34 p.m. (Off the record.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Alaris Litigation Services 1608 Locust Street Kansas City, Missouri 64108 December 19, 2017 Ms. Jacqueline Shipma MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE 1000 West Nifong Building 7, Suite 100 Columbia, Missouri 65203 In Re: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Dear Ms. Shipma: Please find enclosed your copy of the deposition of JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I, taken on December 5, 2017, in the above-referenced case. Also enclosed is the original signature page and errata sheet. Please have the witness read your copy of the transcript, indicate any changes and/or corrections desired on the errata sheet, and sign the signature page before a notary public. Please return the errata sheet and notarized signature page to Alaris Litigation Services for filling prior to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	for a particular type of of request. My concern, which I expressed earlier, is that oftentimes, I I think people don't know they wouldn't even know what they would need to look for because they're they don't have the time to be able to assess even assess that. MR. WILLIAMSON: Can we go off the record? VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record 5:34 p.m. (Off the record.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Alaris Litigation Services 1608 Locust Street Kansas City, Missouri 64108 December 19, 2017 Ms. Jacqueline Shipma MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE 1000 West Nifong Building 7, Suite 100 Columbia, Missouri 65203 In Re: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Dear Ms. Shipma: Please find enclosed your copy of the deposition of JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I, taken on December 5, 2017, in the above-referenced case. Also enclosed is the original signature page and errata sheet. Please have the witness read your copy of the transcript, indicate any changes and/or corrections desired on the errata sheet, and sign the signature page before a notary public. Please return the errata sheet and notarized signature
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	for a particular type of of request. My concern, which I expressed earlier, is that oftentimes, I I think people don't know they wouldn't even know what they would need to look for because they're they don't have the time to be able to assess even assess that. MR. WILLIAMSON: Can we go off the record? VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record 5:34 p.m. (Off the record.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Alaris Litigation Services 1608 Locust Street Kansas City, Missouri 64108 December 19, 2017 Ms. Jacqueline Shipma MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE 1000 West Nifong Building 7, Suite 100 Columbia, Missouri 65203 In Re: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Dear Ms. Shipma: Please find enclosed your copy of the deposition of JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I, taken on December 5, 2017, in the above-referenced case. Also enclosed is the original signature page and errata sheet. Please have the witness read your copy of the transcript, indicate any changes and/or corrections desired on the errata sheet, and sign the signature page before a notary public. Please return the errata sheet and notarized signature page to Alaris Litigation Services for filling prior to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	for a particular type of of request. My concern, which I expressed earlier, is that oftentimes, I I think people don't know they wouldn't even know what they would need to look for because they're they don't have the time to be able to assess even assess that. MR. WILLIAMSON: Can we go off the record? VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record 5:34 p.m. (Off the record.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Alaris Litigation Services 1608 Locust Street Kansas City, Missouri 64108 December 19, 2017 Ms. Jacqueline Shipma MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE 1000 West Nifong Building 7, Suite 100 Columbia, Missouri 65203 In Re: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Dear Ms. Shipma: Please find enclosed your copy of the deposition of JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I, taken on December 5, 2017, in the above-referenced case. Also enclosed is the original signature page and errata sheet. Please have the witness read your copy of the transcript, indicate any changes and/or corrections desired on the errata sheet, and sign the signature page before a notary public. Please return the errata sheet and notarized signature page to Alaris Litigation Services for filling prior to trial date.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	for a particular type of of request. My concern, which I expressed earlier, is that oftentimes, I I think people don't know they wouldn't even know what they would need to look for because they're they don't have the time to be able to assess even assess that. MR. WILLIAMSON: Can we go off the record? VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record 5:34 p.m. (Off the record.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Alaris Litigation Services 1608 Locust Street Kansas City, Missouri 64108 December 19, 2017 Ms. Jacqueline Shipma MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE 1000 West Nifong Building 7, Suite 100 Columbia, Missouri 65203 In Re: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Dear Ms. Shipma: Please find enclosed your copy of the deposition of JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I, taken on December 5, 2017, in the above-referenced case. Also enclosed is the original signature page and errata sheet. Please have the witness read your copy of the transcript, indicate any changes and/or corrections desired on the errata sheet, and sign the signature page before a notary public. Please return the errata sheet and notarized signature page to Alaris Litigation Services for filing prior to trial date. Thank you for your attention to this matter.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	for a particular type of of request. My concern, which I expressed earlier, is that oftentimes, I I think people don't know they wouldn't even know what they would need to look for because they're they don't have the time to be able to assess even assess that. MR. WILLIAMSON: Can we go off the record? VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record 5:34 p.m. (Off the record.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Alaris Litigation Services 1608 Locust Street Kansas City, Missouri 64108 December 19, 2017 Ms. Jacqueline Shipma MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE 1000 West Nifong Building 7, Suite 100 Columbia, Missouri 65203 In Re: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Dear Ms. Shipma: Please find enclosed your copy of the deposition of JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I, taken on December 5, 2017, in the above-referenced case. Also enclosed is the original signature page and errata sheet. Please have the witness read your copy of the transcript, indicate any changes and/or corrections desired on the errata sheet, and sign the signature page before a notary public. Please return the errata sheet and notarized signature page to Alaris Litigation Services for filing prior to trial date. Thank you for your attention to this matter. Sincerely, Emily S. Hughes, RPR, CRR, MO CCR #1353
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	for a particular type of of request. My concern, which I expressed earlier, is that oftentimes, I I think people don't know they wouldn't even know what they would need to look for because they're they don't have the time to be able to assess even assess that. MR. WILLIAMSON: Can we go off the record? VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record 5:34 p.m. (Off the record.)	2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Alaris Litigation Services 1608 Locust Street Kansas City, Missouri 64108 December 19, 2017 Ms. Jacqueline Shipma MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE 1000 West Nifong Building 7, Suite 100 Columbia, Missouri 65203 In Re: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Dear Ms. Shipma: Please find enclosed your copy of the deposition of JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I, taken on December 5, 2017, in the above-referenced case. Also enclosed is the original signature page and errata sheet. Please have the witness read your copy of the transcript, indicate any changes and/or corrections desired on the errata sheet, and sign the signature page before a notary public. Please return the errata sheet and notarized signature page to Alaris Litigation Services for filing prior to trial date. Thank you for your attention to this matter. Sincerely,

29 (Pages 113 to 116)

	Page 117
1	
1 2	STATE OF)
3	COUNTY OF)
4	,
5	I, JEFFREY MARTIN, do hereby certify:
6	That I have read the foregoing deposition;
7	That I have made such changes in form and/or
8	substance to the within deposition as might be
	necessary to render the same true and correct;
9	That having made such changes thereon, I hereby
	subscribe my name to the deposition.
10	I declare under penalty of perjury that the
11	foregoing is true and correct.
11 12	
1	JEFFREY MARTIN
13	SELLIKET HIMIXTHA
14	Executed this day of ,
15	2017, at .
16	
17	
18	Notary Public:
19	My Commission Expires:
20	
21	Signature page to: Ms. Jacqueline Shipma
22	
23	
24 25	
20	
1	
	Dogg 440
	Page 118
1	WITNESS ERRATA SHEET
	WITNESS ERRATA SHEET Witness Name: JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I
1 2	WITNESS ERRATA SHEET Witness Name: JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I Case Name: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v.
	WITNESS ERRATA SHEET Witness Name: JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I
2	WITNESS ERRATA SHEET Witness Name: JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I Case Name: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v.
2	WITNESS ERRATA SHEET Witness Name: JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I Case Name: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Date Taken: DECEMBER 5, 2017
3 4	WITNESS ERRATA SHEET Witness Name: JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I Case Name: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Date Taken: DECEMBER 5, 2017 Page # Line #
2	WITNESS ERRATA SHEET Witness Name: JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I Case Name: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Date Taken: DECEMBER 5, 2017 Page # Line # Should Read:
3 4	WITNESS ERRATA SHEET Witness Name: JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I Case Name: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Date Taken: DECEMBER 5, 2017 Page # Line #
2 3 4 5	WITNESS ERRATA SHEET Witness Name: JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I Case Name: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Date Taken: DECEMBER 5, 2017 Page # Line # Should Read:
2 3 4 5	WITNESS ERRATA SHEET Witness Name: JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I Case Name: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Date Taken: DECEMBER 5, 2017 Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change:
2 3 4 5 6 7	WITNESS ERRATA SHEET Witness Name: JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I Case Name: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Date Taken: DECEMBER 5, 2017 Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change:
2 3 4 5	WITNESS ERRATA SHEET Witness Name: JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I Case Name: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Date Taken: DECEMBER 5, 2017 Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change:
2 3 4 5 6 7	WITNESS ERRATA SHEET Witness Name: JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I Case Name: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Date Taken: DECEMBER 5, 2017 Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Should Read:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	WITNESS ERRATA SHEET Witness Name: JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I Case Name: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Date Taken: DECEMBER 5, 2017 Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Should Read: Reason for Change:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WITNESS ERRATA SHEET Witness Name: JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I Case Name: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Date Taken: DECEMBER 5, 2017 Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Should Read:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WITNESS ERRATA SHEET Witness Name: JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I Case Name: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Date Taken: DECEMBER 5, 2017 Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Page # Line # Should Read: Page # Line #
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WITNESS ERRATA SHEET Witness Name: JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I Case Name: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Date Taken: DECEMBER 5, 2017 Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Should Read: Reason for Change:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WITNESS ERRATA SHEET Witness Name: JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I Case Name: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Date Taken: DECEMBER 5, 2017 Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Page # Line # Should Read: Page # Line #
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	WITNESS ERRATA SHEET Witness Name: JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I Case Name: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Date Taken: DECEMBER 5, 2017 Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	WITNESS ERRATA SHEET Witness Name: JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I Case Name: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Date Taken: DECEMBER 5, 2017 Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Page # Line # Should Read:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	WITNESS ERRATA SHEET Witness Name: JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I Case Name: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Date Taken: DECEMBER 5, 2017 Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Page # Line # Should Read: Should Read: Reason for Change:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	WITNESS ERRATA SHEET Witness Name: JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I Case Name: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Date Taken: DECEMBER 5, 2017 Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	WITNESS ERRATA SHEET Witness Name: JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I Case Name: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Date Taken: DECEMBER 5, 2017 Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	WITNESS ERRATA SHEET Witness Name: JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I Case Name: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Date Taken: DECEMBER 5, 2017 Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	WITNESS ERRATA SHEET Witness Name: JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I Case Name: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Date Taken: DECEMBER 5, 2017 Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	WITNESS ERRATA SHEET Witness Name: JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I Case Name: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Date Taken: DECEMBER 5, 2017 Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WITNESS ERRATA SHEET Witness Name: JEFFREY MARTIN, Volume I Case Name: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Date Taken: DECEMBER 5, 2017 Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change:

30 (Pages 117 to 118)

		·		1
Α	above-refere	advocate 55:23	42:16	applied 107:6
abilities 7:1	116:13	afford 104:19	answering	apply 20:14
ability 20:20	absolutely 42:2	aftereffects	27:12	108:3
21:14,16 58:14	79:10	109:4	answers 13:19	applying
90:11 101:13	accept 14:15	afternoon 6:12	anticipate 8:1	103:20 104:21
102:17 110:9	accepted 16:6	6:13 84:3	anybody 58:20	105:25
110:24 115:8	account 32:19	96:13	64:24 66:2	appoint 71:8,9
able 14:8 17:19	accounts 17:6	age 6:8	88:13 90:2	78:8
19:13,21 21:5	ACLU 4:4 5:25	aggravators	94:21 113:2	appointed
21:17 22:22	acquire 101:14	35:5	anybody's 87:9	59:24 71:19
22:22,23	action 36:1,1,12	ago 70:7 91:17	anyway 88:15	76:22
23:8,9,17 24:2	115:11,15	95:19	APD 10:3,6,6,12	appointing
24:15,16,16,17	active 89:23	agree 81:5	10:13,15,17	67:18
26:6,21 27:3,8	98:21 102:17	agreed 5:1 75:8	apologize	appointment
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	actively 29:7	81:2	73:24 108:24	58:25
27:9,15,18 28:12,15,18,20	35:21 57:10	ahead 37:14	appear 84:17	appropriate
	67:2 95:11	72:12 78:17	98:23 102:23	86:25
28:22 32:2,12	100:2	80:20 107:9	appearance	approve 41:15
32:24 35:17	actual 30:24	al 1:3,6 3:3,6,17	52:17,19,21	41:16,22 71:3
36:11,15,19	53:20 68:10	3:18 5:14,14	53:2,19 54:6	71:4 73:14
37:10,12,14,25	78:24 87:8	116:10,10 118:2	55:9,21 59:25	87:7 112:5
38:4 40:4,21	ad 56:24	118:2	61:8	approved 47:15
41:22 49:4	add 33:19,19	Alan 4:10 6:1	appearances	73:15 87:10,12
53:9,15 54:12	104:13	96:15	57:22	approving
54:13 56:8,13	added 34:7	Alaris 4:22 5:21	appeared 54:1	86:15 106:7
56:16 57:10	49:16 82:14	116:1,18	54:2 56:12	approximately
57:20,23,25	addition 40:22	allow 81:4	76:23	79:5
58:3,14,18,22	additional 12:9	allows 100:4	appearing 4:3,8	area 9:12 10:17
61:9 63:3 65:7	12:13 14:5	alter 55:20	4:14 52:25	11:8,9,16,17,18
65:8,18 68:5	adequate 33:15	altogether 67:8	53:24	55:3 94:17
69:10 74:13	adequately	amenable 59:6	appears 44:11	97:13 113:14
74:20 75:9	33:16 96:2	American 3:11	115:6	areas 31:1,4,6,17
77:18,20	adjust 23:5 52:1	5:18	applicant 102:8	32:4 57:19
85:16,17,20	administration	amount 55:20	applicants 16:19	93:8 99:12
86:18 87:1,12	41:7	71:15 83:24	16:21	argue 58:3
87:25 88:4,15	administrative	88:16 112:12	application	argument
89:10 90:8,12	2:9 40:24 41:1	amounts 108:11	53:15 54:13	56:24 57:5
91:2,9,10,13,18	41:6 42:1,4,17	and/or 37:3	54:23 56:10	arguments
94:3 98:10	43:12 44:10	116:15 117:7	61:3 69:6	56:16 63:22
99:13 101:13	70:11,15 72:21	animal 70:14	73:14 93:14	arises 95:6
101:23 102:1,4	· ·	animai 70:14 answer 6:25	99:23 100:13	
102:24 103:13	73:7,18 74:2 74:18 75:24			arraign 52:21
104:9,19 108:7	78:13 79:25	7:7,19,25 8:3	103:2	arraignment 54:3
108:17 109:9		29:2 43:14,17 45:10 99:17	applications	
110:9 112:9,17	adults 95:7		67:12 69:6	arranged 67:25
112:18,20,22	advised 76:24	102:19,19	99:18 100:9	arrested 52:7
113:25 114:6	77:1	answered	102:8,22	52:13,14
	advising 73:15	22:10,10 25:17	106:10 107:15	53:25

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376

ww.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334 Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 160-11 Filed 02/21/18 Page 32 of 52

arriving 12:3	36:6 41:10	105:7,9	83:21	65:19 66:18,19
articulating	42:8,9 43:16	107:25 108:6	aware 81:10	67:6 70:15
106:3	43:24 44:3,10	115:13		71:1 73:11 74:17
Aside 110:16	44:15,22 45:6	attorneys 5:22	B	75:1,19 76:5
asked 15:10	54:11 100:8,13	6:15 12:18	B 2:7 19:2 21:1	76:12,16,18
48:15 78:9,10	113:5	13:12,16 14:1	40:10,16 98:13	79:25 87:10,17
80:21 111:2,6	assistants 13:21	17:10,14,16,16	98:13,13	88:14 91:18
asking 14:25	37:25 42:6,20	17:24,25 18:4	B's 45:1	92:25 95:21
87:5 89:1	53:8 54:20	18:11,14 19:11	babies 39:6	100:8 109:15
102:13,21	56:7 99:12,13	20:1,8,19 22:6	back 24:17	basis 28:25
106:16	100:1	23:2,12,19	25:18 42:3	29:3 47:17
asks 106:12	associate	24:8 28:4,5,6	44:4 46:25	51:3 62:20
aspect 110:18	53:23,23 73:9	28:25 29:4,7	50:4,14 55:5	69:7 83:15
aspects 21:6	75:16 [*]	29:16 32:3	59:18 63:8	94:9 101:15
22:25 28:23	assume 23:11	33:5,20 35:9	65:25 68:3	106:7
81:3	30:16	35:12 36:18,19	74:19,19,25	Bates 11:13
assess 32:17	assuming 39:3	37:1,21 38:8	78:2 84:19	33:10,12 49:11
65:9 114:6,6	39:9	38:12,18,25	99:17,24	51:14 55:15
assessing 72:7	attached 2:11	39:10,13,19,20	109:21	75:16 97:7
assessment	attempt 53:14	42:21 43:5	backed 88:16	becoming 9:21
112:18	attempted 53:9	46:19 47:10	bail 55:4	9:25
asset 103:8	attention 116:19	60:21 61:21,25	bailing 60:23	bee 18:2
assign 26:21	attorney 4:10	62:8,20 63:10	bails 105:9	beginning 10:10
35:17 36:2	7:5 11:1 12:20	63:19 64:4,16	ballpark 43:13	95:23
40:3 64:19	13:23 14:5	64:22 65:14	bankruptcy 11:6	behalf 1:14 3:19
67:17 71:12	17:2,12,18,23	66:9 68:14	bar 14:12 16:1,2	6:8
72:19,19 73:1	18:7,16,17	70:17,22 73:7	16:4,12 66:25	believe 9:16
95:4 109:20	20:2,6 24:22	74:13 75:1,7,10	70:18 81:22	14:10 15:24
assignable 71:8	25:20,21	75:22,25 78:2	82:7 97:8,14	64:6 85:20
assigned 11:25	26:21 33:3,7,8	78:6 82:2,10	97:17	89:7 106:6
26:17,18,23	33:21 34:16	83:3,9 85:14	base 8:9	benchmark
39:11,12 45:17	36:2 38:19,23	85:15,16,20	based 13:2	101:4
45:18,25	39:13,14 40:1,1	86:6,11 89:7	21:12 55:14	benefit 7:14
46:12 48:15	40:2,6,7,9,11	89:20,23,24	64:8,8,9 71:15	18:17 49:16
53:17 56:4	40:14,16 43:8	92:23 95:4	72:14 76:13	benefits 100:22
71:14 109:17	43:12 44:24	96:2,8 97:5	93:17 112:22	100:25 101:1,5
assigning 39:10	59:8 60:14,14	100:7,9 108:1	bases 85:21	best 6:25 8:2
46:10 70:20	62:1 63:1,7,23	100.7,9 108.1	basic 68:24	19:23,24 32:3
73:5 75:22	64:16 67:17	attorneys' 32:15	113:12	43:14 57:25
	68:4,6 71:8,10	authority 55:19	basically 11:15	83:19 101:16
76:2 77:2,4,5 78:6 108:5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	availability	16:20 20:23	115:8
	71:12,13,14,18		24:19 28:9	
assigns 72:20	71:19 72:20 73:2 75:20	110:2 available 28:12	33:3 41:8	bet 90:18 better 19:11
assist 38:5			42:14 45:6	
assistance	77:3,5 89:22	89:12 108:7,17	47:18,24	24:15 32:6,6
34:12 102:10	91:4 92:7	109:20	50:25 56:23	36:19,20 56:13 70:16
assistant 9:20	100:14 104:1,3	average 15:15	57:4 64:2	56:13 70:16
10:1 13:18 30:3	104:6,10 105:2	17:2,4 47:4	57.1 OT.Z	80:4 86:4,10

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 160-11 Filed 02/21/18 Page 33 of 52

111:11	52:16 113:7	48:1 52:23	11:22,24,25	51:17 53:22	
beyond 33:8	bringing 44:3	56:4 57:7	12:2,6,10,14,17	70:6,12 73:8	
big 19:3 36:17	Broad 4:5	58:19,20	12:19,21,23	73:10 75:3	
88:7,8	brought 54:5	60:22,23	13:9 21:6	77:11 79:22	
billing 42:19	96:22	62:12,14 71:12	22:24 23:18	95:19 97:12	
bit 14:17 22:1	budgets 13:3	71:20 72:14	23:21 24:4,13	category 93:6	
28:8 74:21,24	building 4:16	72:22,24,25	25:3,6,22,25	cause 3:15 55:11	
76:7 84:9	31:8 116:8	76:20,22 77:3	25:25 26:1,8	cc 116:23	
97:12 99:7	built-in 37:11,12	77:6,19 83:5,11	26:8,12,16	CCR 4:21 5:4	
107:18 109:21	bunch 9:2 62:11	83:21 84:7,19	27:25 28:11	115:18 116:22	
blanket 76:18	88:8 92:15	84:25 85:1,9	33:4,4,5,10,11	Cedar 49:12	
blessed 18:11	107:14	87:18,21 88:10	34:1,14,18	Centerview	
body 7:21	busy 33:21	88:21,24,25	35:20,24	50:24	
bond 31:22	Dusy 33.21	89:4,9 90:11	36:22 39:10,11	Central 1:2 3:2	
47:22 54:25	С	91:14,21,22,24	39:16,21,24	5:16	
55:7,9,10,12	C 4:1 25:4,9,12	91:25 92:7	40:2,3,8,8,10	certain 3:15	
55:17,20 56:11	25:15 55:15,16	95:20,22	40:16,20	19:6 21:6 28:11	
56:14,16,24	98:14,14	98:8,12,14,14	44:25 45:15	31:18 32:10	
57:5 58:3,5,9	call 27:5 66:24	98:18 99:2,5	45:15,18,25	92:15	
58:17 59:7	69:8	99:23 100:3	46:2,7,8,12	certainly 13:5	
60:13 62:13	called 36:1 41:18	101:18,22	47:2 58:12	28:10 54:18	
70:24 71:6,7,7	44:24 45:2	103:9 104:4,7	64:19,20,22	113:8	
71:16 101:21	78:3	104:10,17,20	65:5 70:20	CERTIFICATE	
103:13	calling 22:8	104:10,17,20	70:25 72:5,18	115:1	
bonding 100:5	58:10	104.20 103.0	72:19 73:5,6,6	certification	
106:12	capacity 9:19	110:25 112:20	75:5,6,9,21	109:10,12,14	
bonds 55:18	capital 34:5,10	116:13 118:2	76:2,14,17,24	Certified 3:14	
103:12	34:13,20,21	caseload 12:19	77:4,7 78:6,9	115:3	
borderline	113:21	15:10,11,14,19	80:19 83:20	certify 115:5	
101:19	care 20:11 59:10	22:18,19 23:1	84:23,25	117:5	
bother 21:3	59:10,11 75:24	23:2,4,6 24:12	86:24 90:14	certifying 95:7	
bottom 67:5	carve 39:1	25:2,8 26:14	90:17 91:5,6,8	cetera 102:10	
Box 4:11	case 1:5 3:5	26:18,23	91:22 92:15,16	chair 27:24	
boyfriend	5:14 6:15,21	32:20,21 33:3	94:7,16 95:1,5	28:13	
108:18	6:24 13:8 19:7	33:23 35:16	95:7,13 96:20	chairing 28:21	
boys 51:24	21:17 26:14,15	40:15 44:4	97:24 98:4,17	chairs 46:15	
brand 17:22	26:17 29:9	48:15,16,17,19	98:20,21,21	chance 90:13	
bread 25:14	31:20,24	48:25 63:20	98:22,24	change 27:14	
break 38:12	33:25 34:5,8	64:10 65:16,18	102:2,6 104:17	33:24 118:5,8	
98:15 106:4	34:9,13,17,20	65:23,25 66:1	105:8,10	118:14,18,22	
breakdown	34:21 35:5,25	67:9 70:8	109:17,20	changes 92:4	
30:25 97:18	36:10,13	80:25 95:6	cash 70:23 71:7	97:22 116:15	
breaking 98:12	39:22,23	97:4,18,19	103:11,13	117:7,9	
brief 82:25	40:11 43:19	109:6	Cass 11:11 24:15	Chapter 75:16	
92:20	44:23 45:1	caseloads 82:3	25:8 26:13	76:22 78:11,17	
bright 30:8	46:9,10 47:3	108:8	39:16,18,20	78:21 79:12	
bring 49:9	47:13,15,24	cases 11:14,19	40:12,17 51:15	charge 54:4	
	-,,-	Cases 11.17,13	70.12,17 31.13	Charge 57.4	

55:15,16 57:2	111:11	closed 98:20	complaint 55:12	consult 89:8
95:17,18	class 24:13	closer 49:20	55:13 66:25	93:20,24
charged 57:6	25:6	52:3 97:19	complicated	contact 31:8
98:12 104:8	classification	clue 35:12	82:14	32:21,22 47:7
105:8	25:13	codefendants	comply 107:24	48:7 72:11
charges 52:21	Clay 12:21 13:10	11:20	108:1	94:3 95:13
53:25 98:1	25:23 62:10	collect 72:25	computer 41:19	contacted 78:3
104:8	62:17 94:11	collected 72:9	concept 61:23	95:21
check 105:23	clear 79:11	Collins 70:10	concern 15:6	contacting 22:8
107:10	clearer 89:15	74:7,19 78:9,11	114:2	68:18 113:20
checking 16:15	clearly 7:16	79:19 81:17	concerned	113:21
chief 104:20	clerk 42:12,12	Columbia 4:17	51:18 107:4	context 6:21
Children's 85:5	client 20:25	116:9	concerns 15:4	15:25 23:16
Church 1:3 3:3	21:5 31:7,7,8	come 12:4 16:2	68:21	26:11
3:17 5:13	31:21,25 33:15	24:17 37:5	conducting	continuance
116:10 118:2	36:14 44:11,13	46:11 49:18,25	30:17	88:22
circle 109:21	44:13 45:1,1	64:3 65:15,25	conference	continue 13:1
circuit 11:10,12	47:12,24 56:3	67:8 68:12	75:15 78:22	continued 48:4
70:5,6,9	56:11,25 57:3	71:17 94:16	79:13,15,17,20	65:24
73:20 74:9,12	58:2 59:13	97:22 107:8	80:9 81:8	contracted 12:5
75:13,14 77:1	60:8 61:14,20	111:6	conflict 11:14,19	
circuits 11:10,10	62:12 69:5	comes 41:9	•	contracting 12:6
70:5 95:3	73:13 84:5,9		11:20,22,24	
	•	comfortable	11:25 12:1,6,10	control 24:16
circumstance	92:24 93:17	97:15,21	12:14,17,17,19	33:9,10 49:23
105:18 107:7	98:17,18,19 99:14	coming 8:1,15	13:9 25:22	105:23
113:16,17		10:21,24 37:5	46:8,12 62:9	controls 33:11
circumstances	client's 31:20	65:17 95:1	94:9,12	converse 18:12
7:6 105:19	61:10,13 76:19	102:21 107:13	conflicts 12:3	convoluted
113:24	clients 19:10	108:21	39:15	106:3
cite 66:3	20:21 21:2,3	commenced	conjunction	cooperate 85:6
citizen 93:2,2	21:15 22:23	5:9	65:17	cooperation
City 3:13 4:12	31:9 32:10,10	Commission	consequences	81:23 82:9,11
4:23 11:16 12:2	32:22,22	117:19	92:24 93:17	coordinate
116:3	33:14 37:13	common 105:4	consider 32:15	45:5
Civil 3:11 5:18	42:22 43:7,7	communicate	43:6,7 47:20	copies 73:24
Clair 11:13 26:25	47:7,10,21,22	83:4	109:19	copy 77:23
48:16,25 49:1	48:23,24,25	communication	considered	116:12,15
49:5,10,18,24	49:2,6 59:19	60:21	98:24	corner 88:17
49:25 50:13	60:8,21 71:2	comparable	consistently	correct 9:8 16:4
51:13 76:3	76:6 83:4	23:7	48:11	23:13 35:7,8
97:2,3	84:11 93:6	compare 23:1	consists 25:2	42:9 52:8
clarification	96:3 100:11	competency	constantly 27:2	55:8,24,25
110:16,17	108:12	86:19 113:12	constitute 31:17	63:14 74:6,9
clarified 87:7	Clinton 34:2	competent	Constitution	79:14 85:17
89:16	49:20,21	112:3	58:13	96:8,9 104:21
clarify 87:5	close 52:14	complains	constructive	105:21 108:20
104:23 110:8	72:24 99:2	58:16	21:22	110:18 111:8
		I	I	l ————————————————————————————————————

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334 www.alaris.us Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 160-11 Filed 02/21/18 Page 35 of 52

				1
117:8,10	77:11 79:21,22	103:12 108:4,5	79:10 105:13	deals 34:14
corrections	80:22 82:15	115:3	cycle 89:4	dealt 75:3
116:15	94:10,11 95:19	Court's 52:24		Dear 116:11
correctly 104:15	97:2,3,7,7,11	56:20	D	death 34:2 35:5
106:2	97:12 98:11	courthouse	D 2:1 4:4 25:4,9	decades 47:6
cost 111:14,22	117:3	18:25 103:1	25:12,15 98:14	December 1:15
111:24	couple 27:7	Courts 22:13	98:14 116:23	3:10 5:11 116:4
counsel 5:2,2	30:3 36:8	31:12 61:11,12	daily 29:3 69:6	116:13 118:3
62:14 93:25	38:14 47:6	68:18 95:3	database 45:22	decides 49:18
102:24 115:10	48:1 52:4	cousin's 106:20	98:9	49:25
115:13	77:19 90:25	cover 12:10	date 5:11 52:15	decipher 93:16
count 26:1 34:8	100:6,6	18:19 21:24	52:23 53:14	decision 14:18
57:7 101:10	course 6:20	85:20	53:16,17,19,20	63:14,17,17,18
counties 11:7,14	20:14 45:16	coverage 11:9	54:4,9,10,22	64:21 66:10
18:23 21:10	66:17 94:8	covering 20:24	116:18 118:3	67:22,23 68:1
39:17,18 52:19	109:18	covers 11:14	dates 21:18	109:4,7
54:19,19 55:14	court 1:1 3:1,14	18:22	43:20,21 44:1	decisions 14:19
77:13 81:22	3:16 4:21 5:16	crap 87:24	59:5 84:16	declare 117:10
96:20 100:7	6:5 7:3,14	create 60:8	daughter 108:18	decline 103:14
county 11:11,11,12	8:23 11:5 21:12	created 76:18	day 15:15,20	103:16,16,24
11:13,13 12:21	21:12,18,23,24	creates 20:22	21:21,23	declined 14:14
12:21,22 13:9	21:24 22:22	21:8,10 37:23	24:20 30:12	14:14 16:10
13:10,10 24:14	24:17,18 29:5	49:13 108:10	33:1,1,1 34:8	defendant
24:15 25:8,22	29:6 30:1	credibility 89:2	49:7,8 52:18	97:25 98:5
25:23 26:13	38:20,22	criminal 6:21	56:12,22 57:8	104:16
26:23,24,25	43:20,21,25	10:25 11:5	63:11 65:2,5	defendants 1:7
33:10,12,22	43:25 44:11,11	17:16 96:20	67:8 117:14	3:7,18 4:14 5:3
33:25 39:12	48:18 52:7,15	96:25 97:4,25	day-to-day	6:4 52:6
39:14,16,18,18	52:23,24	98:5 104:16	22:2	69:25 79:4
39:19,20 40:6	53:14,16,17,20	criteria 16:19	days 19:24	defender 6:4
40:10,12,17	53:22 54:4,6	30:22	21:12,18,21	9:10,12,14,15
43:15 44:2,21	54:9,10,22,24	CRR 4:21 5:4	24:20 27:8	9:16,21,22,25
48:16,18,20	55:7,8 56:12	115:18 116:22	32:23,23	10:1,2,14,15
48:20,25 49:1	59:5 60:7,15	current 9:9	45:24 47:13,16	22:2 30:4
49:5,11,11,11,11	67:10,13,17	23:12 51:12	47:18 48:7,9	70:8 71:24
49:12,17,18,19	68:5 69:4	104:20	48:18 66:22	108:22
49:20,23,24	71:10,13 72:6	currently 12:1	deadbeat 61:1	defender's 4:15
49:25 50:1,10	72:20 73:4,16	33:25 34:16	deal 19:3 22:23	6:20 12:9 15:5
50:13,18,19,20	73:17 74:13	48:13 49:1	27:4,4,9,10	29:23 65:6
50:20,25 51:2	75:23 76:1,19	50:16,18 51:2	34:16 36:10	67:19 71:25
51:3,13,14,16	76:23,25	52:6 77:9,13	37:13 38:20	76:21 77:4
51:17,20 52:13	80:10,18 82:19	78:23 98:18	38:22 56:21	116:7
53:22 55:15	82:23 84:16	98:19 104:1	58:5,10 61:12	defenders
58:15 62:10,17	88:20 95:12	105:22 106:21	100:4 113:18	107:21 113:5
70:6,6,12,13	95:14 98:23	106:22 109:18	dealing 22:7,12	defense 10:25
73:8,9,10 75:3	99:19 100:10	custody 60:12	31:7 75:1,11	17:16 82:10
75:16 76:3,3,4	100:11 102:21	60:13 79:6,8	84:12	97:5,7,14,17

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334 www.alaris.us Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 160-11 Filed 02/21/18 Page 36 of 52

definitely 79:9	description 2:8	disability 101:2	83:17 89:23	101:1
degree 28:19	45:11	discerned	90:9,9 92:8	elect 41:3
degrees 66:11	deserve 108:19	107:20	92:10 105:19	electric 41:3
delegators	designated	discovery 31:9	111:25 113:2	electronic 41:4
36:20	13:23 42:12	36:13 83:22	dramatically	111:8
demonstrate	designation	92:11 109:23	44:5	eligible 100:16
28:18	10:6 42:11	discussing	drive 50:2,10	104:16
demonstrating	desired 116:16	31:21	51:20	Emily 3:13 4:21
28:16	determination	discussion	driver's 84:13	5:4,19 115:3,18
denial 110:8	99:8 101:12	84:10	106:21	116:22
denial-wise	determine 98:3	dismiss 92:3	drug 55:16 57:1	employ 86:11
111:9	112:3	dispose 99:22	58:19 83:21	employable
denied 87:9	determined	district 1:1,1 3:1,1	98:13	67:7
110:1,7,12,23	100:24	3:16,17 5:15,16	duly 115:6	employed 101:6
110:24 111:1,3,5	determining	9:12,13,15,16	dump 23:18	101:6,7 115:10
deny 59:15,15	36:22 93:1	9:21,25 10:2	dumping 105:10	115:13
79:2	develop 32:12	10:14,15 22:2	duties 22:5	employee
dependents	developed	99:11 107:21	duty 64:18	115:13
101:9	70:11,17	111:15		employer 9:9
depending	developing 21:4	divide 39:21,23	E	57:11
109:22	74:15	40:4	E 2:1,7 4:1,1 25:5	enclosed 116:12
depends 19:24	devote 85:11,12	divided 40:15	25:11,12,13	116:13
43:15 52:12,13	devoting 85:9	division 1:2 3:2	98:14,14	Enclosures
56:19 60:5,5	DFS 85:3	5:17 31:15	e-mail 77:23	116:23
89:22	differences	DOC 61:15	e-mailing 99:20	encourage 37:1
depose 85:2	18:14 45:12	99:21	e-mails 8:22	89:11
deposed 6:16	different 26:2	docket 18:19	earlier 48:15	encouraged
deposes 6:9	30:25 36:8	26:13 40:12	63:9 85:13	59:8,8
deposing 19:6	37:4 48:10	52:24 53:5	87:16 114:2	ends 60:9,10,11
87:22 92:10	49:3 60:6	53:23,23 54:8	early 19:13	79:3 109:22
deposition 1:13	64:9 70:4,14	63:2 76:1	easier 18:20	enormous
3:9 5:3,9,12,17	74:8,21,23,24	97:10	easily 33:17,19	92:13
8:5,12 85:7	79:18,18 84:10	dockets 96:3	33:19 45:17,18	enter 43:20,25
110:2,14 114:10	87:3,4 88:11	documents 8:18	97:4,8,9	72:5,14
115:6,11 116:12	97:12 98:10	8:21 9:2 41:11	easy 30:11,15	entered 76:23
117:6,8,9	99:25 100:20	doing 12:19	effectively 27:4	98:16
depositions	100:20 103:24	16:22 21:25	28:19 37:12	entire 20:14
89:21,25	104:5 105:9	27:19 29:24	65:9	entirely 82:15
109:23	113:17,17,23	30:1 32:5,14	eight 14:1 31:3	envision 51:4
describe 31:4	113:23	39:16 40:16,17	33:18 35:9	errata 116:14,16
31:16 56:20	differing 113:24	43:5 44:4,7	46:19	116:17 118:1
56:24 69:14	difficulty 20:18	47:23,25,25	eight-attorney	especially 28:3
76:6 79:20	32:9,11	54:20 55:22	13:22	30:5 32:10
80:1 82:6	direct 18:6	56:23 63:12	either 23:4 45:4	53:11 84:5
86:22	directed 22:12	63:21 65:1	61:15 65:16	essence 9:1
described	direction 105:11	73:4,8,11,17	91:15 92:2	10:9 24:18
42:13	115:9	77:21 78:15	93:7 99:15,15	39:22 73:11
12.13	1.0.5	,,,,_,,,,,,		55.22 75.11

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.6 Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 160-11 Filed 02/21/18 Page 37 of 52

100:17 102:7,9 2:4,5 establish 36:9 96:11 69:25 111:15 examin	17 NATION 18	erienced :18,24 18:14 :16,17 19:11	63:13 families 22:7	32:16 36:15 37:3 88:3
essentially 100:17 102:7,9 2:4,5 establish 36:9 96:11 examin	17 NATION 18	:18,24 18:14	families 22:7	37.3 88.3
essentially 100:17 102:7,9 2:4,5 establish 36:9 96:11 examin	NATION 18	·		J7.J UU.J
100:17 102:7,9 2:4,5 establish 36:9 96:11 69:25 111:15 examin		.10,1/ 13.11	66:15 108:12	90:8
establish 36:9 96:11 69:25 111:15 examin	0.10 20):1 24:22	family 27:5	figuring 19:1
69:25 111:15 examin		6:7	42:24 44:19	35:17 101:7
		ert 19:8	57:16 69:8	file 35:5 55:11
et 1:3,6 3:3,6,17 6:8		6:25 87:1,2	72:12 84:15	55:12 66:25
		3:4,6,21,25	far 12:3 26:3	72:6 78:13,15
102:10 116:10 20:13		9:1 110:24	31:6 34:19	filed 34:21 35:4
116:10 118:2,2 31:5,19	9 43:20 111	1:14,19 112:3	42:23 44:8	62:13 75:15,18
ethical 64:13,14 47:23	60:12	2:15 113:18	47:10 51:17	78:17 79:1
64:17 66:4 87:15	94:11 exp	ertise 93:16	81:4,9 101:9	91:15
		3:10	105:4 110:3	files 13:20
ethically 63:19 102:2	112:1,12 exp	erts 86:11,13	fashion 76:10	filing 76:12
64:5,6,23 112:13	,23 86	6:16,22,24	faxing 99:19	78:10 79:22
evaluate 28:20 113:12	•	9:9 110:22	federal 48:21	116:18
		2:13 113:4,23	48:22 51:10,11	fill 13:24 14:9
		ires 117:19	51:13,14,16	17:21 19:16,17
30:23 86:18 24:9	•	lain 24:10	100:15 101:3	57:3 67:12
evaluating 31:18 excepti			101:19	69:5 74:14
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	47:20 exp	lained	fee 70:21,21,22	76:19 100:12
evaluation excited	86:23	8:11,12	70:24 71:14,18	106:10
29:25 30:24 exclusi	vely exp	ressed 82:5	71:21,22 72:2	filled 111:7
32:2 113:3,13 75:4		4:2	72:6,8,16,16	filling 20:24
evaluations excuse	63:21 exp	ressly 5:7	72:25 73:1	21:18 61:2
29:17 30:17 100:6	exte	ent 17:13	feeds 89:5	99:18,19
32:14 Execute	ed 117:14 32	<u>2</u> :15	feel 97:20	102:22 103:1
evens 39:25 exhibit	2:9,11 ext r	a 73:24	feeling 7:17	107:15
event 41:19 73:21,	24 extr	emely 112:6	feelings 77:25	fills 19:25 73:13
52:17 68:7 expect	13:1,6		fees 72:4 75:24	finally 91:12
events 14:21 14:8 5		F	felonies 25:5,8	financially
eventually 67:15		litate 44:20	25:9,11,13,15	115:14
60:16 78:17 expect	auo	3:3	26:9	find 29:8 37:19
everybody 32:20		lities 47:21	felony 24:13	37:20 87:23
22:19 48:8 expect	ac. 0	l ity 61:16	25:6 40:7,10	91:8 93:13,21
57:5 66:17 31:18		ng 92:24	40:15,15,16	103:22 106:18
68:12,13,14 expect	Su 20.17	14:22 45:4	53:23,25	106:23 116:12
107:3		5:5,6 61:23	55:15,16	finish 7:24,25
everybody's expedit		:10	70:25 75:6	8:2
41:13,14,21 83:19		or 59:4,4	98:6,13,13,14	fired 61:2
91:19 expens	C 11.11	2:14	98:14 104:8	first 8:7 10:5,7
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		98:23	felt 16:19 66:12	14:11 28:11
100:21 13:4 1		33:7	78:6 95:14	30:3,5 39:12
exact 24:1 18:12		y 17:17,24	fewest 48:18	52:7 82:9
45:23 64:88	J 1.11	9:24 97:11	fifteen 90:5	87:18 104:24
exactly 24:1 85:14	,15 00.0	93:6	fight 85:6 88:19	108:14
29:2 45:25 102:2	0 107:12 fam	iliar 19:4	figure 30:13	fiscal 12:10 13:7
<u> </u>	I			

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 160-11 Filed 02/21/18 Page 38 of 52

	<u> </u>	1	•	1
five 33:20	frankly 37:24	generalized	50:10,11 51:4	71:19,20,21
82:20,21	38:2,11 52:12	36:4	52:7 53:14	73:1,23 75:21
90:16 110:20	56:22 59:7,10	generally 12:16	54:11,12 58:22	76:14,17 78:5
110:21	61:17 62:6	18:25 19:15,20	60:16 65:11,11	78:10,14,15
flip 38:21 54:16	63:5 66:7	22:3,11 36:5	65:19 67:10	79:1 81:4,22
floated 24:3	105:4	42:13 46:12	69:4 72:12	82:8,10 83:24
flood 107:13	Friday 68:1,3	47:22 52:12	73:1 78:5	84:4,8,17 85:1
Floor 4:5	76:25 78:23	55:10 58:11,22	82:16 83:20	85:2,3,4,6,8,11
folks 32:8 43:4	friends 94:2	94:19 95:24	83:22 84:25	85:12 87:17,18
49:15 85:7	frightening	96:1 100:10	85:10 87:23	87:19 91:2,5
follow 31:13	94:15	101:5 104:25	90:14 91:12,20	91:23 92:16
66:20	front 13:19 42:14	105:18 111:22	91:23 92:3,11	95:22 101:15
follow-up 45:2	42:14 52:25	getting 11:21	92:17 98:22	101:23 102:3,4
following 30:2	54:2 72:4	12:5 13:9 36:7	100:2 101:15	102:5 103:14
73:11	80:18	42:18 45:2	102:24 103:14	104:9 106:13
food 101:1	frustrated	63:4 69:6	107:8 112:15	106:23 107:11
fooling 60:18	108:13	72:4 85:3,3,7	112:25 114:7	108:4,5,16
force 91:14,18	frustration 82:6	92:7	goes 30:25	109:20 110:9
forced 91:23	full 51:7	give 31:18 36:11	59:18 60:23	111:24 112:4,15
foregoing 115:6	full-on 58:9	43:14,17 45:10	63:8 65:24	112:16,19,24
117:6,10	fully 13:6 17:8	48:12 53:21	91:22	good 6:12,13
forget 16:2	function 58:24	66:19 76:7	going 6:23 8:2	18:10 23:8,9,11
form 30:25 41:2	59:2 108:10	96:25 106:17	8:7,15 15:19	45:10 47:4
41:5 76:18,18	109:3	given 24:3 31:4	16:6 19:5,5,6	59:21 61:12,22
117:7	functionality	31:4,24,24	20:4 24:18	66:19 83:16
forms 41:5	35:25 109:9	32:4 39:2,2	26:16 29:8,10	91:25 94:4
forth 98:7	functionally	41:16,20,22	29:24 33:6	96:13 97:16
forward 21:17	23:18	46:7,19 56:22	34:7 44:24	98:9
68:19 81:14	functions 22:4	61:18 82:12	45:3,5 46:3	gotten 91:15
forwarded 8:22	funding 12:9,13	95:17 105:11	47:24 48:5	Governor 4:9
8:24 68:9	14:5 110:3,7	113:13	49:7,12 50:4	6:2 96:16
89:14	111:18	gives 31:25	52:1 54:10	grand 46:16
found 16:1,4	funds 110:2,23	45:11 46:1	55:16 56:8,15	Grandma 57:17
68:1 75:17,19	further 84:7	57:12 97:22	56:21 57:7,10	grant 88:21
81:1 91:12,13	87:6 115:12	giving 97:16	57:10,11,18	grasp 19:11
Foundation	furthest 26:24	go 18:24 20:5	58:12 59:12,13	grateful 13:6
3:12 4:4 5:18	Turtilest 20.24	21:10,15,24	59:14,15,17,17	Gray 4:20 5:20
four 14:13 15:21	G	22:22,22	60:24 61:5	great 28:21
33:19 37:7	gathers 41:10	24:16,16 25:18	62:5,7,14,16,17	63:9
38:23 49:2	geared 15:19	30:11 32:24	64:20,20	Greene 50:18
60:15	gears 99:7	35:18 36:13,15	65:10,11,11,11	50:20,20 51:2
frame 15:22,25	general 22:9	37:13,19 38:2	65:18,19,20	Greitens 4:9
29:23 30:9,11	31:12 36:11	38:21 39:5,10	66:25 67:11,12	6:2 96:16
41:16,22 44:5	77:5 82:7,11	42:21 44:23	67:12,13,15	guess 67:10
45:9,19 46:3	111:12	46:25 49:4,5	68:4,6,17 70:4	74:12 91:12
112:11	GENERAL'S	•		107:20
	4:10	49:6,7,9,14,18	70:7,8,19 71:7	
frames 30:4,6	'	49:22 50:7,9	71:8,9,13,15,17	guide 101:4

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334 www.alaris.us Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 160-11 Filed 02/21/18 Page 39 of 52

guideline 33:2	48:11 52:6	91:12	4:21 5:4,19	38:5
101:20	63:1 69:21	Hi 96:14	115:3,18 116:22	increase 48:22
guidelines 31:14	75:12	High 4:11	hunch 88:7,9	increases 44:5
31:16 70:21	happens 37:14	highest 33:23	husband 108:18	44:6
100:16 101:4	53:4 54:21	Hinkebein 14:18		incredible 43:8
guy 45:7 49:25	55:6 62:19,20	63:14 64:21		independent
57:6,13 83:23	62:21 81:7	69:24 109:3	idea 48:2 51:11	105:22
88:1,10 107:6	84:12,22	hire 17:19,19	97:1,22	indicate 111:20
guy's 57:11	90:10 92:4	18:6 60:13,14	ideal 38:8	111:21 116:15
83:25	95:2 105:5,8	60:24 76:8,9	identification	indicated 75:19
guys 49:8,9,10	108:3	86:13 88:13	73:22	81:2,18,21,25
95:22 99:22	hard 35:16	94:5 101:16,23	immediately	indicating
	head 25:15 31:1	102:4,24	7:11 68:18	77:24
H	85:1 103:23	103:14 104:10	95:21	indication
H 2:7	heading 75:20	112:2	immigrant 93:9	106:17 111:22
habits 80:2	health 19:10	hired 28:6	immigration	indigence
half 17:8 51:21	47:21 86:17,18	33:19	92:23 93:3	106:17
51:22,22,23	106:15 112:13	hiring 20:5	impact 101:11	indigency 99:8
52:2	112:14,16	111:19,20	impacts 20:19	100:19 101:12
hand 27:21	hear 7:9	history 112:14	implementing	102:13,16,18
56:10,11 65:10	heard 57:8	hoc 56:24	27:12	103:10
73:23 91:19	62:15	hold 9:24 103:9	implication	indigent 67:14
handful 43:3	hearing 52:8	hole 20:7,9	93:3	71:5 73:14,15
96:17,17	55:1 56:3	holy 87:23	implications	73:16 84:13
handle 35:1,1	58:9 61:10	home 62:7	63:17,18	99:8 100:24
45:15 64:5,6	68:11 80:20	homicide 33:25	67:22	105:16,23
64:23 94:6	91:11,13	95:19	important 43:6	106:8 108:3,4
95:24 97:3	hearings 52:11	homicides 26:1	impossibility	individual 39:10
handled 12:14	58:5,15	35:3	23:10	50:8,10 72:8
handles 94:16	heavy 15:19	hopeful 13:2	impression	106:18 108:6
handling 11:24	held 5:17	Hopkins 75:17	15:12 81:21	112:21,22
44:25	help 21:16 34:11	hour 51:22,22	inactive 98:22	•
handwritten	37:25 38:3	51:22,23 52:2	98:24 99:1,2	Individually 23:24
41:4	43:16 44:20	hours 51:23	incarceration	individuals 22:7
happen 50:9		80:1 112:10	36:7	
56:2 57:21	45:8 99:22 100:8		include 31:6	28:13 95:7
60:4 61:24		house 51:11,16	35:4 83:7,13	100:23 106:7 113:11
62:4,5,7 67:5	helping 42:25	57:18 103:7	96:5 100:20	
76:4 91:17,17	63:10	housed 49:17	103:25	ineligible 106:2
92:14,16 93:19	helps 100:3	household	included 8:8	influx 51:15
95:14,15 102:1	Henry 11:12	101:8	11:8	information
102:5 104:25	33:22 49:11,19	houses 48:21	includes 13:18	56:11 68:10
112:4,6	49:20,23 50:1	51:13,14	14:2,3 27:10	94:4 106:12
happened 62:6	50:10 76:3,4	housing 51:10	39:21 77:6	106:24
68:19 79:16	82:15 97:7	101:1	96:7	ingrained 25:14
99:4	hey 37:6 45:7	huge 59:4	income 72:9	inhibits 21:14,15
happening	50:3 57:13	62:13	income 72.9	initial 29:24
nappening	69:9 72:11	Hughes 3:13		52:8,11,17,19
	•	•	•	·

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES

www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

52:20 53:2,19	37:18	36:14 44:13	57:18 59:11	kind 7:20 15:11
54:3 55:1,9	investigations	48:8,9,21,25	60:15,23	16:23 17:4,6
55:20 57:21	37:14 102:18	49:1,5,8,18	62:10 67:15,18	19:7.8 20:20
59:25 61:7	investigator	50:10,19,25	69:4 70:9,10	27:18 29:9
76:13	13:21 35:6,18	51:3,17 53:10	70:18 71:5,11	37:22,23 40:4
initially 29:21	35:21 36:5,12	54:9,12,15	71:16 74:7,19	40:23,24
29:22 47:13	36:22 37:4	56:25 57:5	75:16,17 76:4	47:23 48:3
53:24 75:17	38:2,3,10,11	61:18 62:24	76:5,12,15,25	50:15 52:5
80:9	investigators	63:5,6 69:7,10	77:1,1,2,24	56:16 57:8
initiated 73:13	35:19 38:9,14	83:25 84:6,6	77.1,1,2,24 78:9,11,16,19	58:21 62:19
inmate 48:22	38:15	84:9,19,22	79:19 80:8,18	63:24 73:12
49:24,24	investment	99:16,19	80:22 81:2,17	76:6 81:18
99:21	92:13	101:21 105:13	81:25 88:21	86:22 88:12
inmates 48:21	invoicing 41:8 involve 31:10	105:17	88:23 89:2 91:4 95:21	94:2 95:18 97:1 103:7
50:18 51:2,10 51:12,13,14,16		jails 22:13,22	103:9	
	involved 28:22 36:21 62:10	26:3 36:8 42:21 48:24		104:5 105:18 108:2 109:12
inpatient 44:14 instance 53:21	75:6 85:9	49:3 51:7,12	judges 55:4 61:22 71:2	
	95:20 97:14	56:9	73:10 78:3	112:5,7,10,18
instances 53:1				112:22,23
intake 42:21	involvement	January 9:23	81:9,14 109:15	113:2,3,6,18
106:11	60:9 74:14	65:1 108:21	judicial 75:13	kinds 11:3 19:3
intent 81:18	involves 34:1	Jason 4:4 5:24	Julie 54:11	19:10 20:12
interact 28:24	involving 86:21	6:14 116:23	July 15:24	21:7 22:13
29:3,6	95:20	Jefferson 4:12	jump 52:5 107:18	31:9,13,22
interacting 32:9	issue 51:17 91:14	Jeffrey 1:13 2:3 3:9 5:13 6:7		32:13 37:17
interactions	issued 80:10,22		jurisdiction	38:1 39:7
31:11	issues 19:10	116:13 117:5,12 118:1	18:22	42:17 43:1
interest 15:13	29:9 31:22 38:16 60:21		jurisdictions 91:2	44:9 53:13 59:2 66:15
interested 55:22 115:15		jeopardizes 90:10		84:15 86:21
	61:17 84:14,15		justification	88:8 92:11
interesting	86:17,21 100:5	job 56:14 66:19	107:19,23,23	101:2 106:14
104:14	it'll 92:14	105:14,14,16	juvenile 94:6,11	
Interim 2:9	item 36:12,17	106:14	94:13,16 95:1	106:22 113:10
interview 14:23	items 36:1,1	Johnson 11:11	95:20	knew 64:24
14:24		39:18,19 40:6	juveniles 95:5	82:1 know 7:10 8:14
interviewed 15:8	Jackson 12:21	40:10 50:19	jwilliamson@	know 7:10 8:14
	13:9 25:22	50:25 51:3,20 70:6,13 73:9	4:7	8:15,20 15:6,11
interviewing	80:22 94:10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	K	15:14 16:14
42:24	Jacqueline 4:15	79:21 97:11	Kansas 3:13	17:5,8,9 19:1,2
introduce 5:23 inverse 38:21	6:3 116:6	joining 15:4	4:23 11:16 12:2	19:4,5,6,9,13
	117:21	Jones 50:11 71:9	116:3	19:16 21:2,19 21:23 22:23
investigate 21:17 83:11	jacqueline.shi	Journey 76:12 76:15 77:1,24	keep 7:14 42:10	28:17 29:7,9
84:7 102:13	4:18	78:16,19 80:8	47:25 48:2	•
	jail 21:15,20	80:18 81:2,25	kept 27:1	29:10,11,12,15 30:13 31:2,7
investigating 92:9	24:16,19 27:2	•	kid 90:3,3	•
	32:24 36:9,10	judge 44:12,12 54:3 55:19	108:17	31:12,15 32:4 32:7,7,10,11,11
investigation	32.2 33.3,10) 54.5 55.18		JZ./,/,IU,II,II

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 160-11 Filed 02/21/18 Page 41 of 52

32:17,22,23	89:24 90:9,13	53:1 56:2	limited 7:6 11:6	89:15 91:7
32:25 33:1,2,3	91:21 92:14	58:4 61:9	limiting 23:4	lone 33:25
34:5,19 35:2,2	93:13,23,24	86:25 93:15	line 30:8 48:3	long 9:13 19:15
35:22,23	93:24,25,25	leave 17:20	67:5 87:6	19:20 25:15
36:9,16,17,18	93:25 94:20	20:6,7,19 39:4	118:4,6,10,16	39:15 51:19,19
36:18 37:4,6,7	94:22 95:18	39:5	118:20	76:11 82:4
37:8,10,19,23	95:22,24	leaves 19:20,21	list 8:8 16:16	87:11 112:1,14
38:1,4 39:1,2,4	96:22,24	leaving 68:7	36:14 53:10	look 15:14,15
39:4,7,25 40:1	97:15,15,18,19	lecture 80:2	54:9 69:25	45:22 46:25
40:2 41:3,18	98:5,13,13	left 28:5	70:3,17 72:23	46:25 54:9
42:23 43:9	99:3 100:10,15	legal 5:20 10:21	75:7 77:8,11,12	64:10 73:25
45:8,9,10 46:3	101:1,8,8,16,16	11:3 13:20	77:15,17 79:5	79:17 83:20
46:4,4,4,5,9	101:19,20	36:5 37:24	81:11 90:6	84:20 88:1,9
46:16,18,24	102:5 103:9,10	42:6,20 43:16	107:16,17	88:10,13 90:1
47:1,14,19 48:1	103:12,15,16,21	43:24 44:2,10	108:11 109:14	90:2,7 91:20
48:5,6,6,7	103:23,23	44:14,15,22	113:4	93:5 98:3
50:4,8,16,17	105:7 106:3,14	45:6 53:7	listed 31:23	99:5 100:14
50:19,19 51:6	107:5,6,7,7,9	54:11,20 56:7	listen 56:21	103:8 107:9
51:6,9,12,19	107:10,11,11	91:11 99:12,13	59:12,12 68:12	113:13,19 114:4
51:24 52:18	108:13,13	100:1,8,13	68:13,14	looked 15:12
53:10,11,13,18	109:13 111:16	101:16 107:18	listening 59:6	77:9 103:6
54:1,2,8,13,18	112:4,8 113:14	107:22	63:22	looking 32:19
54:20 55:3	113:16,24 114:3	legitimately	lists 109:2	48:5 84:25
56:13 57:3,6	114:4	91:20	literally 75:22	90:4 97:2
57:12,19,20	knowing 87:13	length 82:16	77:21	looks 74:4
58:12,15,19	knowledge	let's 44:15,16,17	litigation 4:22	lose 17:2,3 67:2
59:20 60:12	93:16	64:2 107:14	5:21 32:8	67:7
60:17 61:1,2,4	known 65:6	letter 36:7	70:8 85:8	losing 17:11 20:4
61:16,16,19,19	66:7	57:12 66:23	109:6 116:1,18	67:1
62:20 63:16	knows 82:1,2	99:21	little 22:1 28:9	lost 89:2 105:14
64:9 65:2,7,8	94:21	level 25:4,8	74:20,24 76:7	105:16
65:16 66:3,4		26:8 40:7,9	84:9 86:21	lot 18:20 54:16
66:8,8,19	L	85:8,14	96:17 97:12	63:24 86:20
68:16,18,24	lab 83:22	levels 30:3	living 56:15	92:6 93:9
68:25 69:5,7	lack 70:16	Lexus 102:25	57:18 106:13	94:4,25 97:14
69:8,9,10,11,16	language	Liberties 3:11	loans 66:15	97:17,18 112:12
69:17 70:19	80:24	5:18	local 82:7	lots 112:15,17
70:23,24 71:11	laptop 23:25	Liberty 11:17	Locust 4:23	loudly 7:16
72:7,10,11,13	large 25:3	12:2	116:2	love 38:18
72:15 75:6,8	39:17 108:8	license 67:1,2,7	logged 23:25	87:24
76:8,9,21 79:5	larger 75:7	84:14 106:21	logistic 21:8,9	lower 24:13
80:5 81:10,23	lawful 6:8	licensed 17:22	68:24 92:4	25:4,8,21
82:1 84:22	lawyer 53:4,5	life 66:17 90:6	logistics 19:4	26:8 40:7
85:1,1,4,7	55:23 61:7	light 89:6	25:24 26:2	luck 66:19
86:14,15 87:6	lawyers 16:25	likelihood 13:8	27:1,2,6 49:3	
87:17,25 88:6	18:15 30:22	limit 24:12 25:7	49:15 64:9	M
88:14,15,25	33:13,16 52:10	limitation 111:13	69:13 87:19	magic 64:7
l				

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376

www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334 Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 160-11 Filed 02/21/18 Page 42 of 52

mail 42:18	68:23 69:3,16	110:20,21	moving 46:15	106:25 107:9
maintain 113:4	69:17 72:5,11	misdemeanor	46:15 81:14	107:11 110:19
majority 86:13	77:11 79:15	25:3 26:12,13	109:23	112:2,5,9,18
86:14,16	87:10 90:16	26:14,15,18	MSPD 4:14 9:18	112:20 114:4
making 16:12	93:20 97:4	35:2 40:8,11	9:22 10:22,24	needed 27:4,9
28:12 37:21	98:20 101:18	40:12 75:4	16:7 31:11,14	111:11
56:23 57:4	103:21 104:11	91:16 104:7	89:11	Needless 34:4
84:1	104:25 105:17	misdemeanors	multiple 11:20	needs 30:14
manage 85:16	108:15 110:6	26:9 35:2	24:20 26:1	38:2
management	meaning 42:4,5	Missouri 1:1,6	37:4 38:25	neither 115:9
22:15 35:25	98:2,12	3:1,6,12,13,15	49:14,14 57:7	Nevada 11:18
43:19 65:23	means 45:4	3:17,18 4:8,10	104:8	12:4 13:10
69:19 77:19	108:14,15	4:12,15,17,23	municipal 11:5	never 10:14
87:6 98:9	meant 64:25	5:5,14,16,18	murder 34:24	65:14 66:2
manager 64:18	mechanics	6:2,4 9:10 11:11	35:4	88:13 89:13,19
managerial	111:10	58:13 96:16		91:15 110:1,12
22:5	mechanism	108:22 115:4	N	110:12,23 111:1
manner 83:5,11	107:19 110:7	116:3,7,9,10	N 2:1 4:1	111:3
89:9 108:19	meet 16:19	118:2	name 5:19,20	new 4:6,6 12:3
map 49:7 52:1	37:13 47:10,12	MO 4:21 5:4	6:14 42:10	12:17 17:19,22
marginally 67:7	100:2	116:22	57:3 76:20	18:7 19:19 [*]
marked 73:21	meeting 31:20	modifications	96:15 117:9	25:12 53:24
Martin 1:13 2:3	67:24,25,25	74:18	118:1,2	66:1 104:4
3:9 5:13 6:7,12	79:19,23 80:1	modified 81:19	naming 75:25	new-hire 20:16
73:21 83:2	81:6 99:15	Mom 57:17	nature 55:14	newest 24:22
116:13 117:5,12	members 27:5	Monday 62:5,8	57:9 95:17	news 86:23
118:1	31:11 42:24	62:15 80:11,12	101:22 105:12	NGRI 86:19
matter 5:13	57:16	80:16	111:12	nice 38:10
14:22 23:6	mental 19:10	money 48:23	near 89:25	niche 39:1
26:5 27:8	47:21 86:17,18	60:25 86:16	97:13 101:19	Nifong 4:16
33:6 84:4	106:15 112:12	87:8 89:12	necessarily	116:8
88:24 91:1,6,11	112:14,16	112:5,21	50:20 56:19	nine 17:4,4 18:3
92:5 93:4	mentioned	month 37:15	81:5	31:3 33:18
94:8,25	42:5 45:13	41:23	necessary	norm 104:24
102:14 104:4,5	47:7 61:6	months 12:7	20:21 106:4	normal 52:24
108:2,10	69:18	13:24 17:5	110:25 117:8	66:17
116:19	Mercy 85:5	48:2 109:18	need 19:8,8	normally 52:15
mean 8:13 9:20	met 47:16 70:18	motion 31:21	22:10 33:13,16	54:7 63:1
9:22 23:11	78:2	62:13 78:17	37:2,18,19	112:9
29:3 32:19	metro 97:13,23	79:12,22	41:25 43:2	north 11:15
33:21 34:20	middle 51:1	motions 58:6,9	45:7 50:3	notarized 116:17
35:22,22	95:12	59:7	68:12 69:10,11	notary 3:14 5:5
38:13 40:25	mind 7:14	move 7:24 21:17	70:19 76:9	116:16 117:18
40:25 42:3	mine 17:11 24:11	moved 10:11	84:6 87:14	notes 38:4
46:24 59:1,1	minus 62:11	16:18 80:5	88:1,20,25	notice 67:2
61:11 62:22	minute 69:15	movements	89:15,16	72:6 75:15,18
65:20 68:23	minutes 92:18	7:21	93:23 102:15	76:13,15 77:25
		I	I	ı

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376 www.alaris.us

Fax: 314.644.1334 Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 160-11 Filed 02/21/18 Page 43 of 52

		10.47.40.00	7457540	74 2 45 40 25
notified 8:7	obviously 15:25	48:17 49:20	74:5 75:12	74:2,15,19,25
notify 50:1	20:3 32:25	50:17 52:10	79:11 80:7	75:24 76:17,18
58:18,20	46:8 47:19	53:2 59:9,24	82:18 83:13	78:13,25,25
notwithstandi	occupy 39:1	62:9 64:17,22	88:18 90:13	79:12 80:10,21
12:13	occur 99:10	65:6 66:3	90:20 92:17	80:23,25 81:5
November	104:11	67:8,19 68:7	94:6,14 96:1,1	81:6,20 107:14
80:11,12	occurred 10:16	68:13,14	99:9 102:12	107:15 112:2,17
number 5:15	occurrence	69:24,25 72:1	108:25 110:21	order-wise
6:23 12:7	105:4	72:23 76:21	once 6:17 17:3	79:25
15:17 18:11 19:7	occurring 93:10	77:4 83:3,10	21:14 44:25	ordinary 18:20
20:22 21:10	occurs 60:6	89:8 93:4,6,21	47:13,14	112:7
22:12 23:12	103:4	94:1,6,21 96:2	one-on-one	organize 37:8,8
24:1 25:3,21	October 77:22	97:1 102:7	28:25	oriented 32:8
39:22,23	offended 80:24	106:7 107:3,3	ones 57:22	41:2
45:23 [°] 46:11,17	offender 90:6	107:8 109:11,13	58:7,8 69:15	original 2:11,11
46:18,20	offensive 81:1	109:13,16	ongoing 47:17	74:18,25
48:18 51:2	offer 14:15 16:6	113:21 116:7	open 13:25	116:14
52:18 56:18	16:7 80:4 84:1	office's 20:19	15:23 17:22	originally 17:21
59:2 64:7,9	88:12 92:2	officer 34:2	46:9 47:15	55:10 61:5
65:4 67:4	offered 14:10	offices 3:11 11:15	72:22 90:17	74:16
70:6,25 72:18	offers 14:15,20	11:19,22 46:8	98:18 100:3	Osceola 49:6
76:20 79:1,2	15:21 16:11,11	109:11 113:20	opened 12:23	outcome 115:15
82:4 85:2	80:3,4		23:25	
	· ·	oftentimes 38:3		outcomes 21:6
95:19 97:21	office 4:10,15	58:15 62:22	opening 42:18	outside 22:7
99:5 103:17	6:20 9:25	103:5 105:1	47:13	33:2 102:25
103:24 109:16	10:18 11:13,16	113:11 114:3	opens 13:19	overall 13:14,14
109:17,18	11:17,18,23 12:1	oh 6:19 14:3	41:10 46:1	13:17 96:25
112:10 113:23	12:2,3,4,9,15	19:23 31:2	operate 20:8,9	97:17 98:1
numbers 25:20	13:5,13,18 15:5	40:25 45:17	99:13	109:11,13 110:8
26:4,25 27:1	16:7,8,24 17:11	60:1 62:3	operated 107:4	Р
40:19 82:12	17:14,24 18:1,2	68:23 79:9,10	operating	
96:25 102:3	18:6,22 20:3	86:7 90:15	39:20 43:23	P 4:1,1
106:13	20:5 22:4,8,9	91:20 93:19	44:2 100:7	p.m 5:9,12
	22:17,20,25	100:14	opinion 38:8	82:24 83:1
0	23:7 24:14,17	okay 6:23 7:7	83:3,9,15 89:7	92:19,21 114:8
oath 7:2	24:19 26:24	7:21 8:3,4,16	96:1 112:19	114:10
object 7:5	27:3,7,7,11,14	10:8,20 13:12	opinions 63:25	P.O 4:11
objecting 80:19	27:17 28:5,17	13:14 14:1,4,8	opposed 7:20	page 2:2,8
objection 76:24	29:5,16,23	16:9 18:8 21:2	18:25 19:14	116:14,16,18
objects 59:11	30:21 33:14	26:7 34:25	opposite 38:25	117:21 118:4,6
obligation 64:4	33:23 36:5,18	40:13,22	option 63:21	118:10,16,20
64:14,15 66:18	37:6 38:9,13	43:18,21 44:1	oral 59:7	pages 83:21
68:5	38:20,22,24	46:23 47:7	order 2:9 21:5	paid 72:15
obligations	39:11 41:8,9	50:5 52:4	33:14,15 53:15	panic 66:11
66:16 78:7	41:25 42:7,8,9	53:1,6 54:8	54:22,23	pardon 99:8
observe 28:21	42:14 43:12	61:6 63:13	58:2 70:11,15	102:11 110:12
observed 28:16	45:6 46:1,11	71:19 73:4	72:21 73:7,18	part 11:2 12:5,18
ODSCIVED 20.10				

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Fax: 314.644.1334

www.alaris.us

25:23 28:13	77:15 84:8,8	94:3 99:3,4	68:19 75:2	preparing 89:3
31:9 37:11,11,11	84:13 85:2,5	100:15 101:13	77:3 79:16	present 5:22
39:24 40:25	86:18,22	101:18,25	82:20 84:17	52:10 58:14
59:18 75:4	89:17 91:3	103:13 107:1	99:2 104:14	61:7,9 62:2
99:14 106:11	92:10 93:12	113:1	105:11,13,15	presently 11:23
particular 26:19	94:5 98:22	person's 54:8	111:4	presiding 70:9
58:16 62:24	99:15,18	54:10	policies 30:2	77:2 78:3
98:6 104:15	100:21 101:2,4	personal 26:8	policy 27:12	pretrial 31:19,21
107:20 111:14	101:8 102:3,15	personality	31:13 111:15,18	61:8
111:15,17,19	102:18,21	65:14	population	pretty 18:10
114:1	103:6,11,15,17	personally	48:22 93:8,9	36:17 47:4
parties 115:11,14	103:19,20,24	23:23 24:5,6	port 50:2	61:22 89:23
partly 73:9	104:25 105:19	45:16 80:24	position 10:10	93:11,13
pass 14:12 16:1	105:25 106:10	110:1	13:23,23,25	Previous 12:25
passing 16:12	107:2,7,13,15	phone 66:23	14:9,10,14	primarily 12:20
patience 56:20	108:3 113:9,9	78:4 106:13	15:23 16:6	24:14 25:2
pay 71:18 72:12	113:15,25 114:3	phones 13:19	17:21 19:16,19	39:11 44:25
72:13	people's 69:8	42:16	28:10 56:13	58:24 59:2
penalize 33:6,7	102:13	pick 50:3	56:23 57:24	primary 11:9
penalty 117:10	per-hour 111:22	picked 50:14	58:2,22 59:21	18:13
pending 3:16	percent 90:16	53:11 69:9	91:24	printed 68:8
57:1	90:16,17,18	PJ 70:11	positions 9:24	prior 9:21,25
people 8:14	97:3,10,19	place 16:11 45:3	possible 54:12	10:21 12:24
14:14,24 16:10	percentage	54:17,17 81:11	post 69:24	26:22 53:14
17:7 18:23 19:7	86:15 96:20	97:2 106:19,19	101:21 103:11	53:16,17 64:21
20:15,23 21:11	97:16,21	places 18:23	posted 60:13	68:5 81:14
21:13,13,24	perform 32:3	21:13 49:14	62:13 71:6,16	106:14 116:18
22:9 23:7	performance	56:18 59:6	103:13	prison 90:4
28:10,17 32:5	29:17 32:17	100:1	posting 70:23	private 28:8
32:6,6,6,7,24	period 33:24	plaintiffs 1:4,14	potential 66:23	60:20 70:17
32:25 36:16	37:22 39:8,15	3:4,18,19 4:3	potentially	70:18 72:20
38:1,3 39:3,4	82:5 88:24	5:2,25 6:9,15	66:24	73:2,6 74:13
39:5,5,5,6,6	perjury 117:10	plan 50:9 78:16	poverty 100:15	95:4 104:1,3,6
42:16,25 43:2	permitted	81:15	101:4,20	105:6,9
43:4,5 44:8	64:22	planned 50:7	practical 23:6	privately 10:23
49:4,13,23	person 13:19	planning 19:9	26:5 27:8	proactive 53:3
50:13,23 51:4	14:12 15:8,25	plans 81:13	33:6 34:12	56:8 73:10
51:5 53:12,14	16:3,5,12,16	Platte 12:22	79:19 81:3	proactively
53:24 54:1	17:20,20,22	13:10 25:23	84:4 88:23	53:9
57:19 60:12,12	19:21 21:1,1	please 5:22 6:6	91:1,6 92:5	prob 47:3
60:17 61:18	22:11 26:16	7:1,10,24 57:2	102:14 108:1	probable 55:11
62:21,23,24	30:18 37:19	116:12,15,17	practice 56:6	probably 17:2,3
63:5,10 65:10	42:13 48:9	plenty 33:21	premise 72:13	18:3 19:6 31:2
66:13,14 67:11	49:19 54:14,15	plus 66:1	preparation	45:18 46:17
67:14 69:12	54:21 56:4,14	point 19:2,2	8:12,13,18	47:3 52:3
70:20,23	57:21 63:2	48:13 49:2	prepare 8:5 9:5	57:7 94:19,22
72:10,11 77:12	66:24 73:16	54:24 60:10	88:24	97:3,9,11
·			<u> </u>	. ,

103:22	prosecutor	106:8	116:15 117:6	83:10 92:20
probation 25:4	33:11 34:6	quantifies	118:5,7,12,17	recognize 19:13
26:10 35:3	59:11 75:2	111:23	118:21	64:5 76:16
47:24 48:4	82:16 88:11	question 7:7,9	ready 44:23	86:10,10
52:22 75:5	91:4	7:10,12,25 8:1	45:2 84:20	88:20 89:18
84:1 106:22	prosecutor's	8:3 15:18,18	92:7	91:24 112:25
problem 7:17,18	38:20 84:1	25:18 54:4	real 17:12 20:7	Recognizing
17:12 21:9	prosecutors	87:13 103:18	87:13	19:9
37:11,12,23	79:21 80:2	106:5	realistically	recommend
48:10 49:22	provide 20:20	questioning	92:12	28:14
50:15 51:15	89:12	93:1	reality 29:20	record 5:10 7:16
57:23	provided 71:25	questions 6:24	32:19 64:25	82:24 83:1
problems	72:3	6:25 7:6,20	64:25 65:7	92:18,19,21
20:22 21:8	providing 31:8	8:10 14:23 15:1	84:7 88:12	106:14 114:7,8
29:10 48:14	public 3:14 4:15	15:9 22:9	92:14 108:2	114:9
49:15 84:14	5:5 6:4,20	27:12 52:5	realize 66:22	recorded 5:12
88:5	9:10,21 10:1	60:3 68:21,24	really 20:5	recording 68:11
procedural	12:9 15:4	69:13,15 96:18	30:15 35:18	records 85:3,4
52:4	29:23 30:4	quickly 93:13	45:10 77:18	112:16,17
proceed 88:17	65:6 67:19	quit 63:23	87:24 88:14	reduced 56:15
proceedings	70:7 71:24,25	66:14	88:19 91:25	91:16 115:8
61:8,21	76:21 77:4	quoted 102:3	94:21 98:9	reduction 58:5
process 14:23	102:10 104:21	quoted 102.3	realm 112:8 113:1	reestablish
14:24 16:21	108:22 113:5	R		78:12
20:6 28:15	116:7,16 117:18	R 4:1	rearranging 23:5	refer 113:25
30:5 32:2	pull 98:17,21	radius 101:24	reason 25:23	references
35:24 36:21	pulling 102:25	railroad 51:1	35:23 49:19	16:15
41:1,5,6,20	punished 65:19	Ramsey 2:5	50:5 56:7	referral 74:23
53:16 54:23	65:20	4:10 6:1,1	62:7 72:17	74:24
73:12 78:1	pure 109:3	96:12,15	87:2 90:23	
83:20 93:1,14	•	110:19,21,22	111:9 118:5,8,14	referring 74:3 80:25
· ·	purposes 29:1 34:13 79:20	ran 42:14		reflection 66:21
100:17,18		random 26:15	118:18,22	
106:11 111:5,8	86:19,19,20	range 17:15	reasons 48:12	refunds 72:9
processes	pursue 91:9,10	24:7	60:6 90:23	refuse 64:22
99:25	pursuing 31:22	ranks 10:12	90:25 91:1	refuses 62:11
processing	push 91:9,10	rapport 31:8	103:25	regardless
41:17 42:18	put 27:14 28:10	32:12	reassign 22:20	59:13
produced 3:10	66:16 67:2	rate 16:23,24	recall 17:13	registered 90:5
6:8	86:14 87:17	20:18 103:19	77:16 110:6	regular 51:3
product 29:25	87:20,21	103:21	recalling	54:5
program 43:10	98:24 107:14		108:20	reinvigorate
44:14,18 68:2	107:15	ratio 38:8	received 12:9	81:19
programs	Q	rationale 26:19	receiving 12:1	reiterated 82:4
42:25 43:10		26:20	12:16 100:22	rejected 103:20
44:9	qualifies 56:5	reach 112:18,19	100:25 101:5	rejection 103:19
promotion	qualify 67:14	reactivate 81:18	102:10	related 115:10
28:15 30:5	100:23 104:2	read 86:23	recess 82:25	relation 26:4
	ı	ı	ı	ı

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Fax: 314.644.1334 www.alaris.us Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 160-11 Filed 02/21/18 Page 46 of 52

28:15 40:19	72:14 101:14	104:18 106:1	45:13 57:1,15	26:25 48:16
53:18,19	103:16 104:19	respective	58:4 59:16	48:25 49:1,5
56:20 58:17	109:19	32:16	62:18 65:22	49:10,18,24
59:19 62:16	representatio	respond 66:9	69:2,18 70:10	49:25 50:13
63:22 68:10	71:4,5	response	77:22 78:18	51:13 76:3
70:11 78:25	represented	68:22 81:16	79:13 82:22	97:2,3
82:11 89:3,3	53:12 104:1,3	responsibilities	84:18 92:1	sally 50:2
92:7,9 105:3	105:1 108:19	22:2,14 40:23	94:18,24	Sam 41:18
106:25,25	representing	responsibility	95:23 98:4	sat 79:25
109:12 111:10	56:3 103:15	22:19 28:14	101:19 110:15	saw 77:14
111:18 113:2,15	104:7 105:6,7	responsible	110:17 111:6	saying 72:6
relative 115:12	reprimanded	27:19	ris 26:4	76:13,16 87:17
relative 113.12	67:3	rest 90:6	risk 84:18	103:2 109:13
relevant 6:24	request 55:12	restricted 26:4	road 30:13 61:4	says 6:9 36:12
remember 6:18	58:1,4,17	result 48:20	robbery 26:1	57:12 59:12
7:1 8:23 10:5,7	59:13,18	results 16:2,4	rolls 13:7	60:16 67:18
31:1 42:10	60:22 76:12	retain 87:1,1	room 44:16	76:21 99:22
63:22 65:3,4	79:1 87:7,8,9	return 116:17	roughly 79:4	scanned 76:20
80:6 109:5,7	89:14,16 95:15	reverse 105:5	90:14	scary 94:22
removing 24:19	99:21 110:1,12	105:20	round 20:13	scenario 43:23
render 117:8	111:11,12,18	review 8:18		scenarios 20:16
renewal 74:17			routine 62:19 106:7	
	112:2,7,21,23	31:10 36:13		60:9,19,20
reopened 16:20	112:23 114:1	41:1,12,13 55:7	routinely 29:11	61:17
repeat 7:11	requests 37:5,7	55:8 112:16	51:15 90:1	schedule 49:8
rephrase 7:11	37:22 75:15	reviewed 8:20	102:1,20	79:12 91:6
replace 19:21	86:16 92:10	9:3	RPR 4:21 5:4	scheduled
replacing 20:19	102:14 110:6	reviewing 41:8	115:18 116:22	78:23 79:19
report 83:22	require 26:2	41:9 65:3	rule 66:4 78:7	80:8 81:6
reporter 3:14	87:19 107:25	92:10 103:10	ruled 78:19	schedules
4:21 6:5 7:15	112:10	revolve 58:11	rules 64:14,14,17	29:13 55:4
82:19,23 115:1	required 6:24	86:17	68:9,15 78:8	scheme 46:17
115:4	7:7 29:21,22	revolves 82:15	107:24	school 51:25
reporter's 5:19	requires 22:21	86:20	ruling 81:3	85:3
reports 41:14	83:5,11 89:9	ridiculous 112:7	Rumley 54:3	screen 106:10
represent 33:14	reschedule	right 12:18 13:13	run 88:5	screening
33:15 63:7	80:20	13:14,25 15:16	runs 84:18	100:10,11,12,17
67:13,16,18,19	rescreening	16:17 17:17,17	rural 54:18,19	100:18
71:9,10,20,21	16:21	18:12 23:13,15	57:19	screenshot
72:21 73:17	research 32:8	23:16,22 24:3	Ryan 4:20 5:20	45:23
95:5 96:3,16	91:11 113:6	24:25 25:16	S	second 27:24
96:21 101:17	resent 9:1	27:20 29:14		28:12,21 55:6
102:15,16,22	reserved 5:7	30:20 33:5,17	S 2:7 3:13 4:1,21	82:10
102:23 104:10	reset 21:19	33:21,25	5:4 115:3,18	see 8:9 29:12
105:2 108:6,17	resources 83:4	34:23 35:21	116:22	29:15 32:25
representation	83:10 85:10	39:9 40:6	safe 46:18	32:25 34:22
20:20 31:15	89:8 92:6	42:5,8,15	saga 81:1	44:17 47:23
34:9 47:15	102:15,17	43:22 44:1	Saint 11:13	49:4,6,7,9,22
		1	<u> </u>	<u>'</u>

50:7,9,11 51:4	shapes 97:1	sir 7:4	sorry 102:11	78:18
53:14 54:9,14	shared 81:13	SIS 80:3,4	sort 13:10,20	standard 86:8
79:2 81:6,7	sheet 106:11	sit 63:6 84:6	17:9 19:19	86:9
95:9 107:10	116:14,16,17	sitting 43:24,25	26:3 39:4,23	standpoint
seeing 49:13,15	118:1	44:11 63:5	40:20 81:1	15:13 20:25
seen 48:9	sheets 41:14,15	83:25	100:25	21:8 22:15
80:21,23 88:7	41:21	situation 20:10	south 11:15	24:23 25:21
self-evident	ship 48:24	57:9 58:3	spare 9:7	40:18 45:9
93:12	Shipma 4:15 6:3	80:25 82:1	speak 50:17	61:21 92:6
send 72:10	6:3 8:6,22	91:19 94:15	speaking 7:15	95:11 102:16
73:25 99:23	116:6,11 117:21	103:11 104:22	96:2 97:8	start 10:3 13:9
99:24	Shondel 1:3 3:3	situations 11:21	107:17	15:20 16:24
sends 57:11	3:17 5:13	58:11 59:23	specific 15:18	17:11 49:12
senior 40:8	116:10 118:2	61:4,14,25	30:9,10 36:2	53:15 57:4
sense 23:21	shooting 34:2	93:8 94:11,12	36:3 48:7	70:20 84:25
96:19 103:19	short 88:24	103:6,11 104:11	specifically	85:9 93:12
sensitive 41:15	shortcuts 83:17	six 13:24	32:23 42:20	106:16
sent 34:20	86:10	skills 28:16,18	56:10 57:16	started 10:5,9
76:15 78:24	shorter 49:22	31:5	58:5 70:12	10:18 16:14,21
78:24	shortest 58:1	skip 63:13	109:8,10	18:2 24:24
		•	· ·	
separate 26:13	shorthand 5:4	slight 28:8 small 48:18	spend 21:4 43:12 44:6	65:3,5,5
64:13 98:10,11 98:11 106:11	shortly 109:22	smaller 20:4	83:24	75:22,25 76:1
	show 33:10,11 50:2 57:17			77:17,18,21
September 16:3		smallest 26:23	spending 104:18	starts 29:22
serious 25:25 58:12 104:6	60:14 98:20	48:17,19		state 1:6 3:6,15
	98:25	sneaking 88:7	spent 61:1	3:18 4:8,10,15
seriousness	sick 18:18 39:6	88:8	spoke 8:6	5:14 6:2,4
40:19	62:7,21	solely 26:4	Springfield	9:10 13:3 41:17
served 9:13,18	side 54:16	34:14	50:22,23,24	58:16 72:10
9:20 37:19	sign 76:19	somebody	51:4,7,20,21	96:16 100:22
services 4:22	116:16	21:20 22:11	51:25	100:24 101:17
5:21 61:3 116:1	signature 5:6	29:12 30:14	staff 8:14 13:13	113:14 115:4
116:18	116:14,16,17	33:18 43:10	13:14,17,22	116:7,10 117:1
serving 37:25	117:21 118:24	58:18 63:4,7	14:6 17:18	118:2
set 42:25 48:1	signed 44:17	66:18 76:8	18:15,17 22:6	statement 55:11
52:22 54:5	74:6	87:6,24 90:7	22:10 23:14	States 1:1 3:1,16
54:25 55:6,11	significantly	93:23 101:24	27:12 30:1	5:15
55:18,18 84:16	106:1	102:4 103:14	31:11 35:7,10	status 31:20
103:9	signs 41:12	112:1	38:21,23 39:2	98:23,25
settings 79:18	simply 16:14	somebody's	42:1,2,4,4	100:19 102:18
setup 74:9	26:15 76:19	18:18 36:7	54:7 67:21,24	106:15
seven 17:14	92:25 93:7	112:3	67:25,25	stay 106:19
24:8 47:13,16	94:19 99:20	someone's	68:2,22	staying 106:20
90:4	Sincerely	18:18 32:20	staffed 17:8	steps 53:3
sex 57:7 84:25	116:20	32:20 38:22	staffing 22:18	92:22
90:6 95:18	single 15:8	soon 14:8	stamps 101:1	Steven 4:10 6:1
98:14,14 102:2	31:24 58:16	sooner 100:4,5	stand 62:23	96:15
	I	I	I	l

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334 www.alaris.us Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 160-11 Filed 02/21/18 Page 48 of 52

	1	1	ī	1
Steven.Rams	suggestion	97:25 98:16	45:24 47:1	31:19,22,23
4:13	63:23	98:25 100:4	64:19 66:13,18	31:25 32:13
STIPULATED	Suite 3:12 4:16	108:22	67:15,16 69:3	33:8 37:10
5:1	116:8		69:5,7,12	39:7 41:2,11
stop 78:5	super 27:10	T	71:16 84:4	42:22 43:1,9
stopping 82:19	supervise	T 2:7 15:9	87:25 88:1	43:21 44:7,17
Street 3:12 4:5	110:13	table 46:16	93:22 103:12	46:17 48:3
4:11,23 116:2	supervising	take 19:15 32:18	108:4,5	53:9,13 59:3
stress 66:21	22:6,6 64:18	38:19 45:3	telling 71:1,2	61:19 62:12
stress/panic	111:4	54:17,17	93:18	63:21 64:3,10
69:14	supervision	64:20 68:16	tells 64:12	67:5 70:4
stressed 65:15	27:11,18 28:25	70:17 73:25	106:23	77:19 79:1,2
65:15	supervisor 22:4	75:9 76:14	ten 31:3 90:4,17	79:24 83:17,18
strike 14:12	28:14 64:13,15	82:21 89:20	tend 93:8	83:18 84:12,16
Strothmann	supervisory	89:24 92:23	tends 113:22	85:15 87:4
76:5,25	78:8	102:7,9	terms 99:7	88:8 91:8,9
structurally	support 13:18	taken 1:14 5:3	102:9 110:2,23	92:8,11,13
87:11	22:10 38:21	20:10 21:21	testified 85:15	100:20,20
structure 70:22	38:23 39:2	53:3 70:12	110:11	101:2,10 102:2
70:22,24	41:10 42:2,4,7	82:25 92:20	testifying 7:3	103:6 106:9,14
stuck 84:5	42:8,9	115:7,12 116:13	testimony 7:2	106:16,16,22
student 66:15	supposed 32:21	118:3	12:12 26:7	111:21
stuff 20:13 21:11	47:10,12,16,17	talk 8:11,11 14:17	89:6 105:22	think 8:6,8,22
26:6 30:12	47:25 48:2,8	21:5 22:1 29:7	105:24 109:25	9:5 14:11,13
35:17 38:1,2	66:5,5 107:25	36:13,15 44:15	115:5,7	16:1 18:1 ² 0:17
40:4 41:10	suppression	44:19 49:10	Thank 116:19	20:21 26:12
42:17,18 44:9	91:11	54:13 60:16	Thanksgiving	29:6 33:7,13
44:17 [°] 47:1	sure 7:8,13,15,19	67:21 70:2	80:13,16	35:15,15 37:2
65:3 78:15	7:22 8:24	81:22 83:22	theory 33:18	41:25 42:5
85:11 106:15	29:2 51:8	88:10,11 93:23	thereon 117:9	45:15 51:21
subject 29:17	57:11 62:2,3	105:2	thereto 115:14	61:20,22
subpoenas	64:15 82:23	talked 19:16	they'd 33:20,20	62:10 64:10
37:25	85:18 96:24	70:18 85:13	thing 13:11,20	64:24,25
subscribe 117:9	suspended	talking 20:25	16:5 17:9 26:3	66:6,6,11,21
substance 117:8	67:4 114:10	21:1 42:23	31:24 36:2,4	68:3 70:24
substantive	suspicious	44:7,12 49:3	40:20 58:21	73:23 77:10,14
90:10	107:5	49:12 59:19	62:25 70:16	78:5 80:25
substitute 62:1	swear 6:6	63:9 74:12	88:12 90:10	81:17,20 82:6
62:23	swing 41:20	79:24 85:4	91:16 95:18	83:16 85:22
sucks 91:21	Switching 99:7	87:16 92:9,9	108:14	85:23,24
sudden 17:10	sworn 3:10 6:8	93:12	things 7:13 15:3	86:24 87:4,9
suddenly 37:16	17:23 115:6	tasks 43:13	19:11,12,13	87:12 88:9 [°]
37:17,21	system 36:1	tax 72:9	20:12 21:7,16	89:10,11,16
66:22	38:20 41:19	team 101:17	22:12 23:3,5	90:1,12,23,24
suggested 30:7	43:19 70:8	tell 11:7 14:22	26:1 27:4,5,9	90:24,25
suggesting	74:24,24	24:1,2 30:9	27:13 30:2	92:5,14 93:15
105:24	76:20 77:20	44:12 45:22	31:6,7,9,13,16	102:15 104:12
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 160-11 Filed 02/21/18 Page 49 of 52

106:5,9 107:3	tired 60:17	42:25 43:9,10	32:16 36:8	115:9
108:7,17 110:5	title 9:11	44:8	37:16,17,20	typical 43:11
114:3	today 6:23 8:5	trend 13:1	39:1 40:18	46:20
thinking 69:16	8:19 9:5 54:2	triage 83:19	42:10 43:9	typist 42:12
thought 63:24	Today's 5:11	84:24	44:8,9,18	
64:23 107:20	told 63:20	triaging 86:4,8	51:25 54:14	U
110:24	66:12 68:17	86:9,9 87:16	57:25 61:19	ugly 88:19
three 10:23,24	78:4,13 80:23	trial 28:16,17	63:7 76:9	Uh-huh 15:2,7
14:11,14 28:6	88:23 107:2	31:5,15 37:16	78:12,12	16:13 18:13
30:12 37:15,21	109:15	38:4 62:22	84:20 88:3	19:18 22:16
38:12,14,23	tone 81:5	62:25 87:22	93:20 104:12	25:19 34:3,15
39:13,20 48:1	tong 19:9	88:2 90:14	105:1 106:6	46:6,14 50:6
60:15 80:1	top 31:1 57:4	91:18,23 92:8	109:5,7	52:9 73:3
87:22 104:17	103:23	116:18	turn 14:19 44:14	74:22 80:14
Thursday 54:10	Torrence 80:22	trial-wise 38:5	84:4	81:9 95:16
Thursdays	total 13:22 14:7	trials 27:25	turnaround	109:24 110:4
53:22	96:22	28:11 65:16	19:23 58:1	ultimate 101:12
time 5:11 9:7	totally 104:4	90:19	turned 21:22	ultimately 72:7
10:13 15:22,25	touched 8:9	tried 23:3,5	89:14	112:17
19:20,21 20:12	track 77:20	24:12 28:9	turnover 16:23	understand 7:3
21:4 24:3	97:24	56:7 59:20	16:24 20:18	7:10 47:11
26:20 27:15	tracking 98:2	59:22 76:7	twice 6:17 8:6	106:5
29:23 30:4,6	tracks 51:1	95:11	41:23,23	understanding
30:9,10 35:16	tradeoff 40:19	tries 89:11	two 11:9,10 12:18	12:8 14:19
36:9 37:5,22	traffic 11:5	trip 49:22	13:20 17:9,10	104:15,22
38:5 39:2,8,15	trailer 106:20	true 97:11 117:8	21:13 22:3	105:21 106:2
41:13,15,15,16	training 68:2	117:10	24:10 28:5	Understood
41:20,21,22	69:18,19	truly 35:23	33:4 38:11	45:13
43:8,11 44:5,6	transcribed 5:5	trust 21:5	39:12,17,18,19	unfamiliar 18:21
45:9,19 46:3	transcript 2:11	truthfully 6:25	42:6 51:23	Union 3:11 5:18
52:7 58:1,16	116:15	try 7:23 20:10	52:3 60:3	unit 34:10,13,20
69:22 75:6	transfer-wise	21:20 25:7	64:3 70:4,5	113:21
76:5,7,8 82:5	20:14	28:3 29:7	74:25 75:10	United 1:1 3:1,16
83:4,10,24	transferred 18:5	32:18,22 34:11	75:10 79:18,18	5:15
85:10 88:25	18:5,9	54:11,12 58:3	79:21 81:13	upcoming
89:1,8,10,17	transferring	62:22,23	87:3,4 88:2	43:20 54:22
90:12 92:6,13	20:1,2	63:3,4 77:20	90:24 91:15	108:21
93:19 109:2	transport 50:4	78:14 81:23	92:18 97:5	upper 25:5
112:1,11 114:5	transportation	85:6 91:5	104:17 105:7	40:9 46:4
times 14:11,13	84:14	93:24 99:13	type 32:8 36:2	use 36:19 43:3
20:11 22:21	transported	100:2 101:16	36:4 58:10	82:20 101:3,15
23:3 29:11	50:14	106:9 107:10	98:6,12 101:22	103:14 106:12
37:24 52:18	transporting	110:8	106:13 111:19	110:24 113:22
60:15 82:4	61:18	trying 10:4	114:1	usual 56:16
85:7 94:9	travel 25:24	13:24 17:21	types 28:11	usually 19:23
95:13 110:6	26:3 27:2	19:17 25:14	101:10	54:25 60:7
timing 58:25	treatment	26:12 30:13	typewriting 5:6	65:17 93:11,20
	1	1	1	

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376

ww.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334 Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 160-11 Filed 02/21/18 Page 50 of 52

108:14 111:9,23 118:1		<u> </u>	·	1	1
112:12	93:21 94:9	94:25 116:13	35:24 36:1	4:16 116:8	wouldn't 23:19
Utilized 109:3 W wait 69:15 77:8 98:25 99:18 77:811,12,15 77:16 108:16 109:2 77:16 108:16 109:2 106:3 107:14 44:4 5:24,24 44:4 5:24,24 44:4 5:24,24 44:4 5:24,24 47:24 5:24,24	108:14 111:9,23	118:1	39:23 40:3,4	Western 1:1 3:1	24:2 26:6
13:5	112:12	vs 1:5 3:5,18	40:20 85:23	3:16 5:16	35:12 46:9
Wait 69:15 77:8 77:8 i 11.12.15 77:8 i 102:15.20 4:4 5:24.24 wow 43:14 91:20 work 43:14 91:20 witten 58:9 x2:17 x2:23 79:5 x2:21 22:21,21 23:3.6 37:17 x2:23 79:5 x2:37 98:10 x2:3	utilized 109:3		87:11 91:7	whittle 39:16	78:13 97:20
V 77:8,11,12,15 102:15,20 4:4 5:24,24 wow 43:14 wow 43:14 99:20 witten 58:9 78:25	113:5		93:21 98:15	wife 8:14	106:8 112:25
v116:10 118:2 vacations 77:16 108:16 109:2 valiable 43:7 varied 17:1 various 15:3 20:12 22:21,21 213:3,6 37:17 53:13 55:13 98:10 113:10 Varying 66:11 vast 86:12,14,16 verbulp 7:20 78:23 80:8 Vernon 49:11 versal 40:3 versa 40:3 versa 40:1 versal 60:13 87:9 09:17,7 versus 5:14 victou 1:13 3:9 vice 40:3 victous 89:4 victious 89:4 victous 89:4 victous 89:4 violating 64:17 violation 25:4 5:222 78:7 violation 25:4 52:122 78:1 violation 25:4 52:121:5 visit 21:15 77:16 108:16 109:2 103:3 104:13 106:3 107:14 82:21 83:2 wwys 22:21 92:17,22 92:17,22 92:17,22 92:17,22 92:114:7 116:23 wwys 22:21 92:17,22 92:114:7 116:23 wwys 22:21 92:17,22 92:114:7 116:23 wwys 22:21 92:17,22 92:114:7 116:23 withdraw 60:22 62:14 witherse 22:56 66:61 15:5,7 116:15 118:1,1 106:3 107:14 with 27:2 23:6 39:12 96:10 110:20 78:25 78:25 78:7 wiolation 26:11 30:9 22:6 39:12 99:10 114:7 116:23 withtenswed 60:22 62:14 witherse 22:56 66:61 15:5,7 116:15 118:1,1 106:3 107:14 with 27:2 99:10 114:7 116:23 withtenswed 60:22 62:14 witherse 22:56 66:61 115:5,7 116:15 118:1,1 103:14 103:15 98:10 100:14 107:8 118:24 withenswed 72:16 with enswer 18:24 withenswed 72:15 28:16 36:8 withenswes 11:20 36:14 58:10 57:22,23 word 102:7,9 words 30:8 36:14 58:10 57:22,23 word 102:7,9 words 30:8 36:24 52:22 49:25 57:13 103:10 105:5 week 29:12 91:15 5,6 14:15 57:13 worked 79:15 100:14 107:8 110:5 week 29:12 91:17 with 27:15 23:13 103:10 105:5 worked 10:23 worked 10:23 worked 98:4 violating 64:17 violation 25:4 52:12 27:87 violation 25:4 52:12 27:87 violation 25:4 52:12 28:19 21:29:13 24:15 27:15 violation 25:4 52:12 28:19:12 29:17 violation 25:4 52:12 29:13 22:19:13 22:19:19 21:19 21:19 21:19 21:19 21:19 21:19 21:19 21:19 23:19 21			98:25 99:18	Williamson 2:4	114:4
vacations 109:2 waiting 47:23 106:3 107:14 82:21 83:2 written 58:9 valuable 43:7 varied 17:1 various 15:3 20:12 22:21,21 21:33:6 37:17 23:36 37:17 23:36 37:17 23:36 37:17 23:36 37:17 23:36 37:17 23:36 37:17 38:11 107:16,17 we'll 14:17 37:3 withdraw 60:22 X X 2:1,7 88:1 Varying 66:11 vast 86:12,14,16 verb 31:5 verb 31:5 witheraw 60:22 66:6 115:5,7 116:15 118:1,1 118:15 23:24 25:16 47:24 9:8			102:15,20	4:4 5:24,24	wow 43:14
65:20 valuable 43:7 case	v 116:10 118:2		103:3 104:13	6:11,14 74:10,11	91:20
valuable 43:7 varied 17:1 69:25 70:3 72:23 79:5 98:10 23:6 39:12 98:10 110:20 114:7 116:23 well 14:17 37:3 well 14:17 37:3 47:2 49:8 47:2 49:8 47:2 49:8 withdraw 60:22 62:14 withdraw 60:22 62:14 withdraw 60:22 62:14 withdraw 60:22 66:14 sat 86:12,14,16 verbally 7:20 walk 18:21 63:25 66:2,14 verbally 7:20 walking 103:1 versa 40:3 version 74:21 81:19 87:7 90:17,7 72:29 33:4 versus 5:14 18:15 40:19 vice 40:3			106:3 107:14	82:21 83:2	written 58:9
varied 17:1 various 15:3 81:11 107:16,17 98:10 114:7 116:23 X X2:1,7 88:1 20:12 22:21,21 108:11 well 14:17 37:3 withdraw 60:22 47:2 49:8 47:2 49:8 d5:14 withdraw 60:22 47:2 49:8 d6:14 withdraw 60:22 47:2 49:8 withdraw 60:22 66:615 47:2 49:8 withdraw 60:22 66:615 47:2 49:8 withdraw 60:22 66:615 66:615 47:2 49:8 withdraw 60:22 66:615 47:2 49:8 47:2 49:8 48:18 99:23 66:615 47:2 49:8 48:18 99:23 47:2 49:8 48:18 99:23 47:16:23 withdraw 60:22 47:2 49:8 48:18 99:23 47:18:24 47:2 49:8 48:18 99:23 47:2 49:8 48:18 99:23 47:2 49:18 47:2 49:18 47:2 49:12 30:18 40:25 47:2 49:12 47:2 49:14 47:2 49:14 47:2 49:14 47:2 49:14 47:2 49:14 47:2 49:14 47:2 49:1		_	ways 22:21	92:17,22	78:25
various 15:3 81:11 107:16,17 well 14:17 37:3 withdraw 60:22 X 2:1,7 88:1 20:12 22:21,21 108:11 47:2 49:8 62:14 Y 53:13 55:13 waive 71:11 98:18 99:23 16:61 115:5,7 16:15 118:1.1 98:10 113:10 waive 71:11 98:18 99:23 116:15 118:1.1 18:24 Varying 66:11 waive 30:12 walk 8:21 66:6115:5,7 116:15 118:1.1 verta 86:12,14,16 wake 30:12 walk 18:21 37:20 50:4 36:4 58:10 36:14 58:10 30:18 40:25 463:25 63:23 66:2,14 walk 18:21 57:13,24 59: 60:16:7:24 42:2 43:2 verbally 7:20 78:23 80:8 want 16:2 36:12 70:19 72:3 word 102:7,9 85:22 86:7 70:19 72:3 word 102:7,9 85:22 86:7 110:5 68:23 79:9 85:22 86:7 100:14 107:8 110:5 98:19 76:6 100:14 107:8 110:5 98:14 58:10 98:24 49:25 110:5 98:22 42:22 92:10 98:22 42:22 92:10 98:22 42:22 92:10 98:22 42:22 92:10 98:22 42:22 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>23:6 39:12</td><td>96:10 110:20</td><td></td></td<>			23:6 39:12	96:10 110:20	
20:12 22:21,21 23:3,6 37:17 53:13 55:13 98:10 113:10 Varying 66:11 vast 86:12,14,16 venture 24:2 63:25 63:25 63:23 66:2,14 verbally 7:20 78:23 80:8 Vernon 49:11 78:23 80:8 Vernon 49:11 Varsing 66:12 81:19 87:20 63:12 80:81 99:23 78:23 80:8 Vernon 49:11 Varying 66:11 vast 86:12,14,16 verbally 7:20 78:23 80:8 Vernon 49:11 78:24 Valking 103:1 Varying 66:11 vast 86:12,14,16 verbally 7:20 78:23 80:8 Vernon 49:11 Varying 66:12 Varying 66:11 Vast 86:12,14,16 Valking 103:1 Varying 66:11 Vast 86:12,14,16 Valk 89:12 Valk 18:21 Si:13:24 Vilensess 11:20 Varial 51:8:10 Varying 66:11 Vast 86:12,14,16 Valk 99:23 Varial 79:24 25:15 Valk 18:24 Vilensess 11:20 Varial 47:2 49:8 63:4 79:2 81:7 Vilenses 2:2 5:6 66: 6115:5,7 Til6:15 118:1,1 Til8:24 Vilensess 11:20 Varial 40:25 Varial 40:25 Varial 40:25 Varial 40:25 Varial 40:25 Valid 40:25 Varial 40:25 Valid 40:27 Vilensess 11:20 Varial 40:25 Varial 40:27:9 Varial 79:24 Vilenses 2:2 5:6 66: 115:5,7 Til6:15 118:1,1 Til8:24 Vilensess 11:20 Varial 40:25 Varial 40:25 Varial 40:25 Varial 40:25 Varial 47:2 49:8 63:4 79:2 81:7 Vilenses 2:2 5:6 66: 115:5,7 Til6:15 118:1,1 Til8:24 Vilensess 11:20 Varial 40:25 Varial 40:25 Varial 40:27:9 Varial 40:25 Valid 40:25 Varial 40:25 Valid 40:25 Varial 40:25 Valid 40:25 Valid 40:25 Valid 40:25 Valid 40:25 Valid 40:27:9 Varial 40:2	varied 17:1	72:23 79:5	98:10	114:7 116:23	
23:3,6 37:17 53:13 55:13 98:10 113:10 Varying 66:11 Varying 66:15 Varying fee vitherss 2:2 5:6 6:6 115:5,7 16:16 18:5 Varic 40:2 Varic 40:3 Varic 40:		81:11 107:16,17	we'll 14:17 37:3	withdraw 60:22	X 2:1,7 88:1
5.3:13, 55:13 walve 71:11 63:34, 79:28:17 wittness 212.5:6 yeah 17:1 23:15 23:24 4 25:12 30:18 40:25 40:25 42:2 4 3:2 22:24 25:12 30:18 40:25 40:25 42:2 4 3:2 40:25 42:2 4 3:2 40:25 42:2 4 3:2 40:18 40:25 42:2 4 3:2 40:18 40:25 42:2 3:2 42:2 3:2 40:18 40:25 42:2 3:2 40:18 40:25 42:2 3:3 40:18 40:18 40:25 42:2 3:3 40:18 40:18 40:18 40:18 40:25 42:2 3:3 42:2 3:3 40:18 40:25 42:2 3:3 40:18 40:25 42:2 3:3 40:24 41:1 40:24 41:1 40:24 41:1 <	20:12 22:21,21	108:11	47:2 49:8		
98:10 113:10 Varying 66:11 vast 86:12,14,16 venture 24:2 verbally 7:20 78:23 80:8 Vernon 49:11 versa 40:3 version 74:21 81:19 87:7 90:1,7,7 versus 5:14 18:15 40:19 vice 40:3 vicious 89:4 vicious 89:4 vicious 89:4 vicious 89:4 vicious 89:4 vicideo 1:13 3:9 5:12 videographer 4:19 5:10,20 6:5 82:24 violation 25:4 violations 25:1 violations 25:17 visit 21:15 visit 21:1	23:3,6 37:17	waits 54:21	63:4 79:2 81:7	witness 2:2 5:6	
98:10 113:10 Varying 66:11 vast 86:12,14,16 verbur 24:2 63:25 verbure 24:2 63:25 Verbure 24:2 verbally 7:20 78:23 80:8 Vernon 49:11 Version 74:21 81:19 80:5,11 84:21 80:5,11 84:21 81:19 87:7 90:1,7,7 versus 5:14 18:15 40:19 vice 40:3 vice 40:3 vice 40:3 vice 40:3 vice 40:13 vice 40:13 vice 40:13 vice 40:13 videographer 4:19 5:10,20 6:5 82:24 videographer 4:19 5:10,20 6:5 82:24 videographer 4:19 5:10,20 6:5 82:24 violation 25:4 visit 21:15 visit 21:1	53:13 55:13	waive 71:11	98:18 99:23	6:6 115:5,7	
Varying 66:11 vast 86:12,14,16 venture 24:2 enture 24:2 wake 30:12 walk 18:21 ds:25 sizes 63:25 soile, 24 walking 103:1 verbally 7:20 walking 103:1 want 16:2 36:12 soile, 20 word 102:7,9 ds:29 sizes 40:3 soile, 40:3 versa 40:3 soile, 40:3 versa 40:3 soile, 40:3 versa 40:3 soile, 40:19 wanted 7:13 soile, 40:19 vice 40:3 sizes 58:14 vice and 13:19 sizes 58:14 vice and 13:19 sizes 58:12 warrant 98:23 tideographer 4:19 5:10,20 6:5 82:24 sizes 63:6 soile, 40:20 ds:19,21 14:8 vice wable 98:4 violating 64:17 violation 25:4 violation 25:4 violations 26:11 35:3 75:5 visit 2:1:15 waived 72:16 wake 30:12 sizes 63:8 sizes 11:20 36:14 sizes 57:13, 24 59: 60:16 fix:24 witnesses 11:20 36:14 sizes 57:13, 24 59: 60:16 fix:24 word 10:27, 9 work 10:21 11:3 11:5, 5,6 14:15 10:5 version 74:21 sizes 63:20 sizes 63:24 wants 36:15 sizes 63:24 wasn't 9:2 30:8 sizes 63:15 sizes 63:24 wasn't 9:2 30:8 sizes 63:15 sizes 63:24 wasn't 9:2 30:8 sizes 63:15 sizes 63:24 worked 10:23 working 6:20 sizes 63:01:01, 13:4 17:9 18:5 sizes 65:21 57:13 sizes 61:13, 19 sizes 61:13, 19 sizes 64:24 wasn't 9:2 30:8 sizes 63:14 sizes 63:24 workloads 32:16, 16 works 35:24 yorkloads 32:16, 16 works 35:24 yorkloads 32:16, 16 worked 8:20 worked 8:20 worked 8:20 workloads 32:16, 16 works 35:24 yorkloads 32:16, 16 worked 3:20 worked 8:20 worked 8:20 workloads 32:16, 16 worked 3:20 worked 8:20 worked 8:20 workloads 32:16, 16 worked 3:20 worked 8:20 workloads 32:16, 16 worked 3:20 worked 8:20 workloads 32:16, 16 worked 3:20 worked 8:20 workloads 32:16,	98:10 113:10	72:24		•	
venture 24:2 walk 18:21 37:20 50:4 36:14 58:10 36:14 58:10 57:13,24 59: 60:1 67:24 68:23 79:9 85:22 86:7 100:14 107:8 110:5 40:24 91:1 60:1 67:24 68:23 79:9 85:22 86:7 100:14 107:8 110:5 90:14 107:8 110:5 90:11 11:3 90:11 11:3 90:11 11:3 90:12 11:3 90:12 11:3 90:12 11:3 90:12 11:3 90:12 11:3 90:12 11:3 90:13 11:5 90:14 12:1 90:14 12:1 90:14 12:1 90:14 12:1<	Varying 66:11	waived 72:16	•	· ·	
venture 24:2 63:25 walk 18:21 63:23 66:2,14 37:20 50:4 52:16,20 87:23 92:10 87:23 92:10 word 102:7,9 word 102:7,9 word 30:8 word 102:7,9 word 30:8 34:9 76:6 82:20 69:6 70:19 72:3 work 10:21 11:3 10:5 wersion 74:21 80:5,11 84:21 87:7 90:1,7,7 versus 5:14 18:15 40:19 wice 40:3 wanted 7:13 8:24 wanting 113:12 wicious 89:4 vicious 89:4 vicious 89:4 video 1:13 3:9 5:12 warrant 98:23 videographer 4:19 5:10,20 6:5 82:24 soldengrapher 4:19 5:10,20 6:5 82:24 violation 25:4 violation 25:4 violations 26:11 35:3 75:5 visit 2:15 wanted 7:15 year 12:10 13:5 75:20 17:5,6 14:15 17:15 75:20 93:4 40:24 41:1 42:20 62:9 46:2,7,19 47: 47:2 90:14 47:2 90:	vast 86:12,14,16	wake 30:12	28:16 36:8	witnesses 11:20	
63:25	venture 24:2	walk 18:21			•
verbally 7:20 walking 103:1 spice of 3:2 word 102:7,9 68:23 79:9 78:23 80:8 want 16:2 36:12 57:22,23 word 102:7,9 85:22 86:7 Vernon 49:11 37:7,8 63:5,13 68:20 69:6 70:19 72:3 34:9 76:6 100:14 107:8 version 74:21 80:5,11 84:21 87:7 90:1,7,7 75:20 93:4 22:24 29:25 13:7 17:5,8 versus 5:14 93:5 95:23 97:13 103:10 40:24 41:1 20:12 25:13 vicious 89:4 wanted 7:13 103:14 105:5 42:20 62:9 42:11 45:16,19 vicious 89:4 wanting 113:12 wants 36:15 38:16,17 46:12 80:2 87:18,19 91:8 93:7 94:2 91:17 99:1,2 video 1:13 3:9 83:25 warrant 98:23 Warrensburg 95:3 97:6 workdays 21:22 workdays 21:22 workdays 21:22 years 9:23 10:23,24 11:8 viewable 98:4 violation 25:4 76:11 78:15 weekend 78:2 43:15 57:13 46:23 53:8 violations 26:11 35:3 75:5 23:8,9,11,17 80:20 109:6 works 35:24 90:4,4 95:13 vist 21:15	63:25	63:23 66:2,14			
78:23 80:8 Want 16:2 36:12 58:22 61:13,19 words 30:8 34:9 76:6 100:14 107:8 Vernon 49:11 37:7,8 63:5,13 68:20 69:6 70:19 72:3 70:19 72:3 70:10:11:3 100:14 107:8 version 74:21 80:5,11 84:21 73:15 75:20 11:5,5,6 14:15 100:14 107:8 81:19 87:7 90:1,7,7 75:20 93:4 22:24 29:25 13:7 17:5,8 versus 5:14 93:5 95:23 97:13 103:10 40:24 41:1 40:24 41:1 40:24 41:1 40:24 41:1 40:24 41:1 40:24 41:1 40:24 41:1 46:2,7,19 47:2 40:21 45:1 40:24 41:1 46:2,7,19 47:2 40:24 41:1 40:24 41:1 40:24 41:1 40:24 41:1 40:24 41:1 40:27,19 47:2 40:24 41:1 40:27,19 47:2 40:24 41:1 40:27,19 47:2 40:24 41:1 40:27,19 47:2 40:24 41:1 40:27,19 47:2 40:24 41:1 40:27,19 47:2 40:24 41:1 40:27,19 47:2 40:24 41:1 40:27,19 47:2 40:24 41:1 40:27,19 47:2 40:24 41:1 40:27,19 47:2 40:24 41:1 40:27,19 47:2 40:24 41:1 40:27,19 47:2 40:24 41:1 40:24 41:1	verbally 7:20	walking 103:1	•		
Vernon 49:11 versa 40:3 37:7,8 63:5,13 67:12 68:15,16 70:19 72:3 68:20 69:6 70:19 72:3 73:15 75:20 34:9 76:6 work 10:21 11:3 110:5 100:14 107:8 110:5 version 74:21 81:19 versus 5:14 18:19 vice 40:3 vice 58:14 video 1:13 3:9 5:12 videographer 4:19 5:10,20 6:5 82:24 83:1 92:19,21 114:8 viewable 98:4 violating 64:17 violation 25:4 52:22 78:7 violations 26:11 35:3 75:5 visit 21:15 33:7,8 63:5,13 68:20 69:6 70:19 72:3 work 10:21 11:3 thors 10:5 work 10:21 11:3 thors 10:5 work 10:21 11:3 thors 10:5 year 12:10 13:5 year 12:10 year 12:10 13:5 year 12:10 13:5 year 12:10 year 1	78:23 80:8	want 16:2 36:12	•		
versa 40:3 67:12 68:15,16 70:19 72:3 work 10:21 11:3 110:5 81:19 87:7 90:1,7,7 75:20 93:4 22:24 29:25 13:7 17:5,8 20:12 25:13 18:15 40:19 wanted 7:13 103:14 105:5 40:24 41:1 40:27,19 47:4 47:2 90:14 91:17 91:12 91:17 91:12 90:17 99:1,2 90:17 99:1,2 90:17 99:1,2 90:17 99:1,2 90:17 99:1,2 90:17 99:1,2 90:17 99:1,2 90:17 99:1,2 90:17 99:1,2	Vernon 49:11	37:7,8 63:5,13	· ·		
version 74:21 80:5,11 84:21 73:15 75:20 11:5,5,6 14:15 year 12:10 13:5 81:19 87:7 90:1,7,7 75:20 93:4 22:24 29:25 13:7 17:5,8 versus 5:14 93:5 95:23 97:13 103:10 40:24 41:1 20:12 25:13 18:15 40:19 wanted 7:13 103:14 105:5 40:24 41:1 42:20 62:9 42:11 45:16,19 vice 40:3 wanting 113:12 wanting 113:12 warrant 96:10 38:16,17 46:12 80:2 87:18,19 91:8 93:7 94:2 90:14 victims 58:14 warrant 98:23 Warrensburg 95:3 97:6 workdays 21:22 years 9:23 videographer 4:19 5:10,20 51:22 Wednesday 67:11 week 29:12 95:3 97:6 90:23,24 11:18 4:19 5:10,20 51:22 Wasn't 9:2 30:8 30:10 34:20 76:11 78:15 28:6 30:10,12 114:8 76:11 78:15 yeeked 78:2 yeekend 78:2 90:4,4 95:13 violating 64:17 waste 43:8 Waters 109:7 year 59:19 108:22 violations 26:11 23:8,9,11,77 80:20 109:6 yeekend 78:2 yeekend 76:2 </td <td>versa 40:3</td> <td>67:12 68:15,16</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>110:5</td>	versa 40:3	67:12 68:15,16			110:5
81:19 87:7 90:1,7,7 93:5 95:23 75:20 93:4 97:13 103:10 22:24 29:25 40:24 29:25 40:24 41:1 13:7 17:5,8 20:12 25:13 40:24 41:1 18:15 40:19 vice 40:3 vicious 89:4 vicious 89:4 vicious 58:14 victims 58:14 video 1:13 3:9 5:12 video 1:13 3:9 5:12 video 1:13 3:9 5:12 videographer 4:19 5:10,20 6:5 82:24 83:1 92:19,21 114:8 viewable 98:4 violating 64:17 violation 25:4 violations 26:11 35:3 75:5 visit 21:15 28:19,21 29:13 Warrensburg 47:20 90:14 40:24 41:1 41:1 41:1 41:1 41:1 41:1 41:1 4		80:5,11 84:21			year 12:10 13:5
versus 5:14 93:5 95:23 97:13 103:10 40:24 41:1 20:12 25:13 18:15 40:19 wanted 7:13 103:14 105:5 42:20 62:9 42:11 45:16,19 vice 40:3 8:24 we've 21:10 76:9 78:14 46:2,7,19 47: vicious 89:4 wanting 113:12 38:16,17 46:12 80:2 87:18,19 47:2 90:14 victims 58:14 wants 36:15 53:7 61:17 91:8 93:7 94:2 91:17 99:1,2 video 1:13 3:9 83:25 77:18 83:16 95:3 97:6 91:8 93:7 94:2 91:17 99:1,2 videographer Warrensburg 108:12 Worked 10:23 10:23,24 11:18 4:19 5:10,20 51:22 Wednesday 67:11 working 6:20 28:6 30:10,12 83:1 92:19,21 30:10 34:20 91:17 36:10 38:11 46:23 53:8 114:8 76:11 78:15 week 29:12 91:17 36:10 38:11 46:23 53:8 violating 64:17 waste 43:8 87:22 88:2 workloads 95:19 108:22 violations 26:11 23:8,9,11,17 80:20 109:6 works 35:24 70:3 yeas 70:7<	81:19	87:7 90:1,7,7		· · ·	·
18:15 40:19 wanted 7:13 103:14 105:5 42:20 62:9 42:11 45:16,15 vice 40:3 8:24 wanting 113:12 we've 21:10 38:16,17 46:12 80:2 87:18,19 47:2 90:14 victims 58:14 wants 36:15 53:7 61:17 91:8 93:7 94:2 91:17 99:1,2 videographer warrant 98:23 Warrensburg 51:22 workdays 21:22 worked 10:23 years 9:23 4:19 5:10,20 51:22 wasn't 9:2 30:8 working 6:20 18:25 35:21 38:17 46:22 83:1 92:19,21 30:10 34:20 91:17 36:10 38:11 46:23 53:8 114:8 76:11 78:15 weekend 78:2 workloads 95:3 97:6 violating 64:17 waste 43:8 Waters 109:7 weeks 37:15 75:8 82:2 90:4,4 95:13 violations 26:11 23:8,9,11,17 80:20 109:6 works 35:24 70:18 78:17 violations 26:11 23:8,9,11,17 80:20 109:6 works 35:24 70:3 yep 69:23 74:0 visit 21:15 28:19,21 29:13 27:8 76:14 world 68:20 yesterday 8:9	versus 5:14	93:5 95:23			20:12 25:13
vice 40:3 8:24 we've 21:10 76:9 78:14 46:2,7,19 47: 47:2 90:14 91:17 99:1,2 48:2 Years 9:23 40:23 53 97:6 40:23 53 97:6 40:23 53 97:6 40:23 53:21 40:23 53:21 40:23 53:21 40:23 53:21 40:23 53:21 40:23 53:8 40:23 53:8 40:23 53:8 40:23 53:8 40:23 53:8 40:23 53:8 40:23 53:8 40:23 53:8 40:23 53:8 40:23 53:8 40:23 53:8 40:23 53:8	18:15 40:19	wanted 7:13			42:11 45:16,19
vicious 89:4 wanting 113:12 38:16,17 46:12 80:2 87:18,19 47:2 90:14 victims 58:14 wants 36:15 53:7 61:17 91:8 93:7 94:2 91:17 99:1,2 video 1:13 3:9 83:25 77:18 83:16 95:3 97:6 95:3 97:6 90:23,24 11:13 videographer 4:19 5:10,20 Warrensburg 51:22 Wednesday 67:11 working 6:20 18:25 35:21 13:4 17:9 18:3 83:1 92:19,21 30:10 34:20 76:11 78:15 week 29:12 18:25 35:21 36:10 38:11 46:22 46:23 53:8 viewable 98:4 79:22 weeks 37:15 87:22 88:2 workloads 95:19 108:22 violation 25:4 Waters 109:7 way 20:24 21:8 80:20 109:6 works 35:24 70:3 yeas 70:7 yells 60:15 yep 69:23 74:16 visit 21:15 28:19,21 29:13 27:8 76:14 worried 48:6 yesterday 8:9	vice 40:3				46:2,7,19 47:2
victims 58:14 wants 36:15 53:7 61:17 91:8 93:7 94:2 91:17 99:1,2 video 1:13 3:9 83:25 77:18 83:16 95:3 97:6 97:22 97:11 97:12 95:3 97:6 97:22 97:11 97:12 97:12 97:11 97:12 97:11 97:12 97:12 97:13 97:12 97:13<	vicious 89:4	wanting 113:12			47:2 90:14
video 1:13 3:9 83:25 77:18 83:16 95:3 97:6 years 9:23 5:12 warrant 98:23 Warrensburg workdays 21:22 10:23,24 11:18 videographer 4:19 5:10,20 6:5 82:24 51:22 wasn't 9:2 30:8 working 6:20 28:6 30:10,12 83:1 92:19,21 114:8 30:10 34:20 91:17 36:10 38:11 46:23 53:8 viewable 98:4 violating 64:17 violation 25:4 52:22 78:7 waste 43:8 Violations 26:11 35:3 75:5 waste 43:8 23:8, 9,11,17 weeks 37:15 weekend 78:2 went 51:24 70:18 78:17 workloads 32:16,16 works 35:24 70:3 95:19 108:22 109:13 violations 26:11 35:3 75:5 visit 21:15 28:19,21 29:13 80:20 109:6 weren't 18:6 27:8 76:14 world 68:20 worled 48:6 yesterday 8:9	victims 58:14		· ·	■	91:17 99:1,2
5:12 warrant 98:23 108:12 workdays 21:22 10:23,24 11:18 videographer 4:19 5:10,20 6:5 82:24 83:1 92:19,21 114:8 51:22 wasn't 9:2 30:8 30:10 34:20 67:11 week 29:12 91:17 36:10 38:11 46:22 46:23 53:8 38:17 46:22 46:23 53:8 46:23 53:8 viewable 98:4 violation 25:4 violation 25:4 52:22 78:7 violations 26:11 35:3 75:5 visit 21:15 waste 43:8 waste 43:8 waste 43:8 23:8, 9,11,17 24:15 27:15 visit 21:15 waste 43:8 23:16,16 works 35:24 70:3 workloads 32:16,16 works 35:24 70:3 workloads 32:16,16 works 35:24 70:3 world 68:20 world 68:20 world 68:20 world 68:20 world 68:20 world 68:20 worled 48:6 yeas 70:7 yells 60:15 yep 69:23 74:16 36:16 visit 21:15 28:19,21 29:13 27:8 76:14 27:8 76:14 worked 10:23 workloads 38:11 46:22 46:23 53:8 46:20 workloads 32:16,16 works 35:24 70:3 workloads 32:16,16 world 68:20 world 48:6					years 9:23
videographer Warrensburg Wednesday worked 10:23 28:6 30:10,12 6:5 82:24 wasn't 9:2 30:8 week 29:12 18:25 35:21 38:17 46:22 83:1 92:19,21 30:10 34:20 91:17 36:10 38:11 46:23 53:8 114:8 76:11 78:15 weekend 78:2 43:15 57:13 90:4,4 95:13 viewable 98:4 waste 43:8 waste 43:8 workloads 95:19 108:22 violation 25:4 way 20:24 21:8 70:18 78:17 32:16,16 yeas 70:7 violations 26:11 23:8,9,11,17 80:20 109:6 workloads 109:1 35:3 75:5 24:15 27:15 weren't 18:6 world 68:20 yep 69:23 74:0 visit 21:15 28:19,21 29:13 27:8 76:14 worried 48:6 95:41 63:6		warrant 98:23			10:23,24 11:18
4:19 5:10,20 51:22 67:11 working 6:20 38:17 46:22 83:1 92:19,21 30:10 34:20 76:11 78:15 36:10 38:11 46:23 53:8 114:8 76:11 78:15 week ond 78:2 43:15 57:13 46:23 53:8 violating 64:17 waste 43:8 weeks 37:15 75:8 82:2 90:4,4 95:13 violation 25:4 way 20:24 21:8 32:16,16 95:19 108:22 violations 26:11 way 20:24 21:8 70:18 78:17 works 35:24 yeas 70:7 violations 26:11 23:8,9,11,17 80:20 109:6 70:3 yep 69:23 74:0 visit 21:15 28:19,21 29:13 28:19,21 29:13 27:8 76:14 world 68:20 yesterday 8:9	videographer				13:4 17:9 18:3
6:5 82:24 83:1 92:19,21 114:8 viewable 98:4 violating 64:17 violation 25:4 52:22 78:7 violations 26:11 35:3 75:5 visit 21:15 wasn't 9:2 30:8 30:10 34:20 76:11 78:15 79:22 weekend 78:2 yeekend 78:2 weekend 78:2 weeks 37:15 87:22 88:2 workloads 38:17 46:22 46:23 53:8 65:2,15 74:19 90:4,4 95:13 90:4,4 95:13 90:4,4 95:13 90:4,5 28:19 109:1 yeas 70:7 yells 60:15 yep 69:23 74:0 yesterday 8:9 Fait 63:6	• •	_	,		28:6 30:10,12
83:1 92:19,21 114:8	·				38:17 46:22
114:8 76:11 78:15 weekend 78:2 43:15 57:13 65:2,15 74:19 viewable 98:4 79:22 weeks 37:15 75:8 82:2 90:4,4 95:13 violation 25:4 waste 43:8 Waters 109:7 went 51:24 32:16,16 109:1 52:22 78:7 way 20:24 21:8 70:18 78:17 works 35:24 yeas 70:7 violations 26:11 23:8,9,11,17 80:20 109:6 70:3 yep 69:23 74:0 visit 21:15 28:19,21 29:13 28:19,21 29:13 27:8 76:14 worried 48:6 yesterday 8:9					46:23 53:8
viewable 98:4 violating 64:17 violation 25:4 violations 26:11 35:3 75:5 79:22 weeks 37:15 82:2 workloads 32:16,16 went 51:24 70:18 78:17 way 20:24 21:8 23:8,9,11,17 24:15 27:15 visit 21:15 weeks 37:15 82:2 workloads 32:16,16 works 35:24 70:18 78:17 works 35:24 yeas 70:7 yells 60:15 yep 69:23 74:0 yesterday 8:9					65:2,15 74:19
violating 64:17 waste 43:8 Waters 109:7 weeks 37:13 75:8 82:2 95:19 108:22 violation 25:4 52:22 78:7 way 20:24 21:8 went 51:24 32:16,16 yeas 70:7 violations 26:11 23:8,9,11,17 80:20 109:6 70:3 yells 60:15 35:3 75:5 24:15 27:15 weren't 18:6 yerid 68:20 yesterday 8:9 visit 21:15 28:19,21 29:13 27:8 76:14 worried 48:6 yesterday 8:9					90:4,4 95:13
violation 25:4 Waters 109:7 went 51:24 32:16,16 yeas 70:7 52:22 78:7 violations 26:11 23:8,9,11,17 80:20 109:6 70:3 yells 60:15 35:3 75:5 24:15 27:15 weren't 18:6 world 68:20 yesterday 8:9 visit 21:15 28:19,21 29:13 27:8 76:14 worried 48:6 F4:1 63:6					95:19 108:22
52:22 78:7					109:1
violations 26:11 23:8,9,11,17 80:20 109:6 70:3 yells 60:15 35:3 75:5 24:15 27:15 weren't 18:6 world 68:20 yesterday 8:9 visit 21:15 28:19,21 29:13 27:8 76:14 worried 48:6 yesterday 8:9					yeas 70:7
35:3 75:5		•			•
visit 21:15 28:19,21 29:13 27:8 76:14 worried 48:6 yesterday 8:9					yep 69:23 74:6
27.8 70.14 Wolfled 48.0					1
VOIUME	volume 1:11	33:24 34:12	West 3:12 4:11	worries 15:6	54:1 62:6
West 5.12 4.11 Wolfles 15.6		00.2 10 1.12	VVC3 L3.12 4.11	WOITIES 13.0	

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

84:2	73:20	47:18 48:7,9	70 97:9	
York 4:6,6	18th 4:5	300 45:18	73 2:9	
young 18:15	19 116:4	31 32:23		
70:9	1996 9:22	34th 3:12	8	
younger 40:7,11	1P 10:6	36 98:6	80 97:10	
85:16 86:6	1s 35:4	365 45:24	816.221.1160	
youngest 24:21	1st 80:9	375 70:25 71:17	4:24	
24:21,23 25:1			899 4:11	
	2	4		
Z	2 10:12	4 10:12,15 78:7	9	
	2,075 46:5	4:38 82:24	90 19:24 97:3	
0	2,100 47:2	4:46 83:1	96 2:5	
00 70:23	2:49 5:9,12	400 71:6	99 9:16	
4	20 46:22,23	406 3:12		
<u> </u>	80:11 90:17	42 33:1		
110:3,6 34:24	108:22	420 3:12		
1.800.280.33	20-something	45 33:1		
4:24	80:15			
10,000 55:17	20,000 101:25	5		
100 4:16 77:10	101:25	5 1:15 3:10 5:11		
77:13 116:8	200 33:5 34:1	70:23 116:13		
100 =mile 101:24	34:17 45:14	118:3		
1000 4:16 116:8	2017 1:15 3:10	5:01 92:19		
10004 4:6	5:11 116:4,13	5:03 92:21		
105 77:14 79:4	117:15 118:3	5:34 114:8,10		
110 77:15	207 4:11	50 97:19		
12 13:15,16,17	2100 46:2,4	50,000 112:2		
67:11	212.607.3300	51 33:1		
120 24:4 45:14		573.526.5212		
125 4:5	4:6	4:17		
13 2:9 14:7	217 33:4	573.751.3321		
73:21,24	22 9:23 13:4	4:12		
1353 4:21 5:4	65:2 108:23	4.12		
115:18 116:22	108:24 109:1	6		
150 24:4 33:4	2300 47:3	62:4		
45:14,15	24 90:18,19	600 75:16		
15th 78:23,24	240 90:18	76:22 78:11,17		
80:21 81:7	2400 46:18	78:21 79:12		
16 11:16	47:3 90:17	64108 4:23		
1608 4:23 116:2	96:22	116:3		
	2600 46:2,7			
166 65:5	2700 47:3	64111 3:13		
17 9:12 10:17 11:8	27th 11:12 80:17	65102 4:12		
11:9 55:3	28 11:18	65203 4:17		
94:17		116:9		
17-04057-CV	3	7		
1:5 3:5 5:15	3 10:12,13			
17th 11:10 70:5	30 32:23 47:17	7 4:16 11:17 116:8		

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

www.alaris.us

Fax: 314.644.1334 Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 160-11 Filed 02/21/18 Page 52 of 52